




Grade-Level Retention and Student Performance in Texas Public Schools, 2016-17



Division of Research and Analysis
Office of Standards and Engagement
Texas Education Agency
March 2019

Grade-Level Retention and Student Performance in Texas Public Schools 2016-17

Project Staff

Spring W. Lee
Holly Ryon
Brittany Wright

Editorial Staff

Shannon Nagy
Richard Kallus
Christine Whalen

Division of Research and Analysis
Office of Standards and Engagement
Texas Education Agency
March 2019

Texas Education Agency

Mike Morath, Commissioner of Education

Office of Standards and Engagement

Jeff Cottrill, Deputy Commissioner

Division of Research and Analysis

Linda Roska, Executive Director

Accountability Research Unit

Jennifer Broussard, Director

Citation. Texas Education Agency. (2019). *Grade-level retention and student performance in Texas public schools, 2016-17* (Document No. GE19 601 10). Austin TX: Author.

Abstract. This annual report provides information for the 2016-17 school year on grade-level retention and student performance in the Texas public school system. Student retention and promotion data are reported with data on the performance of students in Grades 3-8 on the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) reading and mathematics tests.

Additional copies of this document may be purchased using the order form in the back of this publication. Also, the report is available in PDF format on the agency website at http://tea.texas.gov/acctres/retention_index.html. Additional information about this report may be obtained by contacting the Texas Education Agency Division of Research and Analysis by phone at (512) 475-3523 or by e-mail at Research@tea.texas.gov.

Copyright © Notice. The materials are copyrighted © and trademarked ™ as the property of the Texas Education Agency (TEA) and may not be reproduced without the express written permission of TEA, except under the following conditions: (1) Texas public school districts, charter schools, and Education Service Centers may reproduce and use copies of the Materials and Related Materials for the districts' and schools' educational use without obtaining permission from TEA; (2) residents of the state of Texas may reproduce and use copies of the Materials and Related Materials for individual personal use only without obtaining written permission of TEA; (3) any portion reproduced must be reproduced in its entirety and remain unedited, unaltered and unchanged in any way; and (4) no monetary charge can be made for the reproduced materials or any document containing them; however, a reasonable charge to cover only the cost of reproduction and distribution may be charged. Private entities or persons located in Texas that are **not** Texas public school districts, Texas Education Service Centers, or Texas charter schools or any entity, whether public or private, educational or non-educational, located **outside the state of Texas** *MUST* obtain written approval from TEA and will be required to enter into a license agreement that may involve the payment of a licensing fee or a royalty. For information contact: Copyrights Office, Texas Education Agency, 1701 N. Congress Ave., Austin, TX 78701-1494; phone 512-463-9041; email: Copyrights@tea.texas.gov.

Texas Assessment of Academic Skills® (TAAS®), Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills® (TAKS®), and State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness® (STAAR®) are registered trademarks of the Texas Education Agency. Other product and company names mentioned in this report may be the trademarks of their respective owners.

Contents

Introduction	v
Overview.....	vi
Highlights.....	vii
History of Promotion Policies in Texas.....	1
Definitions and Calculations	7
Retention Definition.....	8
Retention Rate Calculations.....	8
Student Performance on the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness	9
Data Masking.....	10
Retention and Student Performance	11
Statutory Requirements.....	12
Passing Rates	12
State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness Scores	14
Student Performance and Promotion.....	17
Student Success Initiative Grade Advancement Summary.....	18
Grade 5.....	20
Grade 8.....	23
References	25

List of Tables

Table 1.	State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) Percentage Passing 2017 and 2018, Grades 3-8, by Grade and Promotion Status 2016-17, Texas Public Schools.....	13
Table 2.	State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) Average Scale Scores 2017 and 2018, Grades 3-8, by Grade and Promotion Status 2016-17, Texas Public Schools.....	15
Table 3.	State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) 2017 Performance and Promotion Status 2016-17, Grades 5 and 8, by Grade, Texas Public Schools	19
Table 4.	State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) 2017 Performance and Promotion Status 2016-17, Test Results Combined, Grade 5, Texas Public Schools	21
Table 5.	State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) 2017 Performance and Promotion Status 2016-17, Grade 5, by Test, Texas Public Schools	22
Table 6.	State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) 2017 Performance and Promotion Status 2016-17, Test Results Combined, Grade 8, Texas Public Schools	24

Table 7.	State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) 2017 Performance and Promotion Status 2016-17, Grade 8, by Test, Texas Public Schools	24
----------	--	----

List of Figures

Figure 1.	Grade-Level Retention 2016-17 and Average Reading Scale Scores on the English-Version State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) 2017 and 2018, Grades 3-8, Texas Public Schools	14
Figure 2.	State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) 2017 Performance and Promotion Status 2016-17, Test Results Combined, Grade 5, Texas Public Schools.....	20
Figure 3.	State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) 2017 Performance and Promotion Status 2016-17, Test Results Combined, Grade 8, Texas Public Schools.....	23

Introduction

Overview

Highlights

Overview

This report presents information on the performance of retained students on the state assessment, as required under Texas Education Code (TEC) §39.332 (2018). Passing rates and average scores were calculated separately, by grade level, for English- and Spanish-language versions of the 2017 State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) reading and mathematics tests for Grades 3-8. For students repeating a grade in the 2017-18 school year, 2017 STAAR results were compared to 2018 STAAR results. This report also analyzes 2017 STAAR performance and promotion statuses for students in Grades 5 and 8 in light of the Student Success Initiative (SSI) grade advancement criteria stipulated under TEC §28.0211 (2018). Finally, this report also provides historical information about retention and promotion policies in Texas public schools. A companion report, *Grade-Level Retention in Texas Public Schools, 2016-17* (Texas Education Agency, 2018), presents retention data by student characteristics and program participation.

Highlights

- Under TEC §28.0211 (2018), students in Grades 5 and 8 are subject to Student Success Initiative (SSI) grade advancement criteria. In this report, students who (a) passed grade-level tests in both reading and mathematics, (b) passed a grade-level test in one subject and took an advanced-level test in the other subject, or (c) took advanced-level tests in both subjects were categorized as meeting criteria. Students who failed one or both grade-level tests were categorized as not meeting criteria. Students who (a) were missing results for both tests, (b) passed one test but were missing results for the other, or (c) were missing one test and took an advanced-level test for the other could not be categorized based on SSI criteria.
- Among retained students in Grades 3-8 who took the English-version STAAR reading and mathematics tests, after a year in the same grade, passing rates and average scale scores improved on both tests. Nevertheless, retained students' second-year passing rates and scale scores did not reach the rates and scores for students who had been promoted the year before. For example, 85.3 percent of promoted Grade 5 students passed the STAAR reading test in spring 2017, whereas 19.0 percent of retained fifth graders passed the test. In 2018, after repeating the grade, 60.0 percent of retained students passed the Grade 5 STAAR reading test.
- Of the Grade 5 students who met SSI criteria, more than 99.9 percent were promoted to Grade 6. Of the Grade 5 students who did not meet SSI criteria, 2.8 percent were retained in Grade 5 the next year.
- Of the Grade 8 students who met SSI criteria, 99.9 percent were promoted to Grade 9. Of the Grade 8 students who did not meet SSI criteria, 2.5 percent were retained in Grade 8 the next year.
- In the 2016-17 school year, 78.7 percent of all promoted fifth-grade students met SSI criteria. A total of 43,605 students, or 11.2 percent of promoted fifth graders, did not meet SSI criteria or could not be categorized based on SSI criteria but were promoted by grade placement committee (GPC) decisions.
- In the 2016-17 school year, 81.5 percent of all promoted eighth-grade students met SSI criteria. A total of 35,829 students, or 9.3 percent of promoted eighth graders, did not meet SSI criteria or could not be categorized based on SSI criteria but were promoted by GPC decisions.

History of Promotion Policies in Texas

History of Promotion Policies in Texas

While consistently requiring that decisions about student promotion be based on academic achievement, Texas policy on promotion and retention has evolved over the past three decades. Legislation passed in 1984 prohibited social promotion, requiring instead that students be promoted only on the basis of academic achievement (Texas Education Code [TEC] §21.721, *Grade Requirement for Advancement or Course Credit*, 1986). The State Board of Education (SBOE) rules implementing the legislation, *Promotions and Alternatives to Social Promotion* (Title 19 of the Texas Administrative Code [TAC] §§75.191-75.195, 1985), outlined the grading procedures to be used by districts and guidelines for promotion. The rules included the provisions that no student could repeat the same grade more than once or repeat more than two grade levels during the elementary grades.

In 1987, legislation was enacted to expand TEC §21.557, *Compensatory and Remedial Instruction* (1988). The legislation provided a definition of students in Grades 7-12 considered to be at risk of dropping out of school and required districts to provide remedial and support programs for these students. The definition of "at-risk" students included students who had not advanced from one grade level to the next in two or more school years.

In 1991, the rule prohibiting retention of students below Grade 1 was amended to allow districts to assign six-year-old students who were not developmentally ready for the first grade to grades deemed appropriate by the schools (19 TAC §75.195(j), 1992 Supplement).

Also in 1991, legislation updated TEC §21.721 (1992) to eliminate the prohibition on advancement of students with grade averages below 70. Policies on advancement from one grade level to the next were to be adopted by school districts. Local policies on promotion had to incorporate a variety of factors, including a minimum, yearly grade average of 70; course grades earned in each subject; performance on the Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS); extenuating circumstances; and the judgments of parents and teachers. Districts were required to consider alternatives to retention, including extended school day, extended school year, specialized tutorial support, peer tutoring, cross-age tutoring, student mentoring, and summer programs.

A retention reduction grant program was enacted in 1993 (TEC §21.562, 1994). A \$5 million appropriation allowed 54 Texas school districts to pilot extended instructional programs to eliminate retentions in first grade during the 1992-93 school year. The retention reduction grants allowed school districts and campuses to offer programs based on lengthening the school year as alternatives to retention. These programs provided additional instruction to students who needed extra assistance to master the first-grade objectives in the Essential Elements—the state-mandated curriculum in place at that time. The pilots were extended to the second grade in 1994-95.

School districts not receiving retention reduction grants could apply to the commissioner of education for approval to provide extended year programs (TEC §21.563, *Optional Extended Year Program*, 1994). Optional extended year programs (OEYPs) of up to 45 days in length could be

provided to students in Grades K-8 who would otherwise be retained. To fund the programs, school districts could reduce the number of instructional days during the regular school year by five.

In 1995, the Texas Education Code was reviewed and readopted. In the new code, the provisions on promotion, *Student Advancement*, reiterated that students be promoted only on the basis of academic achievement or demonstrated proficiency in the subject matter of the course or grade level (TEC §28.021, 1996). At the same time, the language regulating local promotion and retention policies was repealed. In April 1996, the SBOE rules regulating local policies, including restrictions on the number of times students could be retained in grade, were also repealed. The definition of students at risk of dropping out was carried forward (TEC §29.081, 1996).

The 1995 TEC revisions included a single set of provisions for extended year programs (TEC §29.082, 1996). Commissioner of education rules implementing the OEYP were adopted to be effective in May 1996 (19 TAC §105.1001, 1997). Districts were required to promote each student who attended at least 90 percent of the extended year program days, unless the student's parents requested that the student be retained. If the parents requested retention, the student's principal, teacher, and counselor were required to meet with the parents to provide information on the effects of retention on future academic performance, student self-esteem, and high school completion. The commissioner of education was directed to withhold 5 percent of the Foundation School Program compensatory education allotment to finance extended year programs. This increased to about \$50 million per year the allocation for extended year programs for students in Grades K-8 who were identified as not likely to be promoted. Districts could use portions of their compensatory education allotments or apply for state funds to implement extended year programs. Although districts had to apply for state OEYP funds, they were no longer required to apply for approval to operate OEYPs funded locally.

The promotion policies implemented in Texas public schools in 2002-03 built on the state curriculum and assessment programs that had been developed over many years. In 1984, Texas first adopted a state curriculum, known as the Essential Elements (19 TAC Chapter 75, Subchapters B-D, 1984). Over the years, the rigor of knowledge and skills required of students increased. A revised curriculum, the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS), was adopted by the SBOE and became effective on September 1, 1998 (19 TAC Chapters 110-128, 1998). By state law and SBOE rule, the TEKS in the foundation areas of English language arts and reading, mathematics, science, and social studies are required for use in instruction and statewide assessment. The TEKS have been widely distributed to schools and to the public. Professional development on TEKS implementation in the classroom has been available statewide.

The state testing program known as TAAS was introduced in 1990. When last administered in 2002, the TAAS measured mastery of the state curriculum in reading and mathematics at Grades 3-8 and 10; in writing at Grades 4, 8, and 10; and in science and social studies at Grade 8. The Grade 10 tests served as an exit-level examination. As was the case under the previous testing program, the Texas Educational Assessment of Minimum Skills (TEAMS), satisfactory performance on the exit-level examination was a prerequisite to a high school diploma.

In 2002-03, a new, more rigorous state assessment system, the Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS), was introduced. Like the TAAS, the TAKS was aligned with the state curriculum, measuring the extent to which a student learned and was able to apply the knowledge and skills defined in the TEKS at each grade level tested.

In response to statutory requirements, the TAKS was replaced by the more rigorous State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) beginning in 2011-12 (TEC Chapter 39, 2010). High school students who began Grade 9 in 2010-11 or earlier continued to take grade-specific TAKS assessments to comply with graduation standards already in place. STAAR is aligned with the TEKS and provides the foundation for the accountability system for Texas public education. In Grades 3-8, STAAR assesses the same grade-specific subjects that were assessed with the TAKS. In high school, however, grade-specific assessments have been replaced by end-of-course (EOC) assessments. Although 15 EOCs were originally required to graduate for students who started Grade 9 in 2011-12, the 83rd Texas Legislature reduced the requirement to five assessments in 2013: Algebra I, Biology, English I, English II, and U.S. History. In 2015, the 84th Texas Legislature passed SB 149, which revised the state's assessment graduation requirements for students enrolled in Grade 11 or 12 during the 2014-15, 2015-16, or 2016-17 school year (TEC §28.0258, 2016). Under the new requirements, a student who failed an EOC for no more than two of five courses could still receive a Texas high school diploma if he or she was determined to be qualified to graduate by an individual graduation committee (19 TAC §101.3022, 2018, amended to be effective December 5, 2017). In 2017, the 85th Texas Legislature amended TEC §28.0258 (2018) to extend the revised graduation requirements through the 2018-19 school year.

State testing procedures allow accommodations on STAAR for students who need them. Several accommodations are available to eligible students, including content and language supports, spelling assistance, supplemental aids, and extra time to complete assessments. Admission, review, and dismissal (ARD) committees and placement committees for students served under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended (Title 29 of the United States Code §794 [Section 504], 2018; Title 34 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 104, 2018), determine which accommodations can be used by students receiving special education services and Section 504 services, respectively. When a student does not receive special education or Section 504 services but meets the eligibility criteria for testing accommodations, the decision is made by the appropriate team of people at the campus level, such as the response to intervention (RtI) team or the student assistance team. English language learners (ELLs) may also receive accommodations on the statewide assessments, and ELL students in Grades 3-5 may be provided Spanish-language versions of tests when available. Language proficiency assessment committees (LPACs) make assessment and accommodation decisions for ELL students.

Since 1995, Texas statute has stipulated that "a student may be promoted only on the basis of academic achievement or demonstrated proficiency of the subject matter of the course or grade level" (TEC §28.021, 1996). In 1999, specific provisions linking test performance, promotion, and instruction were added (TEC §28.0211, 1999). From 2002-03 to 2008-09, students in Grade 3 were required to pass the state reading test to advance to Grade 4. Students in Grade 5 were required to

pass the state reading and mathematics tests beginning in 2004-05. Students in Grade 8 were required to pass the reading and mathematics tests beginning in 2007-08. Through the 2010-11 school year, students in Grades 5 and 8 were given three opportunities to pass the TAKS. School districts were required to provide accelerated instruction in the subject areas failed after each test administration (TEC §28.0211, 2010).

If a student failed the test a second time, the district was required to establish a grade placement committee (GPC) to determine the accelerated instruction the student would receive before the third testing opportunity. A student who failed to perform satisfactorily on the third opportunity was required to be retained. A parent or guardian could appeal the retention decision to the GPC. The GPC could decide in favor of advancement if committee members unanimously concluded, based on standards adopted by the local school board, that the student was likely to perform on grade level if given additional accelerated instruction during the next school year.

In 2009, the 81st Texas Legislature stipulated that students in Grade 5 or Grade 8 who fail the state reading or mathematics assessment must complete accelerated instruction before they may be promoted to the next grade level (TEC §28.0211, 2010). Districts anticipated difficulty implementing the provision for students who fail the third administration of a Grade 5 or Grade 8 test, which occurs during the summer. To help districts and charter schools meet the requirement, the Texas Education Agency developed a waiver allowing promotion of such students to the next grade level prior to the completion of accelerated instruction. A district or charter school applying for the waiver in 2016-17 was required to: identify the intensive instruction each student needed; target the instruction to the STAAR objectives on which each student demonstrated weakness; ensure each student completed the instruction during the first six weeks of the school year; and document that each student completed the instruction. In addition, the GPC is responsible for developing a plan that will provide the student with ongoing instructional support during the next school year.

In 2011, the 82nd Texas Legislature directed that a student in Grade 5 or Grade 8 who is enrolled in a course above the student's grade level or for which the student will receive high school credit is not required to take a grade-level state assessment in the corresponding subject (TEC §28.0211, 2011).

Because performance standards for STAAR had not been established in time for student promotion decisions, promotion criteria for Grades 5 and 8 that were based on state assessment results were suspended in 2011-12. Instead, promotion criteria developed entirely at the district level were in effect. Statutory promotion criteria, including requirements that students receive three opportunities to pass the reading and mathematics tests, GPCs be established, and accelerated instruction be provided, were made effective again in 2012-13 and remained in effect in 2013-14.

In 2014-15, the STAAR mathematics tests were updated to reflect the revised mathematics TEKS adopted by the State Board of Education in 2012. As a result of these changes, performance standards for 2015 STAAR mathematics tests in Grades 3-8 were not set until after the spring 2015 administration. In addition, Student Success Initiative (SSI) retest opportunities for STAAR mathematics tests in Grades 5 and 8 were not offered in May and June of 2015. Districts were

instructed to use other relevant academic information in place of STAAR mathematics results when making promotion and retention decisions. SSI requirements for reading remained in effect in 2014-15.

In 2015-16, the administration of STAAR tests was affected by online testing issues and reporting issues with the state's testing vendor. As a result, the June administration of the Grades 5 and 8 STAAR reading and mathematics tests was not offered. Furthermore, SSI requirements for Grades 5 and 8 were suspended. Districts were instructed to use other relevant academic information in place of STAAR reading and mathematics results when making promotion and retention decisions.

In 2016-17, the administration of STAAR tests went as planned. Statutory promotion criteria, including SSI requirements that students in Grades 5 and 8 receive three opportunities to pass the reading and mathematics tests, GPCs be established, and accelerated instruction be provided, were made effective again.

Definitions and Calculations

Retention Definition

Retention Rate Calculations

*Student Performance on the
State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness*

Data Masking

Retention Definition

Grade retention has been defined as requiring a child to repeat a particular grade or delaying entry to kindergarten or first grade. This definition of retention—repetition of a grade or delayed entry—applies primarily to Grades K-6. The same grade level in successive years in high school does not necessarily represent the repetition of a full year's curriculum, as it does in elementary school. Secondary school programs are structured around individual courses. Because passing and failing are determined at the level of the course and credits are awarded for courses completed successfully, the concept of a "grade level" becomes more fluid. Students who fail to earn credit in a single course or take fewer courses than required in one year may be classified at the same grade level in two consecutive years. Practices in Grades 7 and 8 may be like those in elementary school or like those in high school, depending on local school district policies.

Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data used in this report on the grade levels of all students in the Texas public school system were submitted by districts through the Texas Student Data System (TSDS) (Texas Education Agency [TEA], 2016). Data on State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance were provided to TEA by Educational Testing Service.

Retention Rate Calculations

Retention rates for the 2016-17 school year were calculated by comparing 2016-17 attendance records to fall 2017 enrollment records. Students who left the Texas public school system for any reason other than graduation were excluded from the total student count. Students new to the Texas public school system in fall 2017 were also excluded. Students who enrolled both years or graduated were included in the total student count. Students found to have been enrolled in the same grade in both years were counted as retained. Students found to have been in a higher grade in fall 2017 than in 2016-17 were counted as promoted. Students reported to have had improbable grade sequences were assigned an "unknown" promotion status. Retention rates were calculated by dividing number of students retained by total student count. Because of the criteria used, student counts in this report differ from those in other agency publications.

Retention rates have been calculated by TEA based on year-to-year progress of individual students since 1994-95. Prior to the 1998-99 school year, the retention calculations included only students who were enrolled on the last Friday in October. Beginning in 1998-99, additional enrollment data for Grades 7-12 were collected by TEA to calculate the secondary school dropout and graduation rates. This collection expanded available Grades 7-12 enrollment data beyond students enrolled the last Friday in October to include students enrolled at any time during the fall. The change in the retention calculation allowed more secondary school students to be included and made the calculation of the retention rate more like that of the secondary school dropout and graduation rates. Expanded enrollment data were not collected for Grades K-6, so the method of calculating enrollment counts for Grades K-6 was unchanged.

Student Performance on the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness

STAAR was the primary statewide assessment of student performance in 2017 and 2018. Spanish-version reading and mathematics tests were given to students identified as English language learners in Grades 3-5 receiving mostly Spanish-language instruction. Additionally, accommodations (e.g., visual aids, graphic organizers, text-to-speech functionality) were allowed for students who needed them.

Educational Testing Service provided TEA with student-level data for English- and, where applicable, Spanish-language STAAR tests in each grade level and subject area assessed. For each subject area test, every student received either a score or a code for the reason no score was reported. The code identified students who were absent; students who were ill, took above grade level tests, or had certain irregularities, including cheating; students whose parents or guardians requested the students not participate in the third testing opportunity; or students for whom STAAR Alternate 2 assessments (designed to assess students with significant cognitive disabilities) were appropriate. Results presented are based on three administrations of the STAAR tests for 2017 and two administrations of the STAAR tests for 2018.

In 2017 and 2018, students were classified into four performance categories: Masters Grade Level, Meets Grade Level, Approaches Grade Level, and Does Not Meet Grade Level. The categories were meant to provide clear, accurate information to parents about how their children performed on STAAR. Students categorized as Approaches Grade Level and above were considered to have passed an exam. The passing standards for STAAR are set by the commissioner of education (TEC §39.0241, 2018).

Under the Student Success Initiative (SSI), students in Grades 5 and 8 are given three opportunities to pass STAAR reading and mathematics tests (TEC §28.0211, 2018). In cases where answer documents were submitted for students in more than one administration, the score from the latest administration was used. In cases where students had more than one record in an administration, the highest scores attained were used.

Analysis of promotion status and test performance in this report required matching student records in the promotion and test databases. Records were matched based on TSDS unique student ID or social security number, last name, first name, and date of birth. Records that could not be matched were excluded. In some cases, students participated in spring 2017 STAAR testing but were not enrolled in a Texas public school in fall 2017. Consequently, these students had records in the test database but not in the promotion database. In other cases, students enrolled in school after spring 2017 STAAR testing and, therefore, had records in the promotion database but not in the test database. Finally, some records failed to match because of errors in reporting student identification data.

Data Masking

The Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) (Title 20 of the United States Code §1232g, 2018; Title 34 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 99, 2018) prohibits improper disclosure of personally identifiable student information by any educational agency or institution that receives funding under any program administered by the U.S. Department of Education (ED). In 2016, ED guidance on reporting education data in compliance with FERPA changed, relaxing requirements for masking state-level data. Based on this guidance, data presented in this report are no longer masked, beginning with 2015-16 reporting.

Retention and Student Performance

Statutory Requirements

Passing Rates

State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness Scores

Statutory Requirements

This section of the report presents information on the performance of retained students on the state assessment, as required under Texas Education Code (TEC) §39.332 (2018). Passing rates and average scores were calculated separately, by grade level, for English- and Spanish-language versions of the 2017 State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) reading and mathematics tests for Grades 3-8. For students repeating a grade in the 2017-18 school year, 2017 STAAR results were compared to 2018 STAAR results. For comparison purposes, the 2017 STAAR results for promoted students also were calculated.

Passing Rates

Among students in Grades 3-8 who took the English-version STAAR reading and mathematics tests in spring 2017, passing rates were higher for students who were promoted than for students who were retained (Table 1). After a year in the same grade, the passing rates for retained students improved but did not reach the passing rates for students who had been promoted the year before. For example, 85.3 percent of promoted Grade 5 students passed the reading test in spring 2017, whereas 19.0 percent of retained fifth graders passed the test. In 2018, after repeating the grade, 60.0 percent of retained students passed the test. Similarly, 87.8 percent of promoted eighth graders passed the mathematics test in spring 2017, whereas 34.9 percent of retained students passed. The following year, 65.1 percent of the retained Grade 8 students passed the test.

Table 1
State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) Percentage Passing 2017
and 2018, Grades 3-8, by Grade and Promotion Status 2016-17, Texas Public Schools

Status	English-version STAAR				Spanish-version STAAR			
	Reading		Mathematics		Reading		Mathematics	
	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018
Grade 3								
Promoted	73.0	— ^a	77.3	—	64.0	—	69.4	—
Retained	15.0	59.8	21.6	67.0	13.9	65.0	16.3	66.0
Grade 4								
Promoted	70.0	—	75.0	—	59.7	—	59.4	—
Retained	14.6	46.3	19.7	62.6	14.9	47.4	2.0	52.0
Grade 5								
Promoted	85.3	—	90.4	—	87.3	—	74.2	—
Retained	19.0	60.0	32.4	79.8	28.6	62.2	7.2	65.2
Grade 6								
Promoted	66.8	—	75.3	—	n/a ^b	n/a	n/a	n/a
Retained	18.7	35.7	24.8	48.9	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Grade 7								
Promoted	70.0	—	67.8	—	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Retained	22.9	38.6	18.0	39.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Grade 8								
Promoted	88.2	—	87.8	—	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Retained	38.2	56.3	34.9	65.1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a


Note. Passing rates for retained students are based on students who took the same test (grade level and language version) both years. Students taking advanced-level tests are excluded from these analyses.

^aStudents promoted in 2017 did not repeat the same grade-level test in 2018. ^bNot applicable. Spanish-version STAAR tests were available in Grades 3-5 only.

State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness Scores

Among students in Grades 3-8 who took the English-version State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) reading and mathematics tests in spring 2017, those promoted at the end of the 2016-17 school year had average scale scores on both tests that exceeded the passing standards in every grade (Figure 1 and Table 2). Students who were retained had lower scale scores than students who were promoted. In 2017, retained students at all grade levels had average scale scores that were below the passing standards. A year later, on the 2018 STAAR, average scale scores for retained students met or exceeded the passing standards on 8 of 12 tests; however, the scores still failed to reach the average scale scores for students who had been promoted the year before.

Figure 1
Grade-Level Retention 2016-17 and Average Reading Scale Scores on the English-Version State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) 2017 and 2018, Grades 3-8, Texas Public Schools



Note. Scale scores for retained students are based on students who took the same test (grade level and language version) both years. Students taking advanced-level tests are excluded from these analyses.

Table 2
State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) Average Scale Scores 2017
and 2018, Grades 3-8, by Grade and Promotion Status 2016-17, Texas Public Schools

Status	English-version scale score						Spanish-version scale score					
	Reading			Mathematics			Reading			Mathematics		
	Passing standard ^a	2017	2018	Passing standard	2017	2018	Passing standard	2017	2018	Passing standard	2017	2018
Grade 3	1345			1360			1318			1360		
Promoted		1443	– ^b		1476	–		1380	–		1434	–
Retained		1251	1366		1290	1407		1213	1360		1271	1408
Grade 4	1434			1467			1413			1467		
Promoted		1516	–		1571	–		1450	–		1506	–
Retained		1345	1429		1394	1495		1304	1405		1346	1461
Grade 5	1470			1500			1461			1500		
Promoted		1571	–		1638	–		1563	–		1546	–
Retained		1383	1472		1455	1561		1405	1493		1408	1520
Grade 6	1517			1536			n/a ^c			n/a		
Promoted		1574	–		1632	–		n/a	n/a		n/a	n/a
Retained		1433	1480		1488	1547		n/a	n/a		n/a	n/a
Grade 7	1567			1575			n/a			n/a		
Promoted		1632	–		1652	–		n/a	n/a		n/a	n/a
Retained		1500	1540		1522	1564		n/a	n/a		n/a	n/a
Grade 8	1587			1595			n/a			n/a		
Promoted		1692	–		1686	–		n/a	n/a		n/a	n/a
Retained		1543	1590		1553	1625		n/a	n/a		n/a	n/a

Note. Scale scores for retained students are based on students who took the same test (grade level and language version) both years. Students taking advanced-level tests are excluded from these analyses.

^aThe minimum score required to pass each test. ^bStudents promoted in 2017 did not repeat the same grade-level test in 2018. ^cNot applicable. Spanish-version STAAR tests were available in Grades 3-5 only.

Student Performance and Promotion

*Student Success Initiative
Grade Advancement Summary*

Grade 5

Grade 8

Student Success Initiative Grade Advancement Summary

For the 2016-17 school year, the Student Success Initiative (SSI) stipulated that students in Grades 5 and 8 could advance to the next grade level only by passing the state reading and mathematics assessments or by unanimous decision of a grade placement committee (GPC) (TEC §28.0211, 2018). In light of this statute, this report analyzes 2017 STAAR performance and promotion status for Grades 5 and 8. A companion report, *Grade-Level Retention in Texas Public Schools, 2016-17* (Texas Education Agency, 2018), presents retention data by student characteristics and program participation.

In the tables and figures that follow, results for students in Grades 5 and 8 are presented based on SSI grade advancement criteria. Students who (a) passed grade-level tests in both reading and mathematics, (b) passed a grade-level test in one subject and took an advanced-level test in the other subject, or (c) took advanced-level tests in both subjects were categorized as meeting criteria. Students who failed one or both grade-level tests were categorized as not meeting criteria. Students who (a) were missing results for both tests, (b) passed one test but were missing results for the other, or (c) were missing one test and took an advanced-level test for the other could not be categorized based on SSI criteria. The numbers and percentages of students who were promoted and retained in 2016-17 were calculated for each of the three groups.

In the 2016-17 school year, 73,721 fifth graders and 62,138 eighth graders did not meet SSI criteria (Table 3). Of these, 2,078 fifth graders (2.8%) were retained after the 2016-17 school year, and 1,542 eighth graders (2.5%) were retained.

The majority of fifth- and eighth-grade students promoted after the 2016-17 school year had met SSI criteria. In fifth grade, 78.7 percent of all promoted students had met SSI criteria (Table 4 on page 21). A total of 43,605 students, or 11.2 percent of promoted fifth graders, had not met SSI criteria or could not be categorized based on SSI criteria but were promoted by GPCs (Figure 2 on page 20). In eighth grade, 81.5 percent of all promoted students had met SSI criteria (Table 6 on page 24). A total of 35,829 students, or 9.3 percent of promoted eighth graders, had not met SSI criteria or could not be categorized based on SSI criteria but were promoted by GPCs (Figure 3 on page 23).

Table 3
State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) 2017 Performance and Promotion Status 2016-17, Grades 5 and 8, by Grade, Texas Public Schools


STAAR performance ^b	Total	Promoted		Retained		Unknown ^a	
		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Grade 5							
Passed reading and mathematics	307,001	306,883	>99.9	118	<0.1	0	0.0
Failed reading, mathematics, or both	73,721	71,642	97.2	2,078	2.8	1	<0.1
Grade 8							
Passed reading and mathematics	313,717	313,495	99.9	221	0.1	1	<0.1
Failed reading, mathematics, or both	62,138	60,595	97.5	1,542	2.5	1	<0.1

Note. Students who (a) were missing results for both tests or (b) passed one test but were missing results for the other are not included in the table.

^aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error. ^bStudents who (a) passed grade-level tests in both reading and mathematics, (b) passed a grade-level test in one subject and took an advanced-level test in the other subject, or (c) took advanced-level tests in both subjects are included in counts of students who passed reading and mathematics.

Grade 5

Figure 2
State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) 2017 Performance and Promotion Status 2016-17, Test Results Combined, Grade 5, Texas Public Schools



Note. Parts may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

^aUnder Texas Education Code §28.0211 (2018), students in Grades 5 and 8 were subject to Student Success Initiative (SSI) grade advancement criteria. Students who (a) passed grade-level tests in both reading and mathematics, (b) passed a grade-level test in one subject and took an advanced-level test in the other subject, or (c) took advanced-level tests in both subjects were categorized as meeting criteria. Students who failed one or both grade-level tests were categorized as not meeting criteria. ^bStudents who (a) were missing results for both tests, (b) passed one test but were missing results for the other, or (c) were missing one test and took an advanced-level test for the other could not be categorized based on SSI criteria. Students may be missing STAAR results because Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) records could not be matched to STAAR records. Students not tested with STAAR may have been administered another version of STAAR, such as STAAR Alternate 2. ^cThese students may have had passing STAAR records that could not be matched to PEIMS records because of incorrect student identification information or may not have been correctly reported in PEIMS when grade placement committee (GPC) promotions were collected. ^dPromoted by GPC decision. ^ePromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

Table 4
State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) 2017 Performance and Promotion Status 2016-17, Test Results Combined, Grade 5, Texas Public Schools

Reading and mathematics performance ^b	STAAR		Promotion status					
	Total		Promoted		Retained		Unknown ^a	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Met SSI^c criteria								
Passed reading and passed math	305,886	99.6	305,768	>99.9	118	<0.1	0	0.0
Passed reading and took adv. ^d math	12	<0.1	12	100	0	0.0	0	0.0
Took adv. reading and passed math	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Took adv. reading and took adv. math	1,103	0.4	1,103	100	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total	307,001	100	306,883	>99.9	118	<0.1	0	0.0
Did not meet SSI criteria								
Failed reading and failed math	29,328	39.8	27,936	95.3	1,391	4.7	1	<0.1
Failed reading and passed math	31,843	43.2	31,396	98.6	447	1.4	0	0.0
Failed reading and took adv. math	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Failed reading and missing math	63	0.1	59	93.7	4	6.3	0	0.0
Passed reading and failed math	12,442	16.9	12,207	98.1	235	1.9	0	0.0
Took adv. reading and failed math	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Missing reading and failed math	45	0.1	44	97.8	1	2.2	0	0.0
Total	73,721	100	71,642	97.2	2,078	2.8	1	<0.1
Could not be categorized based on SSI criteria								
Missing reading and missing math	9,493	82.1	9,173	96.6	318	3.3	2	<0.1
Missing reading and passed math	1,498	12.9	1,462	97.6	36	2.4	0	0.0
Missing reading and took adv. math	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Passed reading and missing math	577	5.0	555	96.2	22	3.8	0	0.0
Took adv. reading and missing math	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total	11,568	100	11,190	96.7	376	3.3	2	<0.1

Note. Parts may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

^aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error. ^bUnder Texas Education Code §28.0211 (2018), students in Grades 5 and 8 were subject to Student Success Initiative (SSI) grade advancement criteria. Students who (a) passed grade-level tests in both reading and mathematics, (b) passed a grade-level test in one subject and took an advanced-level test in the other subject, or (c) took advanced-level tests in both subjects were categorized as meeting criteria. Students who failed one or both grade-level tests were categorized as not meeting criteria. Students who (a) were missing results for both tests, (b) passed one test but were missing results for the other, or (c) were missing one test and took an advanced-level test for the other could not be categorized based on SSI criteria. ^cStudent Success Initiative. ^dAdvanced-level.

Table 5
State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) 2017 Performance and Promotion Status 2016-17, Grade 5, by Test, Texas Public Schools


Results	STAAR		Promotion status					
	Total		Promoted		Retained		Unknown ^a	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
English-version Grade 5 reading								
Passed	307,595	83.0	307,257	99.9	338	0.1	0	0.0
Failed	59,409	16.0	57,677	97.1	1,731	2.9	1	<0.1
Not tested	3,373	0.9	3,225	95.6	148	4.4	0	0.0
Total	370,377	100	368,159	99.4	2,217	0.6	1	<0.1
English-version Grade 5 mathematics								
Passed	335,834	88.7	335,245	99.8	589	0.2	0	0.0
Failed	40,481	10.7	38,959	96.2	1,521	3.8	1	<0.1
Not tested	2,466	0.7	2,330	94.5	136	5.5	0	0.0
Total	378,781	100	376,534	99.4	2,246	0.6	1	<0.1
Spanish-version Grade 5 reading								
Passed	11,322	86.1	11,285	99.7	37	0.3	0	0.0
Failed	1,826	13.9	1,715	93.9	111	6.1	0	0.0
Not tested	1	<0.1	1	100	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total	13,149	100	13,001	98.9	148	1.1	0	0.0
Spanish-version Grade 5 mathematics								
Passed	3,393	71.8	3,381	99.6	12	0.4	0	0.0
Failed	1,334	28.2	1,228	92.1	106	7.9	0	0.0
Not tested	1	<0.1	0	0.0	1	100	0	0.0
Total	4,728	100	4,609	97.5	119	2.5	0	0.0

Note. Parts may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. Students taking advanced-level tests are excluded from these analyses.

^aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

Grade 8

Figure 3
State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) 2017 Performance and Promotion Status 2016-17, Test Results Combined, Grade 8, Texas Public Schools



Note. Parts may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

^aUnder Texas Education Code §28.0211 (2018), students in Grades 5 and 8 were subject to Student Success Initiative (SSI) grade advancement criteria. Students who (a) passed grade-level tests in both reading and mathematics, (b) passed a grade-level test in one subject and took an advanced-level test in the other subject, or (c) took advanced-level tests in both subjects were categorized as meeting criteria. Students who failed one or both grade-level tests were categorized as not meeting criteria. ^bStudents who (a) were missing results for both tests, (b) passed one test but were missing results for the other, or (c) were missing one test and took an advanced-level test for the other could not be categorized based on SSI criteria. Students may be missing STAAR results because Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) records could not be matched to STAAR records. Students not tested with STAAR may have been administered another version of STAAR, such as STAAR Alternate 2. ^cThese students may have had passing STAAR records that could not be matched to PEIMS records because of incorrect student identification information or may not have been correctly reported in PEIMS when grade placement committee (GPC) promotions were collected. ^dPromoted by GPC decision. ^ePromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

Table 6
State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) 2017 Performance and Promotion Status 2016-17, Test Results Combined, Grade 8, Texas Public Schools

Reading and mathematics performance ^b	STAAR		Promotion status					
	Total		Promoted		Retained		Unknown ^a	
Met SSI ^c criteria	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Passed reading and passed math	216,258	68.9	216,064	99.9	193	0.1	1	<0.1
Passed reading and took adv. ^d math	92,820	29.6	92,792	>99.9	28	<0.1	0	0.0
Took adv. reading and passed math	1,770	0.6	1,770	100	0	0.0	0	0.0
Took adv. reading and took adv. math	2,869	0.9	2,869	100	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total	313,717	100	313,495	99.9	221	0.1	1	<0.1
Did not meet SSI criteria								
Failed reading and failed math	25,976	41.8	24,971	96.1	1,004	3.9	1	<0.1
Failed reading and passed math	22,097	35.6	21,861	98.9	236	1.1	0	0.0
Failed reading and took adv. math	751	1.2	731	97.3	20	2.7	0	0.0
Failed reading and missing math	77	0.1	76	98.7	1	1.3	0	0.0
Passed reading and failed math	13,119	21.1	12,840	97.9	279	2.1	0	0.0
Took adv. reading and failed math	33	0.1	33	100	0	0.0	0	0.0
Missing reading and failed math	85	0.1	83	97.6	2	2.4	0	0.0
Total	62,138	100	60,595	97.5	1,542	2.5	1	<0.1
Could not be categorized based on SSI criteria								
Missing reading and missing math	9,209	83.9	8,642	93.8	565	6.1	2	<0.1
Missing reading and passed math	981	8.9	969	98.8	12	1.2	0	0.0
Missing reading and took adv. math	110	1.0	110	100	0	0.0	0	0.0
Passed reading and missing math	655	6.0	643	98.2	12	1.8	0	0.0
Took adv. reading and missing math	16	0.1	16	100	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total	10,971	100	10,380	94.6	589	5.4	2	<0.1

Note. Parts may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

^aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error. ^bUnder Texas Education Code §28.0211 (2018), students in Grades 5 and 8 were subject to Student Success Initiative (SSI) grade advancement criteria. Students who (a) passed grade-level tests in both reading and mathematics, (b) passed a grade-level test in one subject and took an advanced-level test in the other subject, or (c) took advanced-level tests in both subjects were categorized as meeting criteria. Students who failed one or both grade-level tests were categorized as not meeting criteria. Students who (a) were missing results for both tests, (b) passed one test but were missing results for the other, or (c) were missing one test and took an advanced-level test for the other could not be categorized based on SSI criteria. ^cStudent Success Initiative. ^dAdvanced-level.

Table 7
State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) 2017 Performance and Promotion Status 2016-17, Grade 8, by Test, Texas Public Schools

Results	STAAR		Promotion status					
	Total		Promoted		Retained		Unknown ^a	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
English-version Grade 8 reading								
Passed	323,007	86.2	322,494	99.8	512	0.2	1	<0.1
Failed	48,925	13.1	47,661	97.4	1,263	2.6	1	<0.1
Not tested	2,794	0.7	2,743	98.2	51	1.8	0	0.0
Total	374,726	100	372,898	99.5	1,826	0.5	2	<0.1
English-version Grade 8 mathematics								
Passed	241,112	85.3	240,670	99.8	441	0.2	1	<0.1
Failed	39,214	13.9	37,928	96.7	1,285	3.3	1	<0.1
Not tested	2,392	0.8	2,342	97.9	50	2.1	0	0.0
Total	282,718	100	280,940	99.4	1,776	0.6	2	<0.1

Note. Parts may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. Students taking advanced-level tests are excluded from these analyses.

^aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

References

References

- Code of Federal Regulations, Title 34, Education, Part 99. (2018). Retrieved January 9, 2019, from <https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=eb8a178865ca4bdc65ecc458b5046dd&mc=true&node=pt34.1.99&rgn=div5>
- Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act, 20 U.S.C. §1232g (2018). Retrieved December 27, 2018, from <http://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?req=granuleid:USC-prelim-title20-section1232g&num=0&edition=prelim>
- Texas Administrative Code, Title 19, Education. (1984). St. Paul, MN: West Publishing.
- Texas Administrative Code, Title 19, Education. (1985). St. Paul, MN: West Publishing.
- Texas Administrative Code, Title 19, Education. (1992, Supplement). St. Paul, MN: West Publishing.
- Texas Administrative Code, Title 19, Education. (1997). St. Paul, MN: West Group.
- Texas Administrative Code, Title 19, Education. (1998). St. Paul, MN: West Group.
- Texas Administrative Code, Title 19, Education. (2018). Retrieved November 20, 2018, from [http://texreg.sos.state.tx.us/public/tacctx\\$.startup](http://texreg.sos.state.tx.us/public/tacctx$.startup)
- Texas Education Agency. (2016). *2016-2017 TEDS – ESCs & LEAs using TSDS PEIMS only*. Retrieved November 13, 2018, from http://www.texasstudentdatasystem.org/TSDS/TEDS/ESCs_LEAs_Using_PEIMS
- Texas Education Agency. (2018). *Grade-level retention in Texas public schools, 2016-17* (Document No. GE19 601 02). Austin, TX: Author.
- Texas Education Code. (1986). *Texas school law bulletin*. St. Paul, MN: West Publishing.
- Texas Education Code. (1988). *Texas school law bulletin*. St. Paul, MN: West Publishing.
- Texas Education Code. (1992). *Texas school law bulletin*. St. Paul, MN: West Publishing.
- Texas Education Code. (1994). *Texas school law bulletin*. St. Paul, MN: West Publishing.
- Texas Education Code. (1996). *Texas school law bulletin*. St. Paul, MN: West Publishing.
- Texas Education Code. (1999). *Texas school law bulletin*. St. Paul, MN: West Group.
- Texas Education Code. (2010). *Texas school law bulletin*. St. Paul, MN: Thomson Reuters.
- Texas Education Code. (2011). *Texas school law bulletin*. St. Paul, MN: Thomson Reuters.

Texas Education Code. (2016). *Texas school law bulletin*. Charlottesville, VA: Matthew Bender.

Texas Education Code. (2018). *Texas school law bulletin*. Charlottesville, VA: Matthew Bender.

Texas Education Agency Publication Order Form

Purchaser name _____ Date _____

Send to (name, if different) _____

Address _____

City _____ State ____ Zip _____

Publication number and title	Available in PDF*	Quantity	Price per copy	Tax exempt only		Cost
				Quantity	Price per copy	
GE19 601 02 Grade-Level Retention in Texas Public Schools, 2016-17	Yes	_____	\$14.00	_____	\$13.00	_____
GE19 601 10 Grade-Level Retention and Student Performance in Texas Public Schools, 2016-17	Yes	_____	\$12.00	_____	\$11.00	_____
Total						_____
<i>Price includes postage, handling, and applicable state tax. Make check or money order payable to Texas Education Agency.</i>						
For publication inquiries and purchase orders† send to: <p style="text-align: center;">Texas Education Agency Publications Distribution 1701 North Congress Avenue Austin, Texas 78701-1494</p>			If you are mailing a check or money order, remit this form with payment to: <p style="text-align: center;">Texas Education Agency Publications Distribution P.O. Box 13817 Austin, Texas 78711-3817</p>			
†Purchase orders are accepted only from Texas educational institutions and government agencies.						

*Copies of these reports and other reports produced by the Division of Research and Analysis can be downloaded and printed at no cost from the Texas Education Agency website at http://tea.texas.gov/acctres/home_index.html.

Compliance Statement

Title VI, Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Modified Court Order, Civil Action 5281, Federal District Court, Eastern District of Texas, Tyler Division.

Reviews of local education agencies pertaining to compliance with Title VI Civil Rights Act of 1964 and with specific requirements of the Modified Court Order, Civil Action No. 5281, Federal District Court, Eastern District of Texas, Tyler Division are conducted periodically by staff representatives of the Texas Education Agency. These reviews cover at least the following policies and practices:

1. acceptance policies on student transfers from other school districts;
2. operation of school bus routes or runs on a nonsegregated basis;
3. nondiscrimination in extracurricular activities and the use of school facilities;
4. nondiscriminatory practices in the hiring, assigning, promoting, paying, demoting, reassigning, or dismissing of faculty and staff members who work with children;
5. enrollment and assignment of students without discrimination on the basis of race, color, or national origin;
6. nondiscriminatory practices relating to the use of a student's first language; and
7. evidence of published procedures for hearing complaints and grievances.

In addition to conducting reviews, the Texas Education Agency staff representatives check complaints of discrimination made by a citizen or citizens residing in a school district where it is alleged discriminatory practices have occurred or are occurring.

Where a violation of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act is found, the findings are reported to the Office for Civil Rights, U.S. Department of Education.

If there is a direct violation of the Court Order in Civil Action No. 5281 that cannot be cleared through negotiation, the sanctions required by the Court Order are applied.

Title VII, Civil Rights Act of 1964 as Amended by the Equal Employment Opportunity Act of 1972; Executive Orders 11246 and 11375; Equal Pay Act of 1964; Title IX, Education Amendments; Rehabilitation Act of 1973 as Amended; 1974 Amendments to the Wage-Hour Law Expanding the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967; Vietnam Era Veterans Readjustment Assistance Act of 1972 as Amended; Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986; Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990; and the Civil Rights Act of 1991.

The Texas Education Agency shall comply fully with the nondiscrimination provisions of all federal and state laws, rules, and regulations by assuring that no person shall be excluded from consideration for recruitment, selection, appointment, training, promotion, retention, or any other personnel action, or be denied any benefits or participation in any educational programs or activities which it operates on the grounds of race, religion, color, national origin, sex, disability, age, or veteran status (except where age, sex, or disability constitutes a bona fide occupational qualification necessary to proper and efficient administration). The Texas Education Agency is an Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action employer.



**Texas Education Agency
1701 North Congress Avenue
Austin, Texas 78701-1494**

**Document No. GE19 601 10
March 2019**