Adius	For TEA Use Only stments and/or annotations made				
on this pad	ge have been confirmed with		Brownsville ISD	- 1	031-901
,	y - was a soon committed with	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY	Organization Name		County-District
		Standard Application System	,		
		(SAS)	Egly Elementary		123
1		(0,0)	Campus Name	1	Campus Number
by telepho	ne/e-mail/FAX on b	School Years 2010-2013	74-6000418	ł	4
by telepho	ne/e-mail/FAX on b	y School reals 2010-2013	9-Digit Vendor ID#		ESC Region
					LOC REGION
	of TEA	۹.	NOCA ID# (Assistant In Tex		
	Te	exas Title I Priority Schools G	NOGA ID# (Assigned by TEA	1)	Amendment #
		chedule #1 Consultre	Control Contro	Time to the	
Use of the	: Standard Application Systems	This auctions are still			
who apply	for funds administered by the Texas	s Education Agency. If additional clarification and the second of the se	d schedules to be used as f	ormats	by applicants
Program A	Authority: P.L. 107-110, Section	1 1003(g), as amended by ARRA; CFE	duon is needed, please call	512-46	3-9269.
Project Be	ginning Date: 08/01/2010	Project	t Ending Date: 06/30/20	~ ~ ~	
Select the	e appropriate eligibility tier	Project for the campus included in this a	nnlication	113	
Tier I 🔲	lier II □ Tler III 🛛	and annipus included in tills a	ppiication:		
	ndex to the Application				
An X in the	"New Application" column indicator	those schodule III			
place an X i	in this column for each additional co	s those schedules that must be submitted to complete the applie	d as part of the application.	The ap	plicant must
place an X	n the Amendment Application column	chedule schedules that must be submitted thedule submitted to complete the applic	ation. For amendments, the	e applica	ant must
		nn next to the schedule(s) being submitt	ed as part of the amendme	nt.	-
Sch No.	Schedule Name				lication
1	Conord Information			New	Amend
3	General Information			X	X
4	Purpose of Amendment			NA	
	Program Requirements			X	
<u>4A</u>	Program Abstract			$\hat{\mathbf{x}}$	
4B	Program Description			$\frac{\lambda}{x}$	
4C	Performance Assessment and Eval	luation		$\frac{\hat{\mathbf{x}}}{\mathbf{x}}$	 = = -
4D	Equitable Access and Participation			^	
5	Program Budget Summary			X	+ + -
5B	Payroll Costs 6100			$\hat{\boxtimes}$	X
<u>5C</u>	Professional and Contracted Service	ces 6200		X X	
5D	Supplies and Materials 6300				
<u>5E</u>	Other Operating Costs 6400				
<u>5</u> G	Capital Outlay 6600/15XX (Exclusi	ve of 6619 and 6629)		$\overline{\boxtimes}$	
6A	General Provisions			X	
6B	Debarment and Suspension Certific	cation		<u>^</u>	NA NA
6C	Lobbying Certification			X	NA NA
6D	Disclosure of Lobbying Activities			^	NA NA
6E	NCLB Provisions and Assurances				<u> </u>
6F[Program-Specific Provisions and As	ssurances		<u>x</u>	NA NA
<u>Certificati</u>	on and Incorporation			The second second	NA NA
I hereby ceri	ify that the information contained in	n this application is, to the best of my kn		r. Folklyr is:	
named above	e has authorized me as its represen-	itative to obligate this organization in a le	lowledge, correct and that t	.ne orga	inization
certify that a	ny ensuing program and activity wi	Il be conducted in accordance with all ap	gally binding contractual ag	greeme	nt. I further
egulations,	application guidelines and instructio	ons, the Provisions and Assurances, Deba	plicable rederal and State R	aws and	1
Special Provi	sions and Assurances, and the sche	edules attached as applicable. It is unders	their and Suspension, lobi	oying re	quirements,
		ncy or renegotiated to acceptance, will fo	rm a binding agreement	tnis app	ilication
	Comercia :	A STATE OF THE STA	ini a binding agreement,		
yped First N	ame Initial La	st Name	wini.		
Brett			Title		
Phone	Fax F	41	Superintendent of Schools		
956-548-801	1 956-548-8019 h	springston@bied.ue	Signature/Date Signed (blu	ie ink p	referred)
only the leg	ally responsible party may sign	this application			11.
complete cor	lies of the application at take to	sto-application,			6/1/10
Texas Fo	lucation Agency	th original signature(s), must be received	-, otoo piiin Thatsauy, J	nne 3.	
	3. Travis Bidg.			7	
Documer	nt Control Center, Room 6-108	CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	i. ====================================		
1701 No	rth Congress Avenue	STMAND VAANOTTERES	TEA DOCUMENT CO	NTROL	NO.
Austin, T	exas 78701-1494	The state of the s	. F		

100 mil 100 mi

10-112-283

Adjus on this	For TEA Use Only stments and/or annotations made page have been confirmed with	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	031-901				
by telep by	hone/e-mail/FAX on of TEA.	School Years 2010-2013	County-District No.				
·		Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	Amendment No.				
		Schodulo #1 O					
Part 2	2: List of Required Fiscal	Related Attachments and Assurances					
any rev	pany the applications, the app pany the application when it is visions to those required attac of the application as an append	lication will not be reviewed and scored if any of submitted. Applicants will not be permitted to shments, after the closing date of the grant. Attalix.	the required attachments do not submit required attachments, or ch all required attachments to the				
		Proof of Nonprofit Status					
1 🔲	Required for all open-enro	Required for all open-enrollment charter schools sponsored by a nonprofit organization:					
	Uneck box to indicate that	Check box to indicate that proof of nonprofit status is attached. (See Part 1: General and Fiscal Guidelines					
		Assurance of Financial Stability					
	Required for all independent school districts, open-enrollment charter schools, and education service						
		nt school districts, open-enroliment charter so					
2 🛛	Check box to Indicate assu enrollment charter schools, at audit for the immediate prior determined by the TEA Division reserves the right to ensure the preliminary selection for funding	rance that audit requirements have been met. and education service centers must be in compliance fiscal year to TEA in the time and manner requester and on of Financial Audits to be in compliance with the a mat all applicants are deemed by TEA to be financial	All public school districts, open- with submitting the required annual d by TEA, and the audit must be pplicable audit standards. TEA ly stable at the initial time of				
2 🖾	Check box to Indicate assu enrollment charter schools, at audit for the immediate prior determined by the TEA Division reserves the right to ensure the preliminary selection for fundification is stability based on the Asset in the charter of the	rance that audit requirements have been met. Independent of education service centers must be in compliance fiscal year to TEA in the time and manner requested on of Financial Audits to be in compliance with the anat all applicants are deemed by TEA to be financial ng to receive a grant award. The TEA Division of Fire required annual audit for the immediate prior fiscal surance of Submittal of Reviewer Information	All public school districts, open- with submitting the required annual d by TEA, and the audit must be pplicable audit standards. TEA ly stable at the initial time of nancial Audits will determine				
	Check box to Indicate assu enrollment charter schools, at audit for the immediate prior determined by the TEA Division reserves the right to ensure the preliminary selection for fundification for stability based on the Required for all applicants:	rance that audit requirements have been met. Independent of the line of the li	All public school districts, openwith submitting the required annual by TEA, and the audit must be pplicable audit standards. TEA ly stable at the initial time of nancial Audits will determine by year.				
2 ⊠	Check box to Indicate assu enrollment charter schools, at audit for the immediate prior determined by the TEA Division reserves the right to ensure the preliminary selection for fundification for the Assument of the Required for all applicants: Check box to indicate assuments.	rance that audit requirements have been met. Independent of education service centers must be in compliance fiscal year to TEA in the time and manner requested on of Financial Audits to be in compliance with the anat all applicants are deemed by TEA to be financial ng to receive a grant award. The TEA Division of Fire required annual audit for the immediate prior fiscal surance of Submittal of Reviewer Information	All public school districts, openwith submitting the required annual by TEA, and the audit must be pplicable audit standards. TEA ly stable at the initial time of nancial Audits will determine by year.				

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations on this page have been confirmed w by telephone/e-mail/FAX on	made ilth	Standa	ard Applicat	TION AGENC ion System (S	SAS)		031-901_ County-District No.
by	of TEA.	l					Amendment No.
	 ,	Texas Ti	tle I Prior	ity Schools	Grant		
Part 3: Applicant Infor	mation	Schedul	e #1—Ger	neral Inforr	nation		
rait 3. Applicant Infor	natioi	1					
Local Educational Agen	cv (I E	A) Inform	ation .				
LEA Name	CY (LL	A) IIIOIIII	ation				
Brownsville Independent Sch	nool Dis	trict					
Mailing Address Line - 1		ing Address L	ine - 2	City	State	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Zip Code
1900 Price Rd	NA			Brownsville	TX		78521
U.S. Congressional District Number		Primary DU	NS Number		ntractor Regis	stration	NCES Identification Number
TX-027		030917579		4XT71		·	4811680
Campus Name				1	Count	v-Dietrici	Campus Number
Egly Elementary					031-9	9- <u>District</u> 01-123	campus wumber
Mailing Address Line - 1	Malli	ing Address L	ine – 2	City	State		Zip Code
445 Land O Lakes Dr.	NA			Brownsville	TX		78521
Applicant Contacts							
Primary Contact				.,			
First Name	Initia	al	Last Name	е			Title
Isabei	C.		Bridgwate	r			Principal
Telephone	Fax			Email			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(956)548-8850 or (956)459- 9665		982-3074			ter@bisd.us		
Mailing Address Line - 1		ng Address Li	ne – 2	City	State		Zip Code
445 Land O Lakes Dr.	487	Windcrest		Brownsville	TX		78521
Secondary Contact						·	
First Name	Initia	ı <u>l</u>	Last Name	2			Title
Lucilla Telephone	P.		Perez				Reading Coach
(956) 548-8850 or (956) 466-	(956)	982-3074		E-mail lpperez@l	oled tre	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1423 Mailing Address Line – 1	· 		2 1				
145 Land O Lakes Dr.		ng Address Lir Palo Verde		City Brownsville	State TX		Zip Code
145 200 1 200C 1P							78521

Ad on thi	justments and	A Use Only I/or annotations made een confirmed with	TEXAS EDI Standard App	JCAT licatio	ION AGENCY on System (SAS)		031-901
4	by telephone/e-mail/FAX on of TEA, School			ears	2010-2013	<u></u>	y-District No.
			Texas Title I P	riori	ty Schools Grant	Ame	ndment No.
			Schedule #3-P	urpo	se of Amendmen	t	
Part	t 1: Sche	dules Amended (Check all schedule	s tha	t are being amond	ad)	
being	ri submittin g revised/a	ig a revision or an ai mended. Submit sup	mendment, please inc porting budget sched	dicate dules	by checking the app that are being revise	ropriate box what d or amended.	schedules are
	Schedule	#1—General Inform	nation		Schedule #5—Prog		mary
	Schedule	#3—Purpose of Am	endment		Schedule #5B—Pay	roll Costs 6100	
Image: Control of the	Schedule	#4—Program Requi	irements		Schedule #5C—Pro 6200	fessional and Con	tracted Services
	Schedule	#4A—Program Absi	tract		Schedule #5D-Su	oplies and Materia	ls 6300
	Schedule	#4BProgram Desc	cription		Schedule #5E-Oth	er Operating Cost	s 6400
	Evaluation				Schedule #5G—Cap 6619 and 6629)	_	
	Schedule Participat	#4D—Equitable Acc lon	ess and				
NOTE	: The last	day to submit an	amendment to TEA	is 90	days prior to the	ending date of t	he grant.
Part	2: Revise	ed Budget		·			
Comp	lete this pa	art if there are any b	udgetary changes.				
Line	Sch.	Classi	Grant Project Costs		В	С	D
No.	No.	Class/ Object Code	Previously Approved Budget		Amount Deleted	Amount Added	New Budget
01	58	6100	\$		\$	\$	\$
02	5C	6200	\$\$		\$	\$	\$
03	5D	6300	\$		\$	\$	\$
04	5E	6400	\$		\$	\$	\$
05	5G	6600/15XX	\$		\$	\$	\$
06	Total Direct Costs	\$	\$		\$	\$	\$
07	Indire	ct Cost (%)	\$		\$	\$	\$
08		Total Costs	\$		\$	\$	\$

	For TEA Use Only		
on this	ustments and/or annotations made s page have been confirmed with	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	031-901 County-District No.
by tele	phone/e-mail/FAX on of TEA.	School Years 2010-2013	
		Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	Amendment No.
Dant	2. Donou. 6	Schedule #3-Purpose of Amondment	
appr	I maicate least		
	1. Addition of a class/object of	ode not previously budgeted on Schedule #5–B	udget Summary
	2. Increase or decrease the a 6100-6600) by more than 25	mount approved in any class/object code on Sch % of the current amount approved in the class/o	edule #5—Budget Summary (i.e.,
	3. Addition of a new line item	on any of the supporting budget schedules (i.e.,	Schedules #5B-5G)
	4. Increase or decrease in the	number of positions budgeted on Schedule #5B	Payroll Costs
	5. Addition of a new item of consumption of the Supplies and Materials	omputer hardware/equipment (not capitalized) a	pproved on Schedule #5C-
	Addition of a new item or in Capital Outlay for articles cost	ncrease in quantity of capital outlay item(s) $\geq 5 ing \$5,000 or more.	,000 approved on Schedule #5G—
		apital outlay items approved on Schedule #5G-(Capital Outlay for articles costing
	8. Reduction of funds allotted	for training costs	
□	9. Additional funds needed		
	10. Change in scope of objecti approval	ves, regardless of whether there is an associated	budget revision requiring prior
	11. Other (Specify)		
Part 4	1: Amendment Justification	on	

The last day to submit an amendment to TEA is 90 days prior to the ending date of the grant.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031-901	
County-District	No

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on by

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule #4—Program Summary and Application Requirements

Part 1: Grant Program Information;

Summary of Program: Purpose and Goals

School Improvement Grants, authorized under section 1003(g) of Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (Title I or ESEA) as amended by ARRA, are grants, through the Texas Education Agency, to local educational agencies (LEAs) for use in Title I schools identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring and other eligible campuses that demonstrate the greatest need for the funds and the strongest commitment to use the funds to provide adequate resources in order to raise substantially the achievement of their students so as to enable the schools to make adequate yearly progress and exit improvement status. Under the final requirements, as amended through the interim final requirements published in the Federal Register in January 2010 school improvement funds are to be focused on each State's "Tier I" and "Tier II" schools.

Tier I schools are a State's persistently lowest-achieving Title I schools in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring and certain Title I eligible elementary schools that are as low achieving as the State's other Tier I schools. Tier II schools are a State's persistently-lowest achieving secondary schools that are eligible for, but do not receive, Title I, Part A funds and certain additional Title I eligible secondary schools that are as low achieving as the State's other Tier II schools or that have had a graduation rate below 60 percent over a number of years. An LEA may also use school improvement funds in Title I schools in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring that are not identified as persistently lowest-achieving schools and certain additional Title I eligible schools ("Tier III schools").

In the Tier I and Tier II schools an LEA chooses to serve, the LEA must implement one of four school intervention models: turnaround model, restart model, school closure, or transformation model.

Allowable Activities

Tier I and Tier II Grantees

- Grantees must expend grant funds for the required activities delineated for the selected intervention model as defined in the final federal regulations.
- Grantees may expend grant funds for the permissible activities delineated for the selected intervention model as defined in the final federal regulations.
- Grantees may expend grant funds for other school improvement activities deemed needed to address identified needs not addressed by the intervention model selected.

Tier III Grantees

- Tier III grantees may select one of the four intervention models in the federal regulations or the state's Tier III Transformation Model.
- If selecting one of the four models in the federal regulations, grantees must expend grant funds for the required activities delineated for the selected intervention model as defined in the final federal regulations. Grantees may expend grant funds for the permissible activities delineated for the selected intervention model as defined in the final federal regulations.
- Grantees may expend grant funds for other school improvement activities deemed needed to address identified needs not addressed by the intervention model selected.

In addition, a Tier I, Tier II, Tier III grantee campus that has implemented, in whole or in part, either the Turnaround, Restart, or Transformation models within the last two years may continue or complete the implementation of the intervention model with the TTIPS grant funds. For example, if a grantee campus has replaced its principal within the last two years, the LEA/campus will not be required to hire another new principal. An LEA/campus that receives TTIPS SIG funds in accordance with this flexibility must fully implement the selected model as required by the final federal requirements. In other words, if the school had been implementing the model only in part, it must use the funds it receives to expand its implementation so that it fully complies with the federal regulatory requirements.

The detailed required and permissible activities for each intervention model, as defined in the final federal regulations are listed on Schedule #4-Program Requirements and are incorporated by reference herein.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031-901 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant
	Schedule #4—Program Requirements
Part 2: Statutor	/ Poguiroments

#	Transfer Description - Federal Statutory Requirements	Primary Component Where Described
1	The LEA must demonstrate that the LEA has analyzed the needs of each school and selected an intervention for each school and selected an intervention for each school.	Comprehensive Needs Assessment
2	The LEA must demonstrate that it has the capacity to use these grant funds to provide adequate resources and related support to each Tier campus identified in the LEA's application in order to implement, fully and effectively, the required activities of the school intervention model it has selected.	Project Management—Capacity Project Management—LEA Support
3	application for each campus), the LEA must explain why it lacks capacity to serve each Tier I school.	Project Management—Lack of Capacity
4	The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to design and implement interventions consistent with the final federal requirements, including the services the campus will receive or the activities the campus will implement.	Program Abstract Intervention Model
5	select external providers, if applicable, to ensure their quality	Project Management—External Providers
6	with the interventions.	Project Management—Resource Management
7	The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to modify its practices or policies, if necessary, to enable its schools to implement the interventions fully and effectively.	Program Budget Summary Project Management— Management of Grant
8	The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to sustain the reforms after the funding period ends.	Activities Project Management—Program Continuation and Sustainability
9	The LEA must include a timeline delineating the steps it will take to implement the selected intervention in each campus.	Project Management—Activity Timeline
0	The LEA must describe the annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics that it has established in order to monitor its Tier I and Tier II schools that receive school improvement funds.	Performance Assessment and Evaluation—Annual Performance Goals
1	As appropriate, the LEA must consult with relevant stakeholders regarding the LEA's application and implementation of school improvement models on its campus	Comprehensive Needs Assessment—Groups of Participants Project Management— Partnerships/Involvement of Others
	Applicant provides assurance that financial assistance provided under the grant program will supplement, and not supplant, the amount of state and local funds allocated to the campus.	Program Assurances
- [Applicant provides assurance that it will use its School Improvement Grant to implement fully and effectively an intervention in each Tier I and Tier II school that the LEA commits to serve consistent with the final federal requirements.	Program Assurances

-	this page	For TEA Use Only ints and/or annotations made have been confirmed with	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	031-901 County-District No.
by		e/e-mall/FAX on of TEA.	School Years 2010-2013	Amendment No.
-			Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	
 	Dart 7	Ctatutani Dagiliani	Schedule #4—Program Requirements	
1	1	Statutory Requireme		
#			ederal Statutory Requirements	Primary Component Where Described
14	math final (serve TEA)	vement on the State's assi ematics and measure prog ederal requirements in orc s with school improvemen to hold accountable its Tie	at it will establish annual goals for student essments in both reading/language arts and ress on the leading indicators in section III of the ler to monitor each Tier I and Tier II school that it funds, and establish goals (approved by the III schools that receive grant funds.	Program Assurances
15	I or T hold t mana requir	ier II school, include in its he charter operator, chart gement organization (EMO ements.	at it will, if it implements a restart model in a Tier contract or agreement terms and provisions to er management organization (CMO), or education) accountable for complying with the final federal	
16	15quii	ed under section III of the	at it will report to the TEA the school-level data final federal requirements.	Program Assurances
17	a. b. c. d.	Replace the principal and flexibility (including in simplement fully a compimprove student achiev graduation rates; Use locally adopted compimhor who can work within the students; 1. Screen all existing. 2. Select new staff. Implement such strateging for promotion and career are designed to recruit, to meet the needs of the Provide staff ongoing, high development that is alighed instructional program are equipped to facilitate capacity to successfully Adopt a new governance to, requiring the school for SEA, hire a "turnarous Superintendent or Chief contract with the LEA or greater accountability; Use data to identify and in research-based and vert as aligned with State acceptomote the continuous uninterim, and summative instruction in order to meet to stabilish schedules and in	d grant the principal sufficient operational taffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to rehensive approach in order to substantially ement outcomes and increase high school petencies to measure the effectiveness of staff at turnaround environment to meet the needs of g staff and rehire no more than 50 percent; and es as financial incentives, increased opportunities or growth, and more flexible work conditions that place, and retain staff with the skills necessary estudents in the turnaround school; gh-quality, job-embedded professional need with the school's comprehensive and designed with school staff to ensure that they estimate the effective teaching and learning and have the implement school reform strategies; structure, which may include, but is not limited to report to a new "turnaround office" in the LEA and leader" who reports directly to the Academic Officer, or enter into a multi-year SEA to obtain added flexibility in exchange for mplement an instructional program that is ideally aligned from one grade to the next as well ademic standards; see of student data (such as from formative, assessments) to inform and differentiate enter the academic needs of individual students; applement strategies that provide increased.	Program Assurances
		rearring time (as defined	In this notice); and -emotional and community-oriented services	

	Adjustments and/or annotations made in this page have been confirmed with y telephone/e-mail/FAX on of TEA.	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) School Years 2010-2013	031-901 County-District No.
-		Town	
-	S .	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	Amendment No.
 	Part 2: Statutory Requirement	chedule #4—Program Requirements	
#	Requirement Description	<u> </u>	
	Requirement Description - Fed If the LEA/campus selects to imple	eral Statutory Requirements	Primary Com
	may implement the Cultuple	ment the turnaround	Primary Component Whe Described
18	may implement the following federa. Any of the required and no	ral requirements.	Program Assurances
	model or	Illissible activities under the towns	i sourances
	b. A new school model (e.g.)	themed, dual language academy).	
i	must imple	themed, dual language academy). ment the school closure model , the campus irement.	
			Program Assum
19			Program Assurances
	school and may include	ended that school in other schools in the LEA within reasonable proximity to the closed at are not limited to charten the closed	
	Schools for which I	t are not limited to charter to the closed	
	b. A grant for school closure is	ent data are not yet available.	
	implement the fall	ent the <u>restart model</u> , the campus must irements.	
	implement the following federal required a. Convert or close and recovery	irements.	Program Assurances
	a charter management	irements. the school under a charter school operator, nization (CMO), or an education	Assurances
0	119010US review process.	Structures need selected threat -	
- [Treidigo de managas -t	THE PLANT OF CAUSTACH AND THE T	1
- [
	FA Organization that provi	des "whole-school approved or non-	
	b. Enroll, within the grades it as	ves, any former student who wishes to	
75	attend the school,	ves, any former student who wishes to	
m	the LEA/campus selects to implement	nt the <u>transformation model</u> , the campus requirements.	
"	nust implement the following federal 1. Develop and increase teacher.	requirements	Program Age
	Develop and increase teacher a (A) Replace the principal w	and school leader effectives	Program Assurances
	Vi tile transformation	The the school prior to comme	
	(B) Use rigorous transparent	ent, and equitable evaluation systems for	
	teachers and principals that	nt, and equitable evaluation systems for	
	factor as well as other f	nt data on student growth as a significant actors such as multiple observation-based ance and ongoing collections.	
	""" TO US OF NAME	- WINDLE OUSELVATION BALL I	
	Proressional neaction c	, Series concurring of	
	increased high school gr (2) Are designed an	aduation rates; and	
	involvement.	iu developed with teacher and and	
	17 AMERICAN AND FORWARD FOR		
	who, in implementing thi	of leaders, teachers, and other staff s model, have increased student hool graduation retains	-
	Weilickeilleut and Pier	' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	
	for them to improve it	ample opportunities have been provided	1
	Y' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	i	
	development (e.g., regard	h-quality, job-embedded professional ling subject-specific pedagogy, deeper updoretor de	
	"OU UCTON THAT PARA	, - Pecule Denamone	1
	SELVED BY the coherent	deeper understanding of the community ifferentiated instruction) that is aligned ensive instructional program and	1
	mer the school's compreh-	ensive instruction) that is aligned	

<u> </u>	For TEA Use Only	
-	Adjustments and/or annotations made in this page have been confirmed with TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	031-901 County-District No.
b	of TEA. School Years 2010-2013	Amendment No.
\vdash	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	жиеналиен, мо,
-	Schedule #4—Program Poguiromanta	
ł	Ture 2. Statutory Requirements	
#	Trade and the second trade at Statutory Requirements	Primary Component Where Described
21	3. Increasing learning time and creating community-oriented schools. (A) Establish schedules and strategies that provide increased learning time; and (B) Provide ongoing mechanisms for family and community engagement. 4. Providing operational flexibility and sustained support. (A) Give the school sufficient operational flexibility (such as staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates; and (B) Ensure that the school receives ongoing, intensive technical assistance and related support from the LEA, the SEA, or a designated external lead partner organization (such as a section).	Program Assurances
\neg	An LEA may also implement other strategies to develop teachers' and school leaders' effectiveness such as	
.2	(A) Provide additional compensation to attract and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school; (B) Institute a system for measuring changes in instructional practices resulting from professional development; or (C) Ensure that the school is not required to accept a teacher without the mutual consent of the teacher and principal, regardless of the teacher.	Program Assurances
	seniority.	

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** Standard Application System (SAS) 031-901 County-District No. by telephone/e-mall/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 of TEA. Amendment No. Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4—Program Requirements Part 2: Statutory Requirements Requirement Description - Federal Statutory Requirements **Primary Component Where** An LEA may also implement comprehensive instructional reform strategies, such Described Program Assurances (A) Conduct periodic reviews to ensure that the curriculum is being implemented with fidelity, is having the intended impact on student achievement, and is modified if ineffective; (B) Implement a school wide "response-to-intervention" model; (C) Provide additional supports and professional development to teachers and principals in order to implement effective strategies to support students with disabilities in the least restrictive environment and to ensure that limited English proficient students acquire language skills to master academic content; (D) Use and integrate technology-based supports and interventions as part of the instructional program; and (E) In secondary schools--(1) Increase rigor by offering opportunities for students to enroll in advanced coursework (such as Advanced Placement; 23 International Baccalaureate; or science, technology, engineering, and mathematics courses, especially those that incorporate rigorous and relevant project-, inquiry-, or design-based contextual learning opportunities), early-college high schools, dual enrollment programs, or thematic learning academies that prepare students for college and careers, including by providing appropriate supports designed to ensure that low-achieving students can take advantage of these programs and coursework; (2) Improve student transition from middle to high school through summer transition programs or freshman academies; (3) Increase graduation rates through, for example, credit-recovery programs, re-engagement strategies, smaller learning communities, competency-based instruction and performancebased assessments, and acceleration of basic reading and mathematics skills; or (4) Establish early-warning systems to identify students who may be at risk of failing to achieve to high standards or graduate. An LEA may also implement other strategies that extend learning time and create community-oriented schools, such as-**Program Assurances** (A) Partner with parents and parent organizations, faith- and communitybased organizations, health clinics, other State or local agencies, and others to create safe school environments that meet students' social, emotional, and health needs; (B) Extend or restructure the school day so as to add time for such 24 strategies as advisory periods that build relationships between students, faculty, and other school staff; (C) Implement approaches to improve school climate and discipline, such as implementing a system of positive behavioral supports or taking steps to eliminate bullying and student harassment; or (D) Expand the school program to offer full-day kindergarten or prekindergarten.

	For TEA Use Only		_
\vdash	Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	031-901
b	y telephone/e-mail/FAX on	School Years 2010-2013	County-District No.
	y of TEA.	··	Amendment No.
-		Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4—Program Requirements	
-	Part 2: Statutory Requireme	111.5	
#	restanciment Description - F	ederal Statutory Requirements	Primary Component Where
	THE LEA may also implement of	hor strete it s	Described
١	and intensive support, such as	providing operational nexibility	Program Assurances
25	as a turnaround division	un under a new governance arrangement, such n within the LEA or SEA; or	
	(B) Implement a per-pupil of	School-based budget se	
-	An I FA that has ping		
26	implementing the transformation	er I and Tier II schools is prohibited from model in more than 50% of those schools.	Program Assurances
Ву	submitting the application and	signing Schedule #1, the analysis	3 and ances
П	et in these program narrative co	model in more than 50% of those schools. signing Schedule #1, the applicant is certify mponent descriptions and activities.	ing that all requirements are
Pa	irt 3: Statutory Assurances		
#	Statutory Assurance Descripti	on	
1	Applicant provides assurance that	financial assistance provided under the grant pro	
	Applicant provides accurance the	Initializing assistance provided under the grant pro I local funds allocated to the campus.	gram will supplement, and not
	each Tier I and Tier II school that	it will use its I FIPS Grant to implement fully and	effectively an intervention in
	TO PROBLEM DELIVERE ACCURANAS ALLE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1) IP/IPF3: reguliromanta
3	!!!	godio ioi student achieven	nent on the Ctal-1
	funds, and establish goals (approx	mathematics and measure progress on the leading to monitor each Tier I and Tier II school that it solved by the TEA) to hold accountable its Tier III solved	erves with school improvement
4	LINDERSON OF THE PROPERTY OF T	11 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
_			
5	Applicant provides assurance that	provisions to hold the charter operator, charter man accountable for complying with the final federa it will report to the TFA the school love to the	I requirements.
\dashv			
6	TIPPIICOIL DIGVIORS ACCITIONES FLAT	L	
2ar	t 4: TEA Droman &	it will participate in any evaluation of the grant co gits contractors, or the Texas Education Agency, i	nducted by the U.S. Noluding its contractors
- 1			y see concructors,
#	TEA Assurance Description		
	activities have been activities have been	e made available, the grantee must demonstrate	\$11 IS
	Quarterly Implementation Penarta	e made available, the grantee must demonstrate cessful completion of the early implementation w (QIR), the Model Selection and Description Report	that all early implementation
	TEN LECTURED ASSISTANCE	To an action of the control of the c	. and through portion at
	" ''' ''' '''' Jelection and the	corintia December 1	Į.
	Inis report may be submitte	ed at any time prior to the deadline. Grantees mus activities:	r than February 1, 2011.
	i. Comprehensive Nee	activities.	se demonstrate successful
	" Stabilan the mant r	NICOCO NU Pha was to the control of	
	- 0.000 HIGH OF ALL	VISIES TO Implement L	
	The pevelopment of Time	eline of Grant Activities.	

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** Standard Application System (SAS) 031-901 by telephone/e-mail/FAX on County-District No. School Years 2010-2013 of TEA. Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Amendment No. Schedule #4—Program Requirements Part 4: TEA Program Assurances **TEA Assurance Description** The applicant provides assurance that the LEA will designate an individual or office with primary responsibilities for supporting the LEA/campus' school improvement efforts. This individual/office will have primary responsibility and authority for ensuring the effective implementation of the grant option approved by TEA; serve as the district liaison to TEA and those providing technical assistance and/or contracted service to the LEA/campus as part of the The applicant provides assurance that a team from the grantee LEA/campus will attend and participate in grant orientation meetings, technical assistance meetings, other periodic meetings of grantees, the Texas School 3 Improvement Conference, and sharing of best practices. For the LEAs selecting the TEA Designed Models the applicant provide assurances that it will participate in and make use of technical assistance and coaching support provided by TEA, SIRC, and/or its subcontractors. The applicant will establish or provide evidence of a system of formative assessment aligned to the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills which provides robust, targeted data to evaluate the effectiveness of the LEA's curriculum 5 and its alignment with instruction occurring on the campus; assesses progress on student groups' academic achievement at the campus level; and guide instructional decisions by teachers for individual students. The applicant will participate in a formative assessment of the LEA's capacity and commitment to carry out the 6 The applicant will provide access for onsite visits to the LEA and campus by TEA, SIRC and its contractors. 7 The applicant, if selecting the Restart Model, agrees to contract only with CMO or EMO providers on the State's 8 The applicant, if selecting the Turnaround Model or Transformation Model (Tiers I and Tiers II only) agrees to the participation of the campus principal or principal candidates in a formative assessment of their turnaround If the LEA/Tier III campus selects to implement the transformation model, the campus assures That it will it 1. Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness. A. Evaluate the effectiveness of the current principal and use the results of the evaluation to determine whether the principal should be replaced, be retained on the campus, or be provided leadership coaching B. Identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing this model, have increased student achievement and high school graduation rates and identify and remove those who, after ample opportunities have been provided for them to improve their professional practice, have not C. Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development (e.g., regarding subjectspecific pedagogy, instruction that reflects a deeper understanding of the community served by the 10 school, or differentiated instruction) that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies; and D. Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school based on rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals: 1. Takes into account data on student growth as a a factor as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of student performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective of student achievement and increased high school graduation rates; and

2. Are designed and developed and with teacher and principal involvement

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** Standard Application System (SAS) 031-901 County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 of TEA. Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Amendment No. Schedule #4—Program Requirements Part 4: TEA Program Assurances **TEA Assurance Description** 2. Comprehensive instructional reform strategies. A. Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards; and B. Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual 3. Increasing learning time and creating community-oriented schools. A. Establish schedules and strategies that provide increased learning time; and 10 B. Provide ongoing mechanisms for family and community engagement. 4. Providing operational flexibility and sustained support. A. Give the school sufficient operational flexibility (such as staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates; and B. Ensure that the school receives ongoing, intensive technical assistance and related support from the LEA, the SEA, or a designated external lead partner organization (such as a school turnaround An LEA may also implement other strategies to develop teachers' and school leaders' effectiveness, such as-(A)Provide additional compensation to attract and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of (B)Institute a system for measuring changes in instructional practices resulting from professional 11 (C) Ensure that the school is not required to accept a teacher without the mutual consent of the teacher and principal, regardless of the teacher's seniority. An LEA may also implement comprehensive instructional reform strategies, such as-(A)Conduct periodic reviews to ensure that the curriculum is being implemented with fidelity, is having the intended impact on student achievement, and is modified if ineffective; (B)Implement a school wide "response-to-intervention" model; (C) Provide additional supports and professional development to teachers and principals in order to implement effective strategies to support students with disabilities in the least restrictive environment and to ensure that limited English proficient students acquire language skills to master academic content; (D) Use and integrate technology-based supports and interventions as part of the instructional program; and (E)In secondary schools--(1) Increase rigor by offering opportunities for students to enroll in advanced coursework (such as advanced Placement; International Baccalaureate; or science, technology, engineering, and 12 mathematics courses, especially those that incorporate rigorous and relevant project-, inquiry-, or design-based contextual learning opportunities), early-college high schools, dual enrollment programs, or thematic learning academies that prepare students for college and careers, including by providing appropriate supports designed to ensure that low-achieving students can take advantage of these (2) Improve student transition from middle to high school through summer transition programs or (3) Increase graduation rates through, for example, credit-recovery programs, re-engagement strategies, smaller learning communities, competency-based instruction and performance-based assessments, and acceleration of basic reading and mathematics skills; or (4) Establish early-warning systems to identify students who may be at risk of failing to achieve to high

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) 031-901 County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 of TEA. Amendment No. Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4—Program Requirements Part 4: TEA Program Assurances **TEA Assurance Description** An LEA may also implement other strategies that extend learning time and create community-oriented schools, A. Partner with parents and parent organizations, faith- and community-based organizations, health clinics, other State or local agencies, and others to create safe school environments that meet students' social, emotional, and health needs; 13 B. Extend or restructure the school day so as to add time for such strategies as advisory periods that build relationships between students, faculty, and other school staff; C. Implement approaches to improve school climate and discipline, such as implementing a system of positive behavioral supports or taking steps to eliminate bullying and student harassment; or D. Expand the school program to offer full-day kindergarten or pre-kindergarten. The LEA may also implement other strategies for providing operational flexibility and intensive support, such as-A. Allow the school to be run under a new governance arrangement, such as a turnaround division within the 14 LEA or SEA; or B. Implement a per-pupil school-based budget formula that is weighted based on student needs. The LEA/campus assures TEA that data to meet the following federal requirements will be available and reported as requested. a. Number of minutes within the school year. b. Average scale scores on State assessments in reading/language arts and in mathematics, by grade, for the "all students" group, for each achievement quartile, and for each subgroup. c. Number and percentage of students completing advanced coursework (e.g., AP/IB), early-college high schools, or dual enrollment classes. (High Schools Only) d. College enrollment rates. (High Schools Only) 15 e. Teacher Attendance Rate f. Student Completion Rate g. Student Drop-Out Rate h. Locally developed competencies created to identify teacher strengths/weaknesses Types of support offered to teachers j. Types of on-going, job-embedded professional development for teachers k. Types of on-going, job-embedded professional development for administrators 1. Strategies to increase parent/community involvement m. Strategies which increase student learning time

By submitting the application and signing Schedule #1, the applicant is certifying it will comply with the above assurances.

For TEA Use Only	T	
Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	031-901 County-District No.
by telephone/e-mail/FAX on by of TEA,	School Years 2010-2013	
	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	Amendment No.
Post 4. Company	Schedule #4A—Program Abstract	
Part 1: Grant Eligibility		
☐ Tier I Eligible Campus ☐ T	ier II Eligible Campus 🔲 Tier III Eligible C	Campus
Identify which timeline the LEA/	Campus the applicant will implement.	
□ Option 1: LEA/campus currently	engaged in aggressive reform	
Option 2: LEA/campus in need of	of foundational technical assistance	
Part 2: Grant Program Summary	Dravido a haise and the control of	
and goals, rationale for program de the intervention model to be select regardless of whether the LEA/campu	Provide a brief overview of the program you plues such as your local program goals and objectivisign, etc. Address the specific gaps, barriers, ted. A response to this question must be count in the specific gaps are the count in the specific gaps.	ves that align with the RFA purpos or weaknesses to be addressed b mpleted in the original submission
Verdana).	e provided, front side only, with a font size	no smaller than 9 point (Arial o
past five years. The goals at Browns effective instruction to ensure that all areas and to eliminate student achieved program specific populations including clean, and orderly learning environmed learning process also continues to be retain highly qualified and experience all children achieve on grade level or education, our goal at Egly Elementar In the last month the site Based Decist teachers, administration, representation covering such topics as demographics retention; curriculum, instruction, and organization; and technology. Based concern is that instruction is not adequated and referral logs). Another concerns and referral logs). Another concerns and referral logs). Another concerns actively involved in our campus. Over anaking process on important education actively involved in our campus. Over intervention model (RtI) school wide with technical support from the intervention model (RtI) school wide with the contracted support. With all the gaps in its chools with technical support from the intervention of the district. Professional additionally, the SBDM would implement and its amonitoring system to assess lead rocess. The plan would also include progoing monitoring of implementation argeted and differentiated instruction in addition the plan includes after schools and the	tudents with an instructional and support staff of students and has resulted in either Exemplary wille Independent School District (BISD) and Egil of our students perform at or above their acad wement gaps in such areas as gender, socio-ecolog special education and Limited English Proficier ent for every child and staff member as a means our goal. At BISD and Egly Elementary, we intend the personnel who will ensure that all students' exhibitions are students, essential to enhancing the overal ry is to implement and increase parent and compression Making (SBDM) Team consisting of pre-kind in the student achievement; school culture and climated assessment; family and community involvement in assessment; family and community involvement in the results of the CNA, some patterns of weathers are in the results of the CNA, some patterns of the CNA, some p	y or Recognized status over the ly Elementary are to provide highly emic levels in all core subject nomic levels, ethnicity, and nt (LEP) students. Providing a safe, of optimizing the teaching and and to continue to recruit, hire, and ducational needs are met and that ill quality of our students' munity involvement partnerships. dergarten through fifth grade lead issive Needs Assessment (CNA) ate; staff quality, recruitment, and nt; school context and knesses became apparent. One on students (AEIS report, AYP, thrues to exceed state standards is not at an acceptable level in are involved in the decision amount of parents are not plement the Response to a continue to use this model with ransformation model for Tier III. In order to provide students mic levels in all core subjects, the math to enhance the core ation would be embedded. Inistration and the SBDM team wolved in the decision making nalysis and technology with the continuent of the plan also calls for y and in extended day programs.

	TEA III															
on	For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with			or	S	TEXAS	S EDUc d Applic	CATIO cation s	N AGI Systen	ENCY n (SAS))		C	031-9 ounty-Dis		
by i	elephone/e-mail/F/	XX on	of T			Scho	ool Ye	ars 20	10-20	13			-			
,			of TE	-A.	Texa	s Title	e I Pr	iority	Scho	ols G	rant			<u>lmendme</u>	ent No.	
					Sched	dule#	4B-I	Progra	am D	escrip	tion					
Se	rt 1: Compre	hensi	ve Ne	eds A	ssess	ment										
						- -							······································			
Ту	pe of School	PK	K	1	udents	s Enro	iled in	Grade 5	Leve 6	is on t		1	to be S		1	1
Pu	blic School	123	174	171	163	197	164	165	0	0	0	9	10	11	12	Total
En	en- ollment arter School	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
To	al Students:	123	174	171	163	197	164	165	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1157
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1		l	T	<u> </u>		tional		L	61
											To	tal Su	pport !	Staff		69
Sec	tion B: Data S	ource	s Revi	ewed	or to l	e Rev	iewed	in the	Com	prehe	nsive	Needs	Asses	sment	Proce	SS
1	Academic Exc															
	TAKS summar											•				
	Texas Primary	' Readi	ng Inv	entory	(TPRI)	Campi	us Com	pariso	n Repo	ort by (Grade I	_evel (I	K-3 rd) fo	or the I	ast thr	ee vears
2	Tejas Lee Can	npus C	ompari	son Re	port by	/ Grade	e Level	(K-3 rd)	for th	ne last i	three y	ears `	•			, ca. c
	Pre-Kindergar years												PALLS)	Data fo	r the la	ast two
3	Student Plus -	- Public	Inform	nation	Manag	ement	Systen	n (PEIN	1S)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	127.11	•	·		-111
4	NRT/Standford	d and A	prenda	1 st a	nd 2 nd	Grade)	1							····		
2009-2010 Elementary Teacher/Student Campus Needs Assessment Survey provided by the Assessment, Research, and Evaluation Department in Brownsville ISD																
Bilingual Status Report: AMAOS, Performance Based Monitoring Analysis System (PBMAS), Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS)																
7	Attendance and Disk to the second seco															
3	CScope Assess	ments,	/ Distri	ct Bend	chmark	S	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>									
Referral Log from Diagnostician and Parental Involvement logs																
Referral Log from Diagnostician and Parental Involvement logs STAR Chart- Technology Survey for Teachers																

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031-901	
County-District	No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant
Schedule #48—Program Description

Part 1: Comprehensive Needs Assessment Cont.

Section C: Process Responses are limited to the space provided, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Describe, in detail, the process the LEA and campus followed/will follow to identify the needs of the campus.

The Brownsville Independent School District provided campus administrators and representatives from the Site Based Decision Making Teams (SBDM) with training in the Comprehensive Needs Assessment model (CNA) on March 30, 2010. An overview of the CNA model was presented to the rest of the campus SBDM team on March 31, 2010. The Egly Elementary SBDM team consists of lead teachers from PreK to fifth grade, special assignment lead teacher, nurse, Lead P.E. coach, paraprofessional representative, and the administrative team. The overview included the purpose for a CNA that is to examine multiple sources of data to identify the priority of needs and direction of the school. The topics identified for our focus included demographics; student achievement; school culture and climate; staff quality, recruitment and retention; curriculum, instruction, and assessment; family and community involvement; school context and organization. In addition a plan and timeline was created to begin the process of the CNA collection and review of data. As part of the plan each SBDM member was assigned a topic with probing questions that would guide them through their task of collecting data about our school. SBDM members were to form subcommittees with their grade level or teams to collect data for their topic. Data from parent, student, and teacher surveys, benchmarks, TAKS results and other data sources were provided to the SBDM members as needed to assist them with their task. After the grade/levels teams determined which types of data should be collected and analyzed, a list of strengths and needs was developed that is reflected in the "Summary Priorities". SBDM members summarized the results using various formats such as graphs, charts, and tables. As result of the CNA process the SBDM committee identified areas our team needed to address. One concern is that instruction is not adequately meeting the needs of our special education students (AEIS report, AYP, District TAKS summaries). The number of students referred for special education continues to exceed state standards (AYP and referral logs). Another concern is the number of students commended is not at an acceptable level in grades three through five (AEIS). Teacher surveys indicate teachers do not feel they are involved in the decision making process about important educational issues at our campus and that a significant amount of parents not are actively involved in our campus. Over the past year Egly Elementary has begun to implement the Response to Intervention model (RtI) school wide with moderate success. The SBDM would like to continue to use this model with contracted support designed to assist our leadership team increase teacher commitment to implementation. With all the gaps identified the SBDM, has selected the modified transformation model for Tier III schools with technical support from the School Improvement Resource Center (SIRC). The SBDM committee has developed a plan to implement and to monitor and measure activities designed to improve student success. The professional development component will require both on contract time hence requiring substitutes and off contract time with a stipend monies available. Administration including the facilitator and reading coach will assist with monitoring implementation throughout the year through observations, walk-throughs, co-teaching, and modeling. Every six weeks as part of the means for measuring success, administration including facilitator, reading coach and teachers will review and analyze data such as the TPRI/Tejas lee benchmarks and progress monitoring, TAKS benchmarks, weekly and unit tests in core subjects and other data sources.

-,, ,	For TEA Use Only				
Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with		TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	031-901 County-District No.		
	ne/e-mail/FAX on	School Years 2010-2013	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
by	of TEA.		Amendment No.		
		Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant			
Part 1: (Comprehensive Needs	Schedule #48—Program Description			
Section	D: Groups of Participants	ASSESSMENT CONT. S Contributing/to Contribute to Needs Assess			
Parents	and Community Groups v	vere involved in the process.	ment Process, ensuring		
1	Administration including				
2	Pre-kindergarten through	n Fifth Grade Lead Teacher			
3	Special Assignment Lead Counselor	Teacher including Resource Teacher, P.E. Coach, I	Librarian, Nurse, Reading Coach,		
4	Central Office Staff includ Professional Development Department	ing Area Assistant Superintendent, Curriculum and Administrator Assessment, Research and Evaluat	d Instruction Specialist, ion Department, Bilingual		
5	Parents				
6	Students				
7	Instructional Staff: Prekin	der through Fifth Grade			
8	Support Staff: Custodians, Paraprofessionals, Cafeteria Staff, Office Staff, Parent Liason				
9					
10					

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031-901	
County-District	No

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Capacity -- Describe the LEA's capacity to use grant funds to provide adequate resources and related services/support to the campus to implement, fully and effectively, the required activities of the school intervention model. BISD, home to over 48,000 students and close to 7,000 employees, of necessity has an infrastructure designed to support its 36 elementary campuses, 10 middle schools, 5 high schools, and 4 alternative/school of choice campuses, while it simultaneously boasts a below-state average of administrative costs (BISD: 6.93&. State: 11.05&. 2006 FIRST data). The District does have highly capable, efficient, and effective support personnel to work with campuses in finance, curriculum and instruction, supplemental services, transportations, food services, health services, to name a few. BISD's organizational chart, in addition to the departments necessary to the functioning of a Texas ISD, maintains the following departments that exist mainly to support campuses and students: Federal Programs, State Compensatory, Migrant Education, Advanced Academics, Assessment/Evaluation/Research, Bilingual Education, Career & Technical Education, Special Services Department, Dyslexia, Fine Arts Department, Guidance & Counseling, Homeless Youth, RTI, Instructional Technology, Parental Involvement, Police & Security Services, and Wellness. The District is organized under the Cluster/Feeder school concept. Elementary school students feed into middle schools in their geographical section of Brownsville and the middle school students then feed into the high schools in their zone. Currently, BISD has 5 high schools; 2 middle school feed into each of those; 7-11 elementary schools feed into the 10 middle schools. Each cluster of elementary, middle, and one high school is served directly by an Area Assistant Superintendent and each cluster is identified by its High School's name. Thus, BISD is comprised of the Hanna, Lopez, Pace, Porter, and Rivera cluster schools. Additionally, the Curriculum and Instruction Department has 5 ELA, 5 math, and 5 science curriculum specialists who each serve one of the clusters. The Bilingual Education, Advanced Academics, Special Services, and Human Resources departments also have personnel (lead teachers or specialist) each individually assigned to one cluster. Thus the District is organized in a manner that facilitates the provision of adequate resources and related services to support the campus in its reform efforts. The infrastructure is, of its very nature, designed to help the campus fully and effectively implement the required activities of the school intervention model selected, Tier 3 Modified Transformation. Curriculum and instruction ELA, math, and science specialists are experts in their fields. Additionally they attend all state trainings and in many cases, are trainers of trainers in the TEKS review TEKS, C Scope curriculum and instruction model. They are knowledgeable of all the intricacies of the TAKS, are industriously staying on top of each piece of end-of-course information and legislation, are highly competent in the desegregation of assessment data, and are conscientious on obtaining resources, manipulative, technological advances etc. for each student population served by BISD. These curriculum specialists, as well as the lead teachers in Bilingual Education and Advanced Academics are required to be on the campuses they serve Monday through Thursday of each week. They design their services in collaboration with the Cluster Area Assistant Superintendent and the campus leadership team, principal and dean of instruction/instructional facilitator. They model lessons, do walk-throughs, meet with teachers for collaborative planning and/or as per individual need, help with the desegregation of data and train. Additionally, the District has approximately 5-6 locations for TETN video conferencing (via polycom). This has greatly enhanced BISD's capacity to stay up-to-date and participate in state and regional Service centers trainings and conferences without having to long distance travel. It also enhances the capacity to have more individuals receive first-hand information. Of significant import also, is the role that the At-Risk and Federal Programs departments play in supporting schools. These departments expertise in meeting federal and state guidelines is shared both horizontally and vertically with the schools and the other BISD departments serving the schools. The BISD high schools have made significant gains in reducing drop-out rates mainly because of the support systems that these programs have initiated. Each high school campus currently has its own drop-out prevention specialists, a parole officer, a Communities in School liaison, and an at-risk counselor. These individuals are trained by and meet with district administrators on a regular basis. Campuses thus have empowered staff members focusing on these critical components of successful school reform. Probably BISD's greatest resource for campuses is its high level of commitment on the part of each and every staff member and its widespread and deen-rooted sense of community

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031-901	
County-District	No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

by

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

of TEA.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Partnership/Involvement of Others - Describe how the LEA/campus consulted with relevant stakeholders (students, staff, parents, community) regarding this application and proposed implementation of a school intervention model.

The Brownsville Independent School District consulted with all relevant stakeholders regarding this application and the proposed implementation of the school intervention model: Tier 3 Modified Transformation. The BISD Board of Trustees was presented with the parameters of the grant, the qualifying criteria, and the TIER 3 Modified Transformation Model. This occurred at the regular Board meeting of April 20th, 2010. BISD Board meetings are all televised live via the BISD KBSD television station, Cable 17. Additionally, Board meeting reruns are aired every Saturday, Sunday, and Monday evening at 7:00 pm. This means that all community viewers with cable viewing access have been exposed to the information. Also, on Monday, April 19th, the grant eligibility, parameters, and model were discussed with the District's Educational Improvement Council. This body is comprised of teachers, administrators, campus support staff representatives and community/business members as per policy requirements. Thereafter, on Thursday, April 22nd, Thursday, May 6th, and Thursday, May 13th, the Superintendent of Schools presented all grant components to parents, community members, and business representatives at his "Coffee with the Superintendent" events. Since BISD schools are divided into cluster groups (as per campus zones) with one high school in each cluster, its 2 feeder middle schools, and the 7-10 elementary schools feeding into each of those middle schools, the entire Brownsville community has had the opportunity to provide input and learn and understand the magnitude of this grant opportunity. The April 22nd community event included all schools within the northern and northeast sections of town. The May 6th event included all schools in the southern and southeastern segments of Brownsville. The may 12th morning coffee covered schools in the western section of the school district's borders. These Superintendent's Coffee events are conducted in both English and Spanish in accordance with the needs of the District's clientele. Therefore, all district-level stakeholders discussed above have heartily endorsed the grant opportunity. All stakeholders concur that the BISD grants be submitted as Tier 3 Modified Transformation with no need to remove principals.

At the campus level, the SBDM committee convened to learn more about the purpose of the Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant, the reason our school qualified for application of grant, and the proposed implementation model. The SBDM received an overview of NCLB Comprehensive Needs Assessment (CNA). In addition a plan and timeline was created to begin the process of the CNA collection and review of data. Each SBDM member was assigned a topic to research with their grade levels/teams including demographics; student achievement; school culture and climate; staff quality, recruitment and retention; curriculum, instruction, and assessment; family and community involvement; school context and organization. After the grade/levels teams determined which types of data should be collected and analyzed, a list of strengths and needs was developed that is reflected in the "Summary Priorities". The SBDM committee used the results of the CNA to develop a plan with grade level and staff input to improve and increase student achievement, the use of quality data, leadership effectiveness, learning time, staff/parent/community involvement, school climate, and teacher quality that is reflected in TTIPS grant application. Parents received a letter in English and Spanish explaining the TTIPS grant opportunity and the activities the school is in the process of completing in order to fulfill grant application requirements.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031-901	
County-District	Νn

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Management of Grant Activities - Describe how the LEA and campus will modify its practices and/or policies, as necessary, to ensure its implementation of the intervention(s) fully and effectively.

Brownsville Independent School District's policies and procedures are an integral part of its operational efficiency and as such, are well-defined and delineated. Some, such as graduation requirements, promotion/retention requirements, grading procedures, attendance, and state requirements (SSI, OEYP), campuses adhere to strictly and changes occurs when a state mandate is in effect or when the Board of Trustees approves administration's recommendations for change. For example, BISD's grading procedures and its secondary Course Listing Guide are reviewed annually. That initiative is begun at the District level in the department of Curriculum and Instruction. Department personnel request feedback from campuses regarding recommendations for change. Meetings are held in which recommended changes are discussed (district and campus leadership teams) and consensus is reached. The agreed-upon changes are then submitted to the Board of Trustees (at a regularly scheduled meeting) for endorsement. The LEA will modify any practices and/or policies to ensure full and effective implementation of the Tier 3 Modified Transformation Model accordingly. Cluster Area Assistant Superintendents will work in collaboration with their campuses and the appropriate BISD Main Office department to provide the needed research and support behind a request for a practice/policy change. The Board of Trustees is supportive of research-based initiatives that significantly improve services to students and enhance their on-grade-level academics, their graduation rates, and their preparedness for college/work force readiness. Simultaneously, BISD provides a significant level of empowerment to campuses in deciding the daily operations of their sites and in the implementation of success-driven research-based initiatives. Campuses set their own extended day/extended week and zero-period schedules as to dates and times based on students' needs. They have flexibility in the budgetary process and make decisions regarding placement of state, local, and federal monies in instructional categories that will impact their areas of need as indicated by their annual comprehensive needs assessment priorities setting process. Thus, some campuses may devote more budgeted funds to extended day and/or week services to students while others may elect to use significant chunks of funds for professional development/training in subject-specific pedagogy, differentiated instruction, or a deeper understanding of the community served by the school. Funds are available for ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development, for staff collaborative planning time, for flexible time for teachers to work at desegregation of data, and for home visits to families of students in need. Campuses make the decisions as to where the budget allocations for the site are to be placed. Additionally, they have the flexibility to make budget modifications as needed throughout the year in the event that changes are needed due to course corrections discovered via the monitoring of the campus improvement plan. As stated, it is one of the functions of the Cluster Area Assistant Superintendent to support campuses, provide guidance to them, and facilitate their requests for assistance and building capacity. In the area of Human Resources, BISD principals recommend staff for promotion, extended day/week services (with compensation at \$35/hour), non-renewal and hiring. The campus leadership team engages teacher committees when searching out candidates for hire and BISD also uses campus teacher committees' input in searching for a new campus principal. In the area of curriculum and instruction, BISD's curriculum is Regional Collaborative C Scope. Campuses have flexibility and are highly encouraged to supplement that curriculum source with lessons, activities, and materials designed to meet all students' needs, especially the LEP and special education students. Campuses set their own benchmark testing schedules and are provided some flexibility in implementing the district benchmark schedule also. Each campus decision regarding benchmark testing must be made in light of how the data will drive instruction and whether or not the testing results in significant interventions that students respond to positively and that have immediate impact on success. At the campus level the SBDM, has input regarding budget, professional development, supplementary materials for the core curriculum, differentiated instruction, scheduling, extended day tutorials, and recommendations for staff based on the campus needs from CNA results. The campus has regular assessment checkpoints throughout the year (2 week, 3 week, and 6 weeks) that will be used to guide teachers', administration's, and SBDM members' decisions so that Foly can successfully implement interventions.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031-901	
County-District	No

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Resource Management - Describe how the LEA/campus will align other resources (federal, state, local, and community) with the school improvement intervention.

The Brownsville Independent School District has a long and successful history of aligning all resources federal, state, local and community to support for campuses in improving instruction and achieving educational excellence. Currently the District has already achieved a significantly high level of alignment of resources to need. With all five high schools on Stage 3 AYP due to graduation rates, it became clear approximately two years ago that a new model for addressing graduation rates was needed. A task force was formed and their recommendations funded by pooling resources. The State Compensatory budget allocated funds to each campus to hire not only an at-risk coordinator but also an at-risk counselor. The campus at-risk coordinator (State Compensatory funds), PEIMS supervisor (local funds), attendance liaison (Title 1 funds), and at-risk counselor (State Compensatory funds) work as a team recruiting students, reengaging dropouts, and targeting students who are at-risk of dropping out for immediate and deliberate fast-track interventions. All of these also work closely with the District at-risk coordinator who shares best practices, researchbased findings, and effective ways of re-engaging students in schools. Additionally, a Memorandum of Understanding with the District has enabled the local Juvenile Justice Department to station probation officers at each of the five high school campuses at no cost to the District. One last contributing entity to this endeavor is a "Communities in Schools" social worker on each campus who also works with these disadvantaged students and their families. A high level of coordination is required to ensure that each of these entities is working with, not against or in duplicated efforts of the team as a whole. Success is measurable. Dropout rates have decreased. Another evidence of aligning resources is the BISD Dual Enrollment program. The University of Texas at Brownsville has greatly reduced fees for BISD students participating in dual enrollment courses. Because BISD pays all expenses, books, tuition and fees, this is a significant contribution on part of the University. Currently, approximately ----BISD high school students are taking dual enrollment courses at the five high schools. The annual Superintendent's scholarship Golf Tournament brings in approximately ----for scholarships for high school seniors. Golf participants are mainly community architects, construction companies and bank employees in addition to a wide variety of other community members. The Adopt-A -School Program is also alive and well in BISD and community restaurants, stores, and even elected officials share financial and personnel resources with the BISD campuses that they adopt. In return, one can view students' art-work, poetry, and compositions when frequenting these public entities.

On the campus level, the SBDM represented by lead teachers from PreK to fifth grade, special assignment lead teacher, nurse, Lead P.E. coach, paraprofessional representative, a parent, and the administrative team will be part of the review and analysis of targeted data every six weeks during the school year to ensure that progress toward the school's objectives, goals, and mission and vision are being realized. Our campus will also build on the experience with the Reading First Initiative, now called RtI, implemented in kindergarten through third grade since 2005, to serve as model for our campus in other core areas in an effort to use research based strategies with fidelity, materials and best practices for all of our students. The reading coach who is trained to support instructional staff will continue to provide targeted professional development based on teacher surveys, teacher observations, and walk-throughs. Administration, of using the information to drive and differentiate instruction every six weeks. Administration and those involved with scheduling will work with all stakeholders to protect tier 1, 2, and 3 instructional time with little or no interruptions.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031-901	
County-District	No

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Grant Project Manager Qualifications - Describe the qualifications of the person selected to be the project manager for this grant on the campus.

The Campus Grant Project Manager position will be advertised with prerequisite qualifications and a job description posted as is the case with all BISD hires. Human Resources posting timelines and requirements will be met as well as timelines and procedures for recommendation for hire. The position of Grant Project Manager will require the following qualifications:

- 1) Masters' Degree in Education:
- 2) Experience with budgets, budget amendments, budget changes:

3) Experience with supervision of instruction/programs;

- 4) Experience with using data to identify whether research-based and vertically aligned program is effectively meeting campus, state and local standards and goals;
- 5) Experience with analyzing data and teaching staff to analyze formative, interim, and summative assessment data and use results to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students;
- 6) Experience with planning for and ensuring that all technical assistance from SIRC and other support agencies is on target, intense, laser-like, and precise according to campus needs;
- 7) Experience with formative and summative assessment models, multiple observation-based assessments, etc and tools of high quality and which reflect the student-growth factors; and
- 8) A deep understanding of the community served by the school.

The Campus Grant Project Manager will be required to:

- 1. Ensure that all grant activities are administered in accordance with all applicable statutes, regulations, program plans, and requirements.
- 2. Meet all local and state imposed reporting and evaluation deadlines.
- 3. Cooperate in carrying out any and all evaluation components required by TEA, make reports and back-up information available to all sponsoring agencies, and maintain records as required.
- 4. Conduct formative and summative evaluations to ensure that:
- Training and mentoring activities are developing and increasing teacher and school leader effectiveness;
- Multiple observation-based assessments of performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflect student achievement and increased high school graduation rates;
- Ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program;
- All financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion/career growth, and flexible work conditions being implemented in a manner that recruits and retains highly qualified staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of students;
- Monitor established schedules that provide increased learning time as per grant requirements;
- Monitor ongoing mechanisms for family and community engagement as per grant requirements; and
- Monitor follow-up training in subject area TEKS, technology, advanced coursework, credit-recovery programs, etc. for fidelity to plan and impact on student success.
- 5. Attend all orientation meetings, technical assistance meetings, and other periodic meetings and/or conferences required by SIRC and/or TEA pertaining to grant implementation and pertaining to the sharing of best practices. Facilitate and help coordinate collaborative efforts between District and the campus.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031-901 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

LEA Support - Describe how the LEA will structure and implement an individual or office with responsibility for supporting the campus' school improvement efforts.

BISD will structure the office of the Area Assistant Superintendent of Cluster Schools to support the campus school improvement efforts. The District is organized into the Cluster/Feeder school concept. Elementary school students feed into middle schools in their geographical section of Brownsville and the middle school students then feed into the high schools in their zone. Currently, BISD has 5 high schools, 2 middle school feed into each of those, and 7-11 elementary schools feed into the 10 middle schools. Each cluster of elementary, middle, and one high school is served directly by an Area Assistant Superintendent. This Cluster Area Assistant Superintendent will be the grant's shepherd-the districtlevel individual who will ensure that the campus has the support and assistance needed to build capacity and ensure that these grant funds are used to implement, fully and effective, the required activities of the Tier III Modified Transformation reform model. The Cluster Area Assistant Superintendent reports directly to the Superintendent of Schools, thus facilitating communication regarding program needs and removing any barriers that layers in an organizational chart often imply. This Cluster Area Assistant Superintendent has first-hand access to Federal Programs, State Comp., Curriculum & Instruction, etc. program administrators which will greatly enhance the individual's ability to provide adequate resources and related support to the campus. Furthermore, this individual participates in all BISD Board of Trustees meetings and has the right/first-hand access, under the approval of the Superintendent, to submit recommendations to the Board of Trustees. This will greatly facilitate any policy or procedural changes and/or budget changes incumbent on the grant's full implementation of a rigorous high-powered reform model. This individual has a first-hand working knowledge of the Brownsville community, serves, at the will of the Superintendent, on a variety of district and local committees, and relates to all levels of stakeholders, students, parents, teachers, support staff, business and community members, on nearly a daily basis. The Cluster Area Assistant Superintendent will be the individual who provides assurance that financial assistance provided under the grant program will supplement and not supplant, the amount of state and local funds allocated to the campus. This person will also shepherd the campus in its analysis of its needs, ensure that its comprehensive needs assessment process results in the delineation of priorities, and that the delineation of priorities is transformed into operative goals and objectives which mirror a deep and lasting reform of all components of the school. This District Shepherd will be the go-to person at the District level for support, encouragement, resources, redirection, and inspiration for deep-seated reform. The Cluster Area Assistant Superintendent will work very closely with the campus principal and the instructional leadership team to develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness. The District Shepherd will help inspire staff and model high expectations for student achievement, professional practices, research-based best practices strategies, and vertical alignment of curriculum from grade level to grade level. This individual will be an expert in collaboration, instructional management, use of data to drive all campus decisions, job-embedded professional development, differentiated instruction, and laser-like school reform. This individual will be an individual with successful campus instructional leadership experience as well as an individual with a heart firmly implanted in the Brownsville community and its most valuable resource, its children. In summary, the District Shepherd is invested. The individual views this role as an integral part of the job responsibilities, feels responsible for the transformation process, has a direct line of contact with the Superintendent, has authority to influence central office departmental procedures, has experience as a building principal, views self as a positive change agent, and possesses effective communication skills. Roles and responsibilities include: 1) ensuring that the campus is provided operational flexibility, 2) providing for the effective implementation of all components of the transformation process, 3) monitoring the progress of 90-day action plans, 4) regularly communicating with and scheduling meetings with the transformation campus, 5) removing LEA barriers that may hinder the transformation process, 6) providing support and feedback to the principal and teacher leaders when needed or requested, 7) taking an active role in problem-solving with the principal and teacher leaders, 8) attending campus leadership meetings, 9) assisting in recruitment of qualified staff, and 10) assisting in increasing parent & community involvement and positive school culture

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031-901	
County-District	No

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Program Continuation and Sustainability – Describe how the LEA will sustain the campus reforms after the funding period ends.

The Brownsville Independent School District has the capacity to sustain the campus reform efforts after the grant funding period ends. With nearly \$28,000,000 in Title I Regular funding and \$45,000,000 in State Comp. funds being generated yearly, the District has a strong foundation for supporting campuses and their initiatives, both past, present, and future! Additionally, BISD's current tax collection rate is 98% and average ADA stands at 96.1%. Although the District, like all of those across Texas, uses a variety of funding sources to support District initiatives, such as our CScope curriculum and instruction program, most of the District's categorical funds are distributed to campuses which generate the funds on a per pupil allocation. Therefore, campuses have local, state, and categorical funds which they control and which they appropriate to their needs as prioritized by the annual Comprehensive Needs Assessment (CAN) process. Campuses will have the funds and/or the District will appropriate funds to ensure that, at the end of the grant period, initiatives, activities, and strategies which have proven successful in increasing on-grade-level assessment results, increasing graduation rates, and decreasing graduation rates will continue to be funded. Many district initiatives already exist which provide funds to increase course offerings, lower class sizes, and significantly impact many of the Critical Success Factors (CSF) fundamental to this grant initiative. With regards to those CSFs, it is noteworthy to indicate that BISD currently operates its programs and systems in alignment with the grant's CSFs and will continue to do so after the grant period because BISD bases its initiatives and decisions on research-based scientifically relevant data. Examples follow. 1) BISD aggressively improves academic performance through the use of data driven instruction as is evidenced by its 2009 BROAD award. Curriculum alignment, both vertical and horizontal, is systematized through the use of the Texas Regional Collaborative CScope curriculum. BISD was one of the first to implement CScope in math, science, ELA, and social studies. Currently campuses use an on-going monitoring instrument known as EDUPHORIA which is used by campus instructional leadership teams in their visits to classrooms, conversations with teachers about improvement, and which is also tied in directly to the District's Teacher Appraisal Instrument. CScope based walk-through forms are an integral component of the software which enables instructional leaders to input data about classroom walk-throughs and visits into the system immediately and also allows the observed teacher the opportunity to provide immediate feedback, respond to questions, and request further assistance and/or clarification. 2) A long tradition of rigorous professional development initiatives contributes significantly to increasing teacher quality. Classroom teachers have a great multitude of opportunities for professional development annually but it is always the campus and individual teacher decision to select growth opportunities which meet the priorities of the CAN. Most recently, BISD has become the recipient of the Math Instructional Coaches grant whose main component is on-going job embedded professional development. All state issued TEKS revision professional developments are turned around at the District level and provided to all content specific teachers, Pre-K-12. 3) Additionally, instructional leadership teams are constantly involved in on-going job embedded professional development and increased learning of resources/data utilization strategies. 4) BISD uses quality data to drive instruction, which is evidenced in its use of benchmark testing, the Texas Math and Science Diagnostic System database, and WebCATT software. Teachers are fast becoming experts is looking at the data collected through these and a variety of other instruments (TPRI, Tejas LEE, CCPALLS, etc), and grouping/regrouping for Tier 2 or Tier 3 instruction based on the data at their fingertips! Software and hardware on each campus and at the District level facilitate immediate access to results so that those results can drive instruction and on-going communication in the collaborative planning of Instruction, remediation, and acceleration. 5) All BISD schools currently have opportunities for increasing learning time via the use of zero period classes, after school tutorials, Saturday academies, and other calendar modifications which enhance instruction. 6) Improving school climate and increasing parent/community involvement are also annual goals in each campus's annual Improvement Plan. A parent liaison on each campus as well as a great variety of chess, art, photography, bible study, environment clubs, student councils, and parent support groups already greatly enhance advances in both of these areas

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031-901	
County-District	No

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B-Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

External Providers -- Describe how the LEA will recruit, screen, and select external providers to ensure their quality. Brownsville Independent School District requires all external providers of services or products to either respond to an RFP, RFQ, or be on the state's approved vendor list. Therefore, Region XIII's School Improvement Resource Center, as a state-approved vendor, has already met the criteria of rigor, research-based, and quality demanded by the grant's specifications. The same applies to the Region I Educational Service Center menu of support offerings. Thereafter, vendors already on the District's annual vendor list for services and/or resources will also have to meet the criteria of being screened by the District for quality, research-based, scientifically-researched best practices. New or proposed vendors will be judged on the following criteria as appropriate to the service/product:

1) Research-based:

2) Vertically and horizontally aligned to next grade level and state/local standards;

3) On-going, job embedded professional development included;

4) Technologically appropriate to students' daily experiences and lives;

5) Aligned to CScope, Advanced Placement, Dual Enrollment, etc. current district initiatives;

6) Formative, interim, and progress-monitoring assessment components contained within product/service as relevant:

7) Intensive technical assistance and related support built-in;

8) Unique and specific needs of specific populations (LEP & Sp. Ed) addressed at a highly visible and effective level; and/or

9) Provision of appropriate social-emotional and community-oriented services and supports for students via local presence and significant history of successful endeavors.

As with all other purchasing processes, grant external providers will be processed through the BISD Purchasing Department and in compliance with BISD Policy as well as all pertinent state and federal guidelines. Memorandums of Understanding will be reviewed by BISD Legal Counsel and recommended by Administration for approval of the Board of Trustees. No purchase of goods or services will occur outside the grant specifications and BISD's policies and procedures. Grant funds will only be expended in compliance with all pertinent local, state, and federal guidelines. The campus Area Assistant Superintendent or District Shepherd will facilitate these processes for and with campuses entrusted to his/her care. The District will waive procedures, such as an annual bidding process for external providers, instructional materials, and/or consultants, so that more than one bid process occurs within a year's time span. This will facilitate campuses' engagement of vendors and providers and will greatly reduce time spent in moving through the organizational structure for receipt of goods and services. Vendors will be recruited through a great variety of avenues: website, KBSD BISD Instructional Television Studio, newspaper announcements, and word of mouth regarding successful endeavors of vendors in providing laser-like services to schools in need of reform. In all cases, external providers will ultimately be evaluated against the criteria of research-based, scientifically researched highly successful services to schools and districts.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031-901
County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on by

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Site Visits – If the intervention program includes site visits to other campuses successfully implementing the intervention model, describe the process for selecting the locations and the expected outcomes of the site visit.

Site visits to other campuses successfully implementing the Tier 3 Modified Transformation model or other initiatives which have resulted in high student performance, extraordinary success with on-grade level instruction (as reflected by TAKS Commended scores) and high levels of success with LEP and Sp. Ed. TAKS scores will be allowed. Sites will be selected by the campus and approved by the campus' District Shepherd based on the following criteria:

- % of commended TAKS scores by grade level, subject area, and student population (all students, LEPS and Sp. Ed.);
- 2) Historical review of increase of % commended TAKS scores by grade level, subject area, and student population (all students, LEPS and Sp. Ed.) over past 3 years (baseline Spring 2007);
- Reduction of the gap between state assessment scores of Sp. Ed. and LEPs and regular students over a 2-3 year period;
- 4) Reduction of the gap between commended state assessment scores of Sp. Ed. and LEPS and regular students over a 2-3 year period;
- 5) Increases in graduation rates over past 3 years (baseline Spring 2007/High Schools only); and/or

6) Reduction in drop-out rates over past 3 years (baseline Spring 2007/High Schools only).

Visitation sites will also be selected based on evidence that the school operates under 7 Critical Success Factors. Websites, literature, etc. regarding the campus should be investigated before prior to visitation to ensure that: 1) Campus decisions are driven by data, vertical & horizontal alignment is evident, and there is provision for on-going monitoring of instruction. 2) Increasing teacher quality is a high priority; teachers are provided on-going job-embedded professional development, and highly qualified individuals are recruited/retained through a variety of effective strategies. 3) Positive school climate increases high attendance rates, reduces discipline issues, and provides a large and varied scope of extra-curricular activities and opportunities for student engagement. 4) The campus leadership team exemplifies the use of a toolkit of powerful resources such as the operational flexibility, resource and data utilization, and a philosophy of life-long learning through job-embedded professional development. 5) Data drives instruction, teachers are experts in the disaggregation of data and make instructional decisions based on data as well as collaboration with leaders and colleagues. 6) Parent and community involvement is visible throughout the school and there is significant evidence of accessibility to community resources and services. 7) Students have opportunities for increased learning time through tier 2 & 3 instructional segments, zero period class offerings, after-school tutorials, Saturday & summer academies, etc. Once a site or sites have been selected for visitation, permission, of course, must be secured from the campus principal as well as the Cluster Area Assistant Superintendent. Dates and times must be agreeable to both groups and visiting participants must complete BISD professional leave paperwork and have it approved prior to departure. Depending on the size of the visiting group and the campus to be visited, as well as the scope of the visit (academic subject or grade level specific vs. overall school climate visit), visitors might be scheduled in teams and/or for specific blocks of time. Regardless of the details, the visit must be well coordinated, have a goal/end product well specified, and not overburden neither the visited nor the visitor. While the details of each visit are dependent upon the desired outcome, the following is a list of possible critical "look for-s" and "listen for-s" during the visit:

- 1) Are the strategies research-based comprehensive instructional reform strategies and do the strategies make continuous use of student data (formative, interim, progress monitoring) to inform instructional decisions such as regrouping, differentiation of instruction, use of technology, etc?
- 2) Is there sufficient flexibility and sustained support for implementing the strategies?
- 3) Are teachers provided ongoing, intensive technical assistance in implementing the strategies?
- 4) Is there evidence that the strategies were provided sufficient financial support for full implementation?

Are staff members committed to the implementation? What is the level of buy-in for impacting student success?

SAS-A114-10

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	031-901 County-District No.		
by telephone/e-mail/FAX on	School Years 2010-2013	Amendment No.		
by of TEA.	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	Amenament no.		
	Schedule #4B—Program Description			
Part 2: Project Management Con				
Section A: LEA (District) Capacity	y Responses are limited to one page each , fron na).			
Lack of Capacity If the LEA is no	ot applying to serve each Tier I school (is not appartion of why the LEA lacks capacity to serve each	olying for grant funding for each Tier Tier I school.		
Not applicable.				
The Brownsville Independent School	District does not have any Tier I or Tier II eligib	le campuses.		
All 20 BISD Tier III campuses are su	ubmitting grant applications.			
Brownsville ISD has the capacity to serve each campus applying for grant funding and is fully committed to serving each campus awarded a NOGA.				

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** on this page have been confirmed with 031-901 Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 of TEA. Amendment No. **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #4B—Program Description Part 3: Intervention Model Section A: Intervention Model Selection Process Intervention Model to be Implemented - Indicate the model(s) being considered by the LEA/campus for implementation. Indicate whether the LEA/campus will participate in the TEA Approved Model with Technical Assistance provided by the TEA-funded School Improvement Resource Center or the LEA/campus will implement its own intervention design within the requirements of the grant program. ☐ Turnaround Closure ☐ Restart ☐ Transformation □ Tier III Modified Transformation ☑ TEA Designed Model with Technical Assistance Provided by the School Improvement Resource Center Supplemental Education Services (SES) incorporated into the intervention model Note: Applying to implement the TEA Approved Model with Technical Assistance Provided by the School Improvement Resource Center in no way implies or quarantees funding. ☐ The LEA/campus will implement its own intervention design, within the parameters required by the final federal regulations released by USDE Schedule #4B-Program Description, Part 3, Intervention Model, continued on next page

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** on this page have been confirmed with 031-901 Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mall/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 of TEA. Amendment No. Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B-Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section B: Model Selection Process - Describe in detail:

- 1. The process the LEA and campus followed/will follow to select a school intervention model that aligns to the identified needs of the campus.
- 2. The timeline delineating the steps the campus will take to implement the selected intervention.

Responses are limited to five pages, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Egly school is identified by the grant specifications as a Tier 3 campus. Therefore, Egly will use the SIRC Tier 3 Modified Transformation School Intervention Model aligned to the identified needs of the campus. Egly is an Exemplary school under the Texas AEIS accountability system. Furthermore, it is labeled "met AYP" under the federal accountability system (AYP). However, Egly and BISD readily recognize the gap between the success rates of its LEP and Special Education populations and the general population, recognizes that scores are not on-level, aims at increasing commended TAKS scores, and therefore, eagerly anticipate grant funds designed to help close those achievement gaps and meet and exceed federal and state expectations regarding student success. Looking at the "Big Picture View" of the Transformation model, one realizes immediately that Egly readily identifies with all its components and is well on the way to full implementation of all components: 1) Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness, 2) Implement comprehensive instructional reform strategies, 3) Increase learning time and create a community-oriented school, and 4) Provide operational flexibility and sustained support for school reform. BISD has a very strong and passionate stakeholder commitment to implement the SIRC/TEA Modified Transformation Model and has the capacity to implement fully and effectively this model at Egly school. BISD will meet and comply with all the required activities of this rigorous intervention model and will expand it with permissible activities for the selected model. All SIRC and TEA Modified Transformation Model components will be met. 1) Egly's principal is to be retained. Christina Bridgwater is a highly committed instructional leader who has exceeded previous administration's high standards for excellence in the service of students in her twelve year servicing the campus. Over the past three years, improvement has been made in the following areas: reading, math, writing, and science 2) Rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals will be used. BISD currently uses the TEA teachers' PDAS system and a district instrument for principals. District enhancements include the use of EDUPHORIA software for immediate turnaround of observation data, facilitation of communication and collaboration. 3) Reward or remove school personnel based on student performance. The campus currently has many reward systems in place and looks forward to expanding its repertoire. Teachers are paid stipends for professional development trainings, have opportunities to travel to out-of-district/state trainings, and are provided with many non-monetary incentives throughout the year. 4) Provide job-embedded professional development. There will be more effective and researchbased professional development in the area of effective instructional strategies for special education and LEP students. Teachers will be trained in the use of a variety of instructional tools, software, mobi-pads, etc. designed to capture the attention of a learner with disabilities or disadvantages and a greater level of collaboration will occur regarding services to LEP and Special Education students. 5) Implement strategies to retain staff. Egly elementary only lost three teachers to retirement or relocation at the end of 2009. Staff recruitment is not an issue because current teachers remain on campus due to the high level of satisfaction they are experiencing in working with their students, colleagues, and leadership. 6) Use data to identify and implement research-based instructional programs. In addition to benchmark testing, TMSDS, WebCATT, release TAKS tests, AMAO, TELPAS, TPRI/Tejas LEE, and PBMAS, other data sources will be reviewed and used to develop Tier 2 & 3 interventions. 7) Promote continuous use of student data to differentiate instruction. Teachers will become proficient in the differentiation of instruction to improve academic excellence of each and every child individually. 8) Provide increased learning time in terms of extended day, extended week, and extended year services. 9) Provide ongoing mechanisms for family and community engagement. The campus looks forward to a new initiative with Communities In Schools to strengthen its family and community ties and commitment. Give the school operational flexibility. BISD commits to providing flexibility to operate in a manner needed to achieve first-rate and lasting school reform. 10) Give the school operational flexibility. BISD commits to providing flexibility to perate in a manner needed to achieving first-rate and lasting school reform. 11) Provide ongoing technical assistance. SIRC, Region I, the District Shepherd, and BISD's many departments on the organizational chart are all technical assistance providers of one sort or another and are all committed to Egly's school reform initiative.

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	031-901 County-District No.
by telephone/e-mail/FAX on	School Years 2010-2013	County District (10)
by of TEA.		Amendment No.
	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	
	Schedule #4R—Program Description	

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section B: Model Selection Process Cont. Responses are limited to five pages, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

The three year reform process/program, is designed to increase the effectiveness of teacher and campus leaders, improve instruction through targeted professional development, create community-oriented schools, and provide operational flexibility to increase student achievement. Egly School, in conjunction with BISD, will engage in a research-based approach to transform it into a high achieving community-based school. The Texas Transformation Project, under the direction of SIRC, will provide the support, resources, and training needed to enhance the campus' ability to meet all student needs and achieve at higher levels.

YEAR ONE

Pre-work has already begun. Comprehensive Needs Assessment of the district and campus is a work in progress. Priorities will be set as a result and those priorities will become the foundation of the goals, objectives, and activities of the reform. The school has elected to retain the principal and all staff members except those who retire, request transfers to another campus, and/or resign and relocate. These individuals will be replaced with highly qualified individuals who are screened by campus committees and the campus principal prior to recommendation for hire. Main community partners are SIRC, Region One, and Communities In Schools. Communities in Schools is integral to the reform effort because they have close personal ties to the school environment and are highly visible in Brownsville. Additionally, Egly's Area Assistant Superintendent is the LEA's designated person who will foster this Transformation Project, provide support for the campus, nurture the campus through the challenges, and be the liaison between the District and the campus. The school already has built-in teacher leaders in the form of grade level chairpersons. These individuals have already received a great deal of training in instructional practices, research-based instruction, disaggregation of data, grouping for instruction, and differentiation of instruction. Changes in this structure may be made based on campus need but the qualifying criteria for selection of the teacher leaders will demonstrated excellence in instructional delivery and student success, ability to collaborate with and lead peers, and strong foundation in use of technological tools to facilitate work processes. A job-embedded professional development plan for year one needs to be developed. Additionally, 5-10 members of the instructional leadership team and the teacher leaders will attend the SIRC summer seminar (July 12-15th, 2010). The first 90 day action plan will be completed as required. Fall I implementation activities will engrain the reform effort deeply into the campus culture. The campus will work with SIRC and BISD to develop the district and campus snapshots. Results will be investigated at the deepest level possible to ensure that maximum benefit is received from the initiative. Especially important are the snapshot items impacting federal and state accountability requirements, TAKS, AMOA, and PBMAS. The campus will also begin the school-wide Positive behavior Support (PBS) interventions of the SIRC transformational model which is the implementation of approaches to improve school climate and discipline, taking steps to eliminate bullying and harassment. Simultaneously, Egly will work with SIRC to provide enhanced social services support for students, parents, and extended families with the end-goal of creating a community-oriented school wherein the whole village is raising the child. This enhanced social services support model will include partnering with parents and parent organizations, faith and community based organizations, health clinics, other state or local agencies, etc. to create a safe school environment which meets students' emotional, social, health, and academic needs. On-site technical assistance by the SIRC Professional Service Provider/transformation specialist, campus site visits, will be integral to the year one activities as planned jointly by SIRC and Egly. SIRC webinars/podcasts/publications will be thoroughly incorporated into every level of job-embedded professional development as appropriate and will be of particular importance to teacher leaders and the instructional leadership team as they begin collecting observation data to guide professional development and student interventions. Other SIRC resources and technical assistance will be ongoing and readily received by the campus in an effort to leave no stone unturned with regards to improving student success, especially academic success for the campus' special education and LEP students. All components of the campus case management provided by TTIPS staff will also be important. Critical also will be the principal/leader competency review process. BISD excels in committed, dedicated instructional leaders who leave no stone unturned when searching for success for their students They work with dedication, passion, and commitment. The desire to grow and become more empowered and effective

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) by telephone/e-mail/FAX on by of TEA. Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section B: Model Selection Process Cont. Responses are limited to *five pages*, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

is a critical driving force. This is a critical component and is closely linked with the need to develop a plan to recruit, retain, and constantly improve excellent teachers and teacher leaders. Leadership Coaching is also important.

Schedule #4B—Program Description

Progress towards goals is in the 90 day action plan. In November, 2010, Year One Fall, the campus will complete its quarterly implementation report due to TEA on the date specified.

Spring I will initiate a review of the behavioral data and PEIMS to analyze PBS implementation, needed course corrections, interventions, and/or plan modifications. On-line courses will be available and targeted professional development based on classroom observation data and student data will begin. It is not anticipated that a customized performance management system will need to be established. On-going support from SIRC and the District Shepherd will continue to impact success. At the close of Spring I, it will again be necessary to write the next 90 day action plan. On February 1 and May 1, 2011, Year One, Spring, the campus will submit its quarterly implementation reports.

Summer I will present opportunities for rejuvenation and rededication to goals. The 5 day summer team training, will be quality time for reflecting on guest speakers' messages, training materials, district insights, celebrations, and challenges. Back at home, with support from SIRC and the district shepherd, professional development plans and needs assessments will be reviewed and progress towards goals in the previous 90 day action plans will be evaluated. Based on the data accumulated, plans for classrooms interventions for PBS will be developed and a new 90 day action plan will be written. The culminating summer activity will be attendance at the National Staff Development Council Summer Conference. TAKS Spring 2011 test results will be analyzed and disaggregated. Decisions will be made regarding how the gap has closed between regular students and LEP and Special Education student populations' test scores. Increases in TAKS commended score rates will be analyzed for each student group. Graduation rates and drop-out rates, in summary, all District and campus SIRC snapshot data will be carefully reviewed, analyzed, and evaluated in order to better plan for the upcoming year and its challenges. Each campus performance goal will be reviewed and benchmark data collected to ensure that sufficient progress has been made during Year One to ensure that BISDs goals of all students being on-level in reading, math, science, and social studies are being met or that the campus is succeeding at a rapid enough rate to ensure that grant, district, and campus goals are met at the end of the grant period.

Looped throughout **Fall I, Spring I, and Summer I** will be: 1) Curriculum alignment with TEKS process. Since BISD and all its schools are part of the CScope Collaborative implementing the C Scope Curriculum, headed up by Region XIII, the District and campuses are in the spotlight with regards to all curriculum alignment issues, constantly provide feedback to the Collaborative, and are updated on all trainings and revisions. BISD and Egly are also part of all formative assessment systems linked to C Scope and Region XIII; 2) Targeted professional development in technology, outside consultants, face to face training in instructional strategies, and additional job-embedded conferences all responsive to the priorities determined by the campus and district CNA and the SIRC district and campus snapshots; 3) Staff incentives and rewards for extending learning time, attending conferences, participating in job-embedded professional development, and taking on a myriad of other duties and responsibilities associated with the grant's implementation; 4) The teacher and principal evaluation system; and 5) Operational flexibility and increased learning time to implement strategies leading to improved student achievement and graduation rates. On August 1, 2011, Year One Summer, the campus will submit its End of Year 1 implementation report. TEA will evaluate the LEA/campus performance on annual goals and the meeting of the grant requirements.

YEAR TWO

Fall II will be devoted to implementing revised and updated professional development plans for instructional leadership, teachers, and the staff-as-a-whole. Classroom level PBS interventions will be implemented and teacher committees/instructional teams will begin the action research projects in alignment with the SIRC timeline. On-line courses will continue and on-site technical assistance by the professional service provider, the transformation specialist will be on-going. SIRC webinars, podcasts and publications as well as other resources and technical assistance will all be part of standard operating procedure for the grantee campus and BISD. BISD will ensure that all SIRC Modified Transformation Model components are 100% part of the BISD and campus general operating procedures. TTIPS campus case management, leadership coaching, and BISD participation in the SIRC District Institute will continue. The 90 day action plan will be completed. On November 1, 2011, Year Two Fall, the quarterly implementation report is due to TEA.

Spring II activities include the continuation of the online learning and the teacher leaders collecting data for the action research projects. Also integral to Spring II will be the review of the data and evaluation of the effectiveness of PBS interventions as well as continued professional development, on-site technical assistance, webinars, podcasts, and the

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) by telephone/e-mall/FAX on of TEA. Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section B: Model Selection Process Cont. Responses are limited to *five pages*, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana). Responses are limited to *five pages*, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

use of other SIRC resources. As is integral to each phase of this reform effort, a new 90-day plan will also be written. Both Fall II and Spring II activities also include continuous work on vertical curriculum alignment (CScope), operational efficiency regarding the comprehensive formative assessment system, continuation of the social services support in creating community-oriented schools, targeted professional development, sustained support from the District Shepherd/BISD, staff incentives and rewards, the management of the teacher and principal evaluation system, operational flexibility and learning time to implement strategies leading to improved student achievement and graduation rates, and face to face training and follow-up monitoring in instructional strategies. SIRC district/campus profiles and the CNA and prioritizing of needs will also be the basis of each decision made at the campus and district level. Additionally, monitoring of continuous improvement formative assessments will be constant and consistent. Alignment to the campus' performance goals is also topmost at the list of activities at all juncture points in reform implementation and formative assessments will be constantly used to evaluate proximity to goals. Depending on the nature and quality of the school reform effort, the principal/leadership competency review process may also be reinstituted to build a pipeline of new leaders. On November 1 and May 1, 2012, the quarterly implementation is due. Summer II activities begin with the 5 day summer team training. The campus leadership team will attend the National Staff Development Council (NSDC) summer conference. During Summer II, teacher leaders will present initial findings on their action research topics. Committee work will be devoted to intense data process evaluation to measure what has been working, what needs focus, and what course corrections are needed to successfully accomplish school reform. Formative assessments and TAKS Spring 2012 test results will be analyzed and disaggregated. Decisions will be made regarding how much the gap has closed between regular students and LEP and Special Education student populations' test scores. Increases in TAKS commended score rates will also be analyzed for all students and each student group. Graduation rates and drop-out rates, in summary, all District and campus SIRC snapshot data will be carefully reviewed, analyzed, and evaluated in order to better plan for the upcoming year. On August 1, 2010, Year Two Summer, the end of year 2 implementation report will be submitted and TEA will evaluate the LEA/campus performance on annual goals.

YEAR THREE

Fall III will begin with the re-administration of the SIRC campus snapshot, thereby refining the work begun during summer II when preliminary TAKS, AEIS, and AYP data became available. On-site technical assistance by the SIRC professional service provider/transformation specialist, and a campus site visit will be integral to the campus snapshot process. Case management by TTIPS will be used to upgrade reform efforts as indicated by the snapshot data. Leadership coaching and open access to online professional development will also continue. As during the past two years, Fall and Spring III will be comprised of continued participation in webinars/podcasts/publications, and all other resources/technical assistance provided by SIRC. Depending on the nature and quality of the school reform effort at this juncture, the principal/leadership competency review process may also be reinstituted to build a pipeline of new leaders for the campus/district. It is anticipated that by Year Three all components of the school's social services project which support and create community-oriented schools will be firmly in place and institutionalized. This project, along with that of the positive behavior support system, should be fully operational and 100% integral to the school's daily success stories. The LEA's personnel participation in the SIRC district institute will also be a part of the fabric of BISD's support for the campus reform efforts. All professional development and technical assistance activities of the past two years will continue in Fall & Spring III. On November 1, 2012, Year Three Fall, the quarterly implementation report will be submitted to TEA.

Spring III will be the time when teacher leaders and their committees finalize their action research projects and when the campus/district collect data for the PBS evaluation. Both Fall III and Spring III activities will also include continuous work on vertical curriculum alignment (CScope), operational efficiency regarding the comprehensive formative assessment system, continuation of the social services support in creating community-oriented schools, targeted professional development, sustained support from the district Shepherd/BISD, staff incentives and rewards, the management of the teacher/principal evaluation system, operational flexibility and learning times for implementing strategies leading to improved student achievement and graduation rates, and of course, face to face training and follow-up monitoring in instructional strategies. SIRC campus/district profiles and the district/campus CNA and

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031-901 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on by

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section B: Model Selection Process Cont. Responses are limited to *five pages*, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

prioritizing of needs will also be threaded through and the basis of each decision made at the campus and district levels Monitoring of continuous improvement formative assessments will be constant. Alignment to performance goals is also of topmost importance at all juncture points. Formative assessments will be constantly used to evaluate proximity to reaching goals. On February and May 1, 2013, Year 3 Spring, the campus will submit its quarterly implementation reports to TEA.

Summer III will again include the 5-day summer team training for 8-10 team members and attendance at the National Staff Development Council summer conference will also occur. Evaluations of the district's customized performance management system, the PBS program, the vertical curriculum alignment projects, the formative assessment system, the social services support plan for creating community-oriented schools, the targeted professional development, face to face training in instructional strategies, and the support from the LEA will also continue. Culminating activities regarding staff incentives and rewards, the teacher and principal evaluation system, and the degree to which the campus and district used operational flexibility and increased learning time to improve student achievement and impact graduation rates will also be inspected. In order words, intense data review and process evaluation will be conducted. What worked and what still needs focus will be reviewed. A sustainability plan which builds in the continuous practice of developing a 90 day action plan, reflecting on its successes and challenges, reviewing formative assessment data, and aligning the constant upgrading of the plan to the campus and district performance goals and objectives will also be integral to the work of Summer III. Just as important will be the plan of action to continue to use teacher leaders as dynamic and critical instructional resources, to constantly monitor their effectiveness, and to continuously provide them with technology and the appropriate leadership and instructional resources and professional development opportunities. On July 31, 2012, the campus will submit its final implementation report to TEA.

In summary; the campus and BISD will fully implement all components of the Texas Transformation Project under the direction of and in collaboration with SIRC.

Brownsville Independent School District will: 1) Allow new governance arrangements and operational flexibility as needed; 2) Conduct district needs assessments via the district snapshot process; 3) Facilitate the replacement and recruitment of qualified staff at the campus as needed; 4) Support initiatives to increase community and parental involvement; 5) Ensure the placement of social service resources at the campus; and 6) Attend all required meetings and trainings.

The campus principal will: 1) Utilize rigorous and equitable evaluation systems to enhance instructional improvement; 2) Provide high quality job-embedded professional development; 3) Lead the implementation of Positive Behavior Support (PBS); 4) Support and utilize teacher leaders to improve instruction; 5) Implement programs to increase community and parental involvement; 6) Participate in required trainings and online professional learning; and 7) Create and submit 90-day action plans as required by SIRC and the LEA.

The campus teacher leaders will: 1) Provide instructional leadership and support to staff via walk-throughs and observations; 2) Facilitate job-embedded professional development; 3) Collaborate with administration in the development of long-range professional development plans; 4) Work in a dual role of teacher and instructional leader; 5) Participate in required trainings and online professional learning; and 6) Conduct action research.

ſ 	For TEA Hay Out				
For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with		TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	031-901 County-District No.		
by telephone/e-mail/FAX on		School Years 2010-2013			
by	of TEA.		Amendment No.		
	Application of the state of the	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant			
Part 3:	Intervention Model	Schedule #4B—Program Description			
Section	C: Groups of Participants	 List the groups of participants who will active to the identified needs of the campus. 	ely assist in the process to select a		
1	Board of Trustees				
2	Campus Principal				
3	Campus Instructional Leadership Team (Assistant Principal, Instructional Facilitator)				
4	Teachers				
5	Support Staff (Instructional Assistants, Custodians, Cafeteria Workers, Office Staff)				
6	Students				
7.	Parents				
8	Business Community				
9	Community agencies serving the campus				
10	Students at feeder pattern schools				

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	<u>031-901</u> County-District No.
by telephone/e-mail/FAX on of TEA.	School Years 2010-2013	Amendment No.
by of IEA.	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	
	Schedule #4B—Program Description	
Part 3: Intervention Model	Concado // ID 1105.am December	
Section D: Improvement Activiti	es and Timeline	
On the following pages of charts ap incorporated with the model to be s	olicants should describe all other school improver elected.	
LEA/campus' rationale for including effective, and indicate the beginning 1 - Improve Academic Performa A. Data-driven instruct B. Curriculum Alignme C. On-going Monitoring 2 - Increase the Use of Quality A. Data Disaggregation B. Data-driven Decisio C. On-going Communic 3 - Increase Leadership Effectiv A. On-going Job Embe B. Operational Flexibili C. Resource/Data Utilis 4 - Increase Learning Time A. Flexible Scheduling B. Instructionally-focus C. Staff Collaborative F. S. Increase Parent/Community A. Increased Opportun B. Effective Communic C. Accessible Communic C. Accessible Communic C. Increased Attendan B. Decreased Discipling C. Increased Involvem 7 - Increase Teacher Quality A. Locally Developed A. Locally Developed A. Locally Developed	ance, including (but not limited to) Reading/ELA a lon nt (both horizontal and vertical) of Instruction Data to Drive Instruction nt/Training ns cation reness dded Professional Development ty exation sed Calendar Planning Involvement lities for Input aation ity Services ce Referrals ent in Extra/Co-Curricular Activities appraisal Instruments dded Professional Development	t indicates the activity will be

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031-901	
County-District	No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

Critical Success Factor 1: Improve Academic Performance including (but not limited to) Reading/ELA and Math

CSF Milestone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
1A 1B 1C	Implement researched-based supplemental reading/ELA programs and technology with fidelity. • Great Books • Read Naturally • TNT Martha Morales • Lexia Learning		The Great Books Foundation and Read Naturally, TNT Martha Morales and Lexia Learning will work to fill in the gaps that exist in the core curriculum while at the same time enhancing the existing curriculum. According to Harvey and Goudvis in (Strategies that Work: Teaching Comprehension for Understanding and Engagement) content teaching and the reading process instruction are both essential components of reading. Students cannot construct meaning (process) without something to think about (content). Good readers: activate prior knowledge, question, visualize, make inferences, distill the essence of text, and summarize and synthesize thought. Students need to "think" and be "strategic" in their reading to be successful. The Great Books foundation, Read Naturally, TNT Martha Morales, and Lexia Learning support these best practices. These programs will promote these best practices and address the five components identified by the National Reading Panel: phonics,	October 2010	June 30, 2013

Implement researched based supplemental math programs and technology with fidelity. • Math Solutions • Improve teachers' sinstruction impacts the organization and LEP students, Eqly needs to be effective it must propriessional development to					· · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ ·	
Implement researched based supplemental math programs and technology with fidelity. Math Solutions Math Solu				phonological awareness,		
Implement researched-based supplemental math programs and technology with fidelity. Math Solutions Math Solu				vocabulary, fluency, and		
based supplemental math programs and technology with fidelity. • Math Solutions •				comprehension.		
16	1A	Implement researched-	Because Enly's	Math Colutions		
and for 3" - 5" grade technology with fidelity (5%) is not at acceptable levels, and our goal is to soe the gap that exists with special education and achievement. Research sows that in order for professional development their effectiveness every six weeks to ensure thair make adjustments as needed. 1A Teachers/Facilitator/ Reading Coach meet every six weeks to analyze relevant assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental materials amath/reading/ELA programs. 1B Align harizontality and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. 1B Align harizontality and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. 1B Align harizontality and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. 1B Align harizontality and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. 1B Align harizontality and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. 1B Align harizontality and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. 1B Align harizontality and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. 20 Dur goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum and supplemental programs. The supplemental programs and monitor every six weeks to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum and supplemental programs. The supplemental programs and monitor every six weeks to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum. Align and core professional capacity of professional capacity (Shola, Impara, & Buchendahl, 2003; Gamoran, Porter. Smithson, & White, 1997) 20		based supplemental			October	1
46%) is not at acceptable levels, and our goal is to close the gap that exists this pecial education and LEP students, Egly needs to implement researched based supplemental programs and monitor their effectiveness every six weeks to make adjustments as needed. Teachers/Facilitator/ Reading Coach meet every six weeks to analyze relevant assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental materials math/reading/FLA programs. We must monitor every six weeks to analyze relevant assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental materials and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS programs. Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks.	1C	math programs and	and for 3 rd - 5 th grade	courses and carvices	2010	2013
levels, and our goal is to close the gap that exists with special education and achievement. Research based supplemental programs and monitor their effectiveness every six weeks to ensure their and make adjustments as needed. IA Teachers/Facilitator/Reading/Coach meet every six weeks to analyze relevant assess effectiveness of supplemental materials assesses effectiveness of supplemental materials amath/reading/ELA programs. We must monitor every six meeks to analyze relevant assesses meta/data, to assesses effectiveness of supplemental materials amath/reading/ELA programs. We must monitor every six weeks to analyze relevant assessments/data, to assesses effectiveness of supplemental materials amath/reading/ELA programs. We must monitor every six weeks researched based implemented supplemental resource and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates We must monitor every six weeks researched based implemented supplemental resource and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates We must monitor every six weeks researched based implemented supplemental assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental materials and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental programs. The supplement		technology with fidelity.	(45%) is not at acceptable			
iclose the gap that exists with special education and LEP students, Egly needs to implement researched based supplemental programs and monitor their effectiveness every six weeks to ensure their and make adjustments as needed. It is a transportation of the standard and the standards and benchmarks. It is a long that exists with special education and LEP students, Egly needs to implement researched based supplemental programs and monitor their effectiveness overy six weeks to ensure their and make adjustments as needed. It is a long to the standard sand benchmarks. It is a long to the standard sand benchmarks. It is a long to the standard sand benchmarks. It is a long to the standard sand benchmarks. It is a long to the standard sand benchmarks. It is a long to the standard sand benchmarks. It is a long to the standard sand benchmarks. It is a long to the standard sand benchmarks. It is a long to the standard sand benchmarks. It is a students (Egly needs to shows that in order for professional development to be effective it must provide relevant, sustainable, and continuous learning opportunities for teachers (State Education and too the effective it must provide relevant, sustainable, and continuous learning opportunities for teachers (State Education and too the effective it must provide relevant, sustainable, and continuous learning opportunities for teachers (State Education and too the effective it must provide relevant, sustainable, and continuous learning opportunities for teachers (State Education and too the effective it must provide relevant, sustainable, and continuous learning opportunities for teachers (State Education and too the effective it must provide relevant, sustainable, and continuous learning opportunities for teachers (State Education and too the effective it must provide relevant, sustainable, and continuous learning opportunities for teachers (State Education and too improve their later for the too improve their later for the standards and too improve their later for the sta			levels, and our goal is to			
IEP students, Egly needs to implement researched based supplemental programs and monitor their effectiveness every six weeks to ensure their and make adjustments as needed. IEP students, Egly needs to implement researched based supplemental programs and monitor their effectiveness every six weeks to ensure their and make adjustments as needed. IEP students, Egly needs to implement to be effective it must provide relevant, sustainable, and continuous learning opportunities for teachers (State Educational Technology Directors Association 2008). The National Staff Development Council found that efforts to improve their instructional practice and the capacity of school systems to promote teacher learning (Chung Wei, Darling-Hammond, et al. 2009). According to research clted, analysis of student performance data is a useful tool to provide programs. In order to determine effectiveness and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials, every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental programs. The supplemental programs, Th		Math Solutions	close the gap that exists	students' learning and		
ILEP students, Egly needs to implement researched based supplemental programs and monitor their effectiveness every six weeks to ensure their and make adjustments as needed. It is a make adjustments as needed. Teachers/Fadilitator/ Reading Coach meet every six weeks to analyze relevant assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental materials and math/reading/ELA programs. We must monitor every six weeks to analyze relevant assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. LEP students, Egly needs to implemental programs and monitor programs and monitor programs from the capacity of provide relevant, assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental materials every six weeks to analyze relevant to assess effectiveness of supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Dur goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental programs. The supplemental programs and monitor every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Dur goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks.			with special education and	achievement. Research		-
based supplemental programs and monitor their effectiveness every six weeks to analyze relevant assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Based supplemental programs and monitor their effectiveness every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Based supplemental programs and monitor their effectiveness and monitor their effectiveness and benchmarks. Based supplemental programs and monitor their effectiveness and monitor every six weeks to analyze relevant assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Based supplemental programs and monitor every six weeks researched based implemented supplemental reading/ELA/Math programs in order to determine effectiveness and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates Based supplemental programs and monitor every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Based supplemental programs and monitor every six weeks every six weeks to analyze relevant achievent can succeed only by building prot feachers to improve their instructional procrate and the capacity of school systems to improve their instructional procrate and the capacity of school systems to improve their instructional procrate and the capacity of school systems to improve their instructional procrate and the capacity of school systems to improve their instructional procrate and the capacity of school systems to improve their instructional procrate and the capacity of school systems to improve their instructional procrate and the capacity of school systems to improve their instructional procrate and the capacity of school systems to improve their instructional procrate and the capacity of school systems to improve their instructional procrate and the capacity of school systems to improve their instructional procrate and			LEP students, Egly needs	shows that in order for		
In their effectiveness every six weeks to ensure their and make adjustments as needed. It is a the programs and monitor, their offectiveness every six weeks to ensure their and make adjustments as needed. It is a their offectiveness of analyze relevant assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and supplemental materials every six weeks with students perform and supplemental materials every six weeks with students performence data is a useful tool to provide powerful guidance when schools make resource allocations, introduce changes in curricular emphasis, establish connections across grades and content areas, select instructional materials, and develop processes for building professional capacity (Bhola, Impara, & Buchendahi, 2003; Gamoran, Porter, Smithison, & White, 1997) Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Be Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental programs. The supplemental programs should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Without horizontal and without horizontal and minimaterials and implemented in the classroom. (Bhola,			to implement researched-	professional development		
their effectiveness every six weeks to ensure their and make adjustments as needed. Teachers/Facilitator/ Reading Coach meet every six weeks to analyze relevant assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental materials and shafty rograms. We must monitor every six weeks to analyze relevant assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Teachers/Facilitator/ Reading Coach meet every six weeks to analyze relevant assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental every six weeks to analyze relevant assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental every six weeks to analyze relevant assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Teachers/Facilitator/ Reading Coach meet every six weeks of implemented programs and the every six weeks of implemented programs and the every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. We must monitor every six weeks of improve their instructional practice and the capacity of schoel systems to improve their instructional practice and the capacity of schoel systems to improve their instructional practice and the capacity of schoel systems to improve their instructional practice and the capacity of schoel systems to improve their instruction in all grades and content areas, select instruction in all grades of supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and implemented in the classroom. (Behal,				to be effective it must		
Six weeks to ensure their and make adjustments as needed. Six weeks to ensure their and make adjustments as needed. Continuous learning opportunities for teachers (State Educational Technology Directors Association 2008). The National Staff Development Council found that efforts to improve student achievement can succeed only by building the capacity of seachers to improve their instructional practice and the capacity of school systems to promote teacher learning (Chung Wei, Darling-Hammond, et al. 2009) According to research cleaving the capacity of school systems to promote teacher learning (Chung Wei, Darling-Hammond, et al. 2009) According to research cleaving the capacity of schools systems to promote teacher learning (Chung Wei, Darling-Hammond, et al. 2009) According to research cleaving the capacity of schools when the capacity of schools weeks researched based implemental Reading/EL/Almath programs in order to determine effectiveness and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials, every six weeks with standards and supplemental materials, every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental programs. The supplemental programs. The supplemental programs should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Without horizontal and benchmarks.			their offectiveness are			
and make adjustments as needed. Alfan hortzontally and vertically reading/ELA programs. Alfan hortzontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials, every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Alfan hortzontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and benchmarks. And make adjustments as needed. Alfan hortzontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and benchmarks. And make adjustments as needed. Alfan hortzontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. We must monitor every six meder on the capacity of school systems to promote teacher learning (Chung Web, Darling-Hammond, et al. 2009) According to research clead, analysis of student performance data is a useful tool to provide powerful guidance when schools make resource allocations, introduce changes in curricular emphasis, establish connections across grades and content areas, select instructional materials, and develop processes for building professional capacity (Bhola, Impara, & Buchendahl, 2003; Gamoran, Porter, Smithson, & White, 1997) Alfan hortzontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Without horizontal and benchmarks.			six weeks to ensure their	sustainable, and	[
Ineeded. State Educational Technology Directors Association 2008). The National Staff Development Council found that efforts to improve student achievement can succeed only by building the capacity of school systems to improve their instructional practice and the capacity of school systems to promote teacher learning (Chung Wel, Darling-Hammond, et al. 2009) According to research cled, analysis of student programs in order to determine effectiveness and math/reading/ELA programs. In order to determine effectiveness and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum and supplemental meterials programs. The supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum and supplemental meterials programs. The supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum and supplemental meterials programs. The supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum and supplemental meterials programs. The supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum and supplemental meterials programs. The supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum and supplemental meterials programs. The supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum and supplemental program Our goal i				continuous learning		
Technology Directors Association 2008). The National Staff Development Council found that efforts to Improve student achievement can succeed only by building the capacity of teachers to Improve their instructional practice and the capacity of school systems to promote teacher learning (Chung Wel, Darling- Hammond, et al. 2009) We must monitor every six weeks researched based implemented supplemental Reading/ELA/Math programs in order to determine effectiveness and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials, and develop processes for building professional capacity (Bhola, Impara, & Buchendahl, 2003; Gamoran, Porter, Smithson, & White, 1997) According to research cited, analysis of student performance data is a useful tool to provide powerful guidance when scheeded. Our district TAKS summary indicates Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental programs. The supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. We must monitor every six decks researched based implemented in sachievement can succeed only by building the capacity of teachers to improve their instructional practice and the capacity of school systems to promote teacher learning (Chung Wel, Darling- Hammond, et al. 2009) Hammond, et al. 2009 Palmented supplemental performance data is a useful tool to provide powerful guidance when schools make resource allocations, introduce changes in curricular emphasis, establish connections across grades and content areas, select instructional materials, and develop processes for building professional capacity (Bhola, Impara, & Buchendahl, 2003; Gamoran, Porter, Smithson, & White, 1997) According to research, student bereacher 2010 June 30, 2010 2010 2010 2010			needed.	(State Educational		
Association 2008). The National Staff Development Council found that efforts to Improve student achievement can succeed only by building the capacity of teachers to Improve their instructional practice and the capacity of school systems to promote teacher learning (Chung Wei, Darling-Hammond, et al. 2009) Teachers/Facilitator/ Reading Coach meet every six weeks researched base analyze relevant assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental massessments/data, to assess effectiveness and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates We must monitor every six weeks researched base dimplemented supplemental Reading/ELA/Math programs' in order to determine effectiveness and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Dur goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum and supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Without horizontal and with supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Without horizontal and with supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Supplemental program should enhance not supplemental program shoul	1			Technology Directors	1	
National Staff Development Council Found that efforts to Improve student achievement can succeed only by building the capacity of teachers to Improve their Instructional practice and the capacity of school systems to promote teacher learning (Chung Wei, Darling-Hammond, et al. 2009)	1		1	Association 2008) The		
Development Council found that efforts to improve student achievement can succeed only by building the capacity of teachers to improve their instructional practice and the capacity of school systems to promote teacher learning (Chung Wei, Darling-Hammond, et al. 2009) Teachers/Facilitator/ Reading Coach meet every six weeks to analyze relevant assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental materials math/reading/ELA programs in order to determine effectiveness and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental programs. The supplemental programs. The supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Without horizontal and with the programs of the programs of the program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Without horizontal and implemented in the capacity deachers to improve their instructional materials for promote teachers to improve their instructional materials achievement can succeed only by building the capacity of teachers to improve their instructional promote teacher rearning (Chung Wei, Darling-Hammond, et al. 2009) According to research, cited, analysis of student performance data is a useful tool to provide provential promertial and core data is a useful tool to provide provential and useful tool to provide cata is a useful tool to provide provential and useful tool				National Staff		
Teachers/Facilitator/ Reading Coach meet every six weeks to analyze relevant assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental math/reading/ELA programs. We must monitor every six weeks to analyze relevant assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental math/reading/ELA programs. We must monitor every six weeks to analyze relevant assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental math/reading/ELA/ programs in order to determine effectiveness and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental programs. The supplemental programs. The supplemental programs. The supplemental programs should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Without horizontal and implemented in the classroom. (Bhola,	1			Development Council		
achievement can succeed only by building the capacity of teachers to improve their instructional practice and the capacity of school systems to promote teacher learning (Chung Wel, Darling-Hammond, et al. 2009) Teachers/Facilitator/ Reading Coach meet every six weeks to analyze relevant assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental math/reading/ELA/Math programs' in order to determine effectiveness and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates Baligan horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Cur goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Without horizontal and without horizontal and implemented in the classroom. (Bhola,		•				
Teachers/Facilitator/ Reading Coach meet every six weeks to analyze relevant assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental math/reading/ELA programs. We must monitor every six weeks researched based implemented supplemental Reading/ELA/Math programs' in order to determine effectiveness and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. We must monitor every six weeks researched based implemented supplemental reading/ELA/Math programs' in order to determine effectiveness an needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum agas are filled with supplemental programs are filled with supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Without horizontal and We must monitor every six of school systems to promote teachers to improve their instructional practice and the capacity of school systems to promote teacher searthe programs / According to research activet, analysis of student performance data is a useful tool to provide powerful guidance when schools make resource allocations, introduce changes in curricular emphasis, establish connections across grades and content areas, select instructional materials, and develop processes for building professional capacity (Shola, Impara, & Buchendahl, 2003; Gamoran, Porter, Smithson, & White, 1997) According to research elited, analysis of student performance data is a useful tool to provide powerful guidance when schools make resource allocations, introduce henges in curricular instructional areas, select instructional or research schoel selections. Item or reading file and powerful guidance when schools make resou						
Teachers/Facilitator/ Reading Coach meet every six weeks to analyze relevant assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental mathr/reading/ELA programs. We must monitor every six weeks to analyze relevant assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental mathr/reading/ELA programs. We must monitor every six weeks researched based implemented supplemental Reading/ELA/Math programs in order to determine effectiveness and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates We must monitor every six weeks researched based implemented supplemental assessments/data, to assess effectiveness and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates We must monitor every six weeks researched based implemented upperformance data is a useful tool provide powerful guidance when schools make resource allocations, introduce changes in curricular emphasis, establish connections across grades and content areas, select instructional materials, and develop processes for building professional capacity (blook). The programs is a supplemental program should enhance not supplemental programs. The supplemental programs. The supplemental programs. The supplemental programs should enhance not supplemented in the classroom. (Bhola,				achievement can succeed	Í	
In Teachers/Facilitator/ Reading Coach meet every six weeks to analyze relevant assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental mathreading/ELA programs. We must monitor every six weight your of school systems to promote teacher learning (Chung Wei, Darling-Hammond, et al. 2009) According to research clided, analysis of student performance data is a useful tool to provide powerful guidance when schools make resource allocations, introduce changes in curricular emphasis, establish connections across grades and content areas, select instructional materials, and develop processes for building professional capacity (Bhola, Impara, & Buchendahl, 2003; Gamoran, Porter, Smithson, & White, 1997) Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental program should enhance not supplemental programs. The supplemental programs should enhance not supplemented in the classroom. (Bhola,						
Teachers/Facilitator/ Reading Coach meet every six weeks to analyze relevant assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental math/reading/ELA programs. We must monitor every six weeks researched based inplemented supplemental Reading/ELA/Math programs' in order to determine effectiveness and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks vith standards and benchmarks. Dur goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental programs. The supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Without horizontal and Without horizontal and Without horizontal and Practice and the capacity of school systems to promote teacher learning (Chung Wei, Davillage According to research cited, analysis of student programs of sueful tool to provide powerful guidance when schools make resource allocations, introduce changes in curricular emphasis, establish connections across grades and content areas, select instructional materials, and develop processes for building professional capacity (Bhola, Impara, 8 Buchendahl, 2003; Gamoran, Porter, Smithson, & White, 1997) October 2010 June 30, 2010				improve their instruction of		1
Teachers/Facilitator/ Reading Coach meet every six weeks to analyze relevant assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental math/reading/ELA programs. We must monitor every six weeks researched based implemented supplemental Reading/ELA/Math programs' in order to determine effectiveness and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. We must monitor every six weeks researched based implemented supplemental Reading/ELA/Math programs' in order to determine effectiveness and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental programs. The supplemental programs. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental programs. The supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Without horizontal and Without horizontal and Without horizontal and Without horizontal and or seeserched based implemented supplemental preformance data is a useful tool to provide poweful guidance when schools make resource allocations, introduce changes in curricular emphasis, establish connections across grades and develop processes for building professional capacity (Bhola, Impara, & Buchendahl, 2003; Gamoran, Porter, Smithson, & White, 1997) According to research, students perform at higher levels of proficiency on assessments when instruction is aligned to standards and implemented in the classroom. (Bhola,				practice and the capacity		
Teachers/Facilitator/ Reading Coach meet every six weeks to analyze relevant assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental math/reading/ELA programs. We must monitor every six weeks researched based implemented supplemental Reading/ELA/Math programs' in order to determine effectiveness and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. We must monitor every six weeks researched based implemental asses and based implemental refectiveness an deding/ELA/Math programs' in order to determine effectiveness and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates We must monitor every six weeks researched based implemental refectiveness and adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental programs. The supplemental program should enhance not supplemental instruction is aligned to standards and benchmarks. Promote teacher learning (Chung Wei, Darling Hammond, et al. 2009) According to research cited, analysis of student performance data is a useful tool to provide powerful guidance when subscitlent and evelop processes for building professional capacity (Bhola, Impara, & Buchendahl, 2003; Gamoran, Porter, Smithson, & White, 1997) According to research cited, analysis of student promance data is a useful tool to provide powerful guidance when scholate instructional mephasis, establish connections across grades and content areas, select instructional materials, and develop processes for building professional capacity (Bhola, Impara, & Buchendahl, 2003; Gamoran, Porter, Smithson, & White, 1997) According to research cited, analise of state is a useful tool to provide powerful guidance when scholate instructional instructional instruction is aligned to standards and instructional instruction is aligned to standards and instructional instr				of school systems to		
Teachers/Facilitator/ Reading Coach meet every six weeks to analyze relevant assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental math/reading/ELA programs. We must monitor every six weeks researched based implemented supplemental Reading/ELA/Math programs' in order to determine effectiveness and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks to analyze relevant assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental math/reading/ELA programs. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental programs. The supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Without horizontal and wertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. We must monitor every six weeks researched based implemented atal. 2009) According to research cited, analysis of student performance data is a usell tool to provide powerful guidance when schools make resource and content areas, select instructional materials, and develop processes for building professional capacity (Bhola, Impara, & Buchendahl, 2003; Gamoran, Porter, Smithson, & White, 1997) According to research cited, analysis of student performance data is a usell tool to provide powerful guidance when schools make resource and content areas, select instructional materials, and develop processes for building professional capacity (Bhola, Impara, & Buchendahl, 2003; Gamoran, Porter, Smithson, & White, 1997) According to research sult tool to provide powerful guidance when schools make resource and content areas, select instructional materials, and develop processes for building professional capacity (Bhola, Impara, & Buchendahl, 2003 Gamoran, Porter, Smithson, & White, 1997) According to research tited, analysis of student performance data is a useful tool to provide powerful guidance when schools make resource and content areas, s				promote teacher learning		
Teachers/Facilitator/ Reading Coach meet every six weeks to analyze relevant assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental math/reading/ELA programs. We must monitor every six weeks researched based implemented supplemental Reading/ELA/Math programs in order to determine effectiveness and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. We must monitor every six weeks researched based implemented supplemental Reading/ELA/Math programs in order to determine effectiveness and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates We must monitor every six weeks researched based implemented supplemental Reading/ELA/Math programs and supplemental assessments wine implemented supplemental programs and supplemental programs. Hammond, et al. 2009) According to research cited, analysis of student performance data is a useful tool to provide powerful guidance when schools make resource allocations, introduce changes in curricular exphasis, establish connections across grades and content areas, select instructional materials, and develop processes for building professional capacity (Bhola, Impara, & Buchendahl, 2003; Gamoran, Porter, Smilthson, & White, 1997) Actording to research charlied, analysis of student performance data is a useful tool to provide powerful guidance when schools make resource allocations, introduce changes in curricular explanations, explications, introduce changes in curricular specture of the reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental programs. The supplemental program should enhance on supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental programs. The supplemental program should enhance not supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks.				(Chung Wei, Darling-		
We must monitor every six keeks to analyze relevant assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental math/reading/ELA programs. Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Align horizontally and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. We must monitor every six weeks six devery six weeks researched based implemented supplemental Reading/ELA/Math programs in order to determine effectiveness and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates We must monitor every six devery six weeks researched based implemented supplemental and supplemental materials every six weeks to analyze relevant assessments when instruction is aligned to standards and benchmarks. We must monitor every six devery six weeks researched based implemented supplemental analysis of student ited, analysis of student provide powerful guidance when schools make resource changes in curricular emphasis, establish connections, arcores grades and content areas, select instructional materials, and develop processes for building professional capacity (Bhola, Impara, & Buchendahl, 2003; Gamoran, Porter, Smithson, & White, 1997) According to research cited in several visuality and useful too	1 /	Tooch and the state of		Hammond, et al. 2009)		
implemented supplemental Reading/ELA/Math programs' in order to determine effectiveness and makh reading/ELA programs. Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Align horizontalls and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Implemented supplemental Reading/ELA/Math programs' in order to determine effectiveness and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates Implemented supplemental programs in order to determine effectiveness and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates Implemented supplemental programs in order to determine effectiveness and underlied powerful guidance when schools make resource allocations, introduce changes in curricular emphasis, establish connections across grades and content areas, select instructional materials, and develop processes for building professional capacity (Bhola, Impara, & Buchendahl, 2003; Gamoran, Porter, Smithson, & White, 1997) According to research, students perform at higher levels of proficiency on assessments when instruction is aligned to standards and implemented in the classroom. (Bhola,	14	Reading Coash ***	We must monitor every six	According to research		
analyze relevant assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental math/reading/ELA programs. Reading/ELA/Math programs' in order to determine effectiveness and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates Reading/ELA/Math programs' in order to determine effectiveness and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates Reading/ELA/Math programs' in order to determine effectiveness and content areas, select instructional materials, and develop processes for building professional capacity (8hola, Impara, & Buchendahl, 2003; Gamoran, Porter, Smithson, & White, 1997) Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental programs. The supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Without horizontal and without horizontal and capacity (8hola, Impara, & Buchendahl, 2003; Gamoran, Porter, Smithson, & White, 1997) According to research, students perform at higher levels of proficiency on assessments when instruction is aligned to standards and implemented in the classroom. (8hola,		every six weeks to		cited, analysis of student		
assessments/data, to assess effectiveness of supplemental math/reading/ELA programs. Balanch Programs. Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Balanch Programs and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates Dour goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Without horizontal and without horizontal and without horizontal and without horizontal and programs in order to determine effectiveness and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates Powerful guidance when schools make resource allocations, introduce changes in curricular emphasis, establish connections across grades and content areas, select instructional materials, and develop processes for building professional capacity (Bhola, Impara, & Buchendahl, 2003; Gamoran, Porter, Smithson, & White, 1997) According to research, students perform at higher levels of proficiency on assessments when instruction is aligned to standards and implemented in the classroom. (Bhola,		analyze relevant	Reading/ELA/Mark	performance data is a		1
assess effectiveness of supplemental math/reading/ELA programs. Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Without horizontal and Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Without horizontal and Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Without horizontal and			Drograms' in order to	useful tool to provide		-
and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates and make adjustments as needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates allocations, introduce changes in curricular emphasis, establish connections across grades and content areas, select instructional materials, and develop processes for building professional capacity (Bhola, Impara, & Buchendahl, 2003; Gamoran, Porter, Smithson, & White, 1997) Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental programs. The supplemental programs should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Without horizontal and Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental programs and instruction is aligned to standards and implemented in the classroom. (Bhola,				schools make received		
math/reading/ELA programs. needed. Our district TAKS summary indicates changes in curricular emphasis, establish connections across grades and content areas, select instructional materials, and develop processes for building professional capacity (Bhola, Impara, & Buchendahl, 2003; Gamoran, Porter, Smithson, & White, 1997) Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental programs. The supplemental programs. The supplemental programs should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Without horizontal and content areas, select instructional materials, and develop processes for building professional capacity (Bhola, Impara, & Buchendahl, 2003; Gamoran, Porter, Smithson, & White, 1997) According to research, students are supplemental program at higher levels of proficiency on assessments when instruction is aligned to standards and implemented in the classroom. (Bhola,		supplemental	and make adjustments as	allocations introduce		
summary indicates summary indicates emphasis, establish connections across grades and content areas, select instructional materials, and develop processes for building professional capacity (Bhola, Impara, & Buchendahl, 2003; Gamoran, Porter, Smithson, & White, 1997) Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental program should enhance not supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Without horizontal and wernhasis, establish connections across grades and content areas, select instructional materials, and develop processes for building professional capacity (Bhola, Impara, & Buchendahl, 2003; Gamoran, Porter, Smithson, & White, 1997) According to research, students perform at higher levels of proficiency on assessments when instruction is aligned to standards and implemented in the classroom. (Bhola,		math/reading/ELA	needed. Our district TAKS	changes in curricular	 	
Connections across grades and content areas, select instructional materials, and develop processes for building professional capacity (Bhola, Impara, & Buchendahl, 2003; Gamoran, Porter, Smithson, & White, 1997) Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental programs. The supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Without horizontal and Connections across grades and content areas, select instructional materials, and develop processes for building professional capacity (Bhola, Impara, & Buchendahl, 2003; Gamoran, Porter, Smithson, & White, 1997) According to research, students perform at higher levels of proficiency on assessments when instruction is aligned to standards and implemented in the classroom. (Bhola,		programs.		emphasis, establish		
and content areas, select instructional materials, and develop processes for building professional capacity (Bhola, Impara, & Buchendahl, 2003; Gamoran, Porter, Smithson, & White, 1997) Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental program should enhance not supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Without horizontal and According to research, students perform at higher levels of proficiency on assessments when instruction is aligned to standards and implemented in the classroom. (Bhola,		1	•	connections across grades	1	
IB Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Without horizontal and instructional materials, and develop processes for building professional capacity (Bhola, Impara, & Buchendahl, 2003; Gamoran, Porter, Smithson, & White, 1997) According to research, students perform at higher levels of proficiency on assessments when instruction is aligned to standards and implemented in the classroom. (Bhola,				and content areas, select		
Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Without horizontal and and develop processes for building professional capacity (Bhola, Impara, & Buchendahl, 2003; Gamoran, Porter, Smithson, & White, 1997) According to research, students perform at higher levels of proficiency on assessments when instruction is aligned to standards and implemented in the classroom. (Bhola,				instructional materials,		
Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Without horizontal and capacity (Bhola, Impara, & Buchendahl, 2003; Gamoran, Porter, Smithson, & White, 1997) According to research, students perform at higher levels of proficiency on assessments when instruction is aligned to standards and implemented in the classroom. (Bhola,				and develop processes for]
Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Without horizontal and & Buchendahl, 2003; Gamoran, Porter, Smithson, & White, 1997) According to research, students perform at higher levels of proficiency on assessments when instruction is aligned to standards and implemented in the classroom. (Bhola,				building professional		1
Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Without horizontal and Gamoran, Porter, Smithson, & White, 1997) According to research, students perform at higher levels of proficiency on assessments when instruction is aligned to standards and implemented in the classroom. (Bhola,		1	i	capacity (Bhola, Impara,		
Align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Without horizontal and Smithson, & White, 1997) According to research, students perform at higher levels of proficiency on assessments when instruction is aligned to standards and implemented in the classroom. (Bhola,				Gamoran Portor		
Vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental programs. The supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Without horizontal and Our goal is to ensure that the reading/math core students perform at higher levels of proficiency on assessments when instruction is aligned to standards and implemented in the classroom. (Bhola,			j			
vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. the reading/math core curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental programs. The supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Without horizontal and supplemental and supplemental program (Bhola,	1B	Align horizontally and	Our goal is to ensure that	According to recearch	October	Jun = 20
core curriculum and supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental programs. The supplemental program should enhance not supplant core curriculum. Without horizontal and curriculum gaps are filled with supplemental higher levels of proficiency on assessments when instruction is aligned to standards and implemented in the classroom. (Bhola,		vertically reading/math	the reading/math core			
supplemental materials every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. with supplemental programs. The supplemental program instruction is aligned to standards and implemented in the classroom. (Bhola,		core curriculum and	curriculum gaps are filled		ZU1U	2010
every six weeks with standards and benchmarks. programs. The supplemental program instruction is aligned to standards and implemented in the classroom. (Bhola,		supplemental materials	with supplemental			
standards and benchmarks. supplemental program instruction is aligned to standards and implemented in the classroom. (Bhola,			programs. The			[
should enhance not standards and supplant core curriculum. Without horizontal and classroom. (Bhola,			supplemental program			
Supplant core curriculum. implemented in the Classroom. (Bhola,		benchmarks.	should enhance not	standards and		
Without horizontal and classroom. (Bhola,			supplant core curriculum.	implemented in the	i	
			Without horizontal and	classroom. (Bhola,		
- Interior & Ductionality		·	vertical alignment of core	Impara, & Buchendahi,		

		curriculum CSCOPE and Houghton Mifflin and supplemental materials it is difficult to improve student achievement.	2003; Gamoran, Porter, Smithson, & White, 1997)		
1C	Monitor fidelity to implementation of core curriculum *On-going monitoring of instruction through observations, walk-throughs, co-teaching, modeling by administration, facilitator, reading coach, and teacher leaders.	Monitoring implementation will improve teacher's ability to deliver curriculum effectively and with fidelity, thereby improving students' academic success.	According to Blase & Fixsen, observations and Walk-throughs provide feedback and drive a cycle of continuous improvement by focusing on the effects of instruction. When effective practices are implemented completely positive outcomes will result. The effectiveness and fidelity of the implementation of programs is provided through administrator feedback and continuous monitoring. This drives the cycle of continuous improvement by focusing on the effects of instruction. (2005, p. 10)	October 2010	June 30, 2013
1A 1B 1C	Implement RtI in grades K-5 th for reading, math, and behavior as a means to provide differentiated instruction and identifying students in need of support or intervention.	In order to meet the needs of all of our students and increase commended rates and increase the success rates of special education and LEP population, a system for screening, identifying students who need extra support and differentiated instruction is necessary.	According to the Center on Instruction (2008), students differ in their instructional and support needs, and successful instruction and effective support acknowledges these differences.	October 2010	June 30, 2013
1B	Implement and integrate curricula via educational technology. • TeachSmart Learning System by Hatch-Smart Boards • Smartboards • Computers for classrooms. • Apple IPods and educational applications	Technoloy based tools that are integrated with curriculum engage all learners.	Technololgy-based tools can enhance student performance when they are integrated into the curriculum and used in accordance with knowledge about learning. (Bransford, Brown, & Cocking, 2000)	October 2010	June 30, 2013

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with by telephone/e-mail/FAX on	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	031-901 County-District No.
1 of telephone of many rac on		

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)
Critical Success Factor 2: Increase the use of Quality Data to Drive Instruction

CSF Milestone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
2A	Train teachers in the use of benchmarks and data disaggregation such as CPALLS/TPRI/Tejas Lee kits/benchmarks.	Training ensures accurate administration and validates students' scores. Training in disaggregation of data ensures data will be understood and utilized to drive instruction.	According to the Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing (1999) Those responsible for educational testing programs should ensure that the individuals who administer and score the test(s) are proficient in the appropriate test administration procedures and scoring procedures and scoring procedures and that they understand the importance of adhering to the directions provided by the test developer. Those responsible for educational testing programs should ensure that the individuals who interpret the test results to make decisions within the school context are qualified to so or are assisted by and consult with persons who are qualified.	October 2010	June 30, 2013
-	Teachers and administrative team,	Data-driven decisions by all stakeholders will	Data driven decisions making, allows	October 2010	June 30, 2013
ļ	SBDM committee, and RtI team meet every six	increase the number of commended students and	stakeholders to ask questions		
2C \	weeks to analyze data	will increase the success	and monitor the effect of		1

rate of the special

education and LEP

students.

Add additional pages as needed.

*On-going

teachers and

and plan for instruction.

*Data-Driven decisions

communication between

administrative team,

SBDM, and RtI team

the solutions on student

curricular implementation

according to Bernhardt,

performance, teacher

effectiveness, and

(2006).

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031-901	
County-District	No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Critical Cu.	aaaaa Esste	7 . Y		
CHILICAL SU	ccess ractor	3: Increase	Leaderchin	Effortivonoco
		-: -: dabe	-caaci sinp	Effectiveness

CSF Milestone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
3A 3B 3C	Ongoing consultation with contracted services (SIRC) to Increase Leadership Effectiveness through • On-going Job embedded professional development • Operational Flexibility • Resource/Data Utilization	Instructional and administrative leadership skills will increase the capacity of the school leadership to raise student achievement.	According to Kohn & Nance, when instructional and administrative leadership skills are developed to increase the capacity of the school leader, student achievement will improve. Leaders who are prepared to address the needs inherent in both of these roles yield higher student achievement results.	October 2010	June 30, 2013
dd additional	pages as needed.				3.5 A

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031-901	
County-District	No

by telephone/e-mall/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

Critical	Success	Factor 4:	<u>Improve</u>	Learning	Time
			TITPI OVC	-cat illing	1111116

CSF Milestone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
4A 4B	Implement data driven and targeted extended day enrichment tutorial for grades K-5 two to four days a week, with the assistance of technology to Increase/Improve Learning Time	Simply extending the school day to achieve learning gains is not enough so instruction needs to be intentional and at an appropriate level accomplished through the use of assessment data to inform and differentiate instruction.	Increased instructional time with quality instructions will help students become more active and motivated, with the potential to achieve greater learning gains. (Frazier, 1998; Gewertz, 2009)	October 2010	June 30, 2013
		Common planning time for grade level, subject, or interdisciplinary teams has increasingly been considered a crucial part of school improvement. Teachers need time to collaboratively analyze data, align curriculum and plan effective instruction in order to improve students' academic proficiency.	Research suggests that sufficient, scheduled planning time is essential for these teams to be effective. (Flowers, Mertens, & Mullhall, 1999). Collaborative teams, in which teachers share planning time and a common group of students, have been correlated with better school culture, more effective parent communication, higher student achievement, and increased teacher motivation and job satisfaction (Kassissieh & Barton, 2009; Jackson & Davis, 2000)	October 2010	June 30, 2013

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031-901	
County-District	No.

by telephone/e-mall/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description Amendment No.

Part 3: Intervention Model

Critical Success Factor 5:	Increase Parent	/Community	Tanada
	THUI CUSC FAI CITE	/ Committellity	involvement

CSF Milestone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
5A 5B 5C	Implement Communities in Schools (CIS)to provide a bridge between schools, familles, students and the community it serves.	CIS helps at-risk students improve in academics, attendance, and/or behavior. CIS provides services and resources through community partnerships to ensure a comprehensive casemanagement approach to meet the needs of students.	According to Epstein a comprehensive family-school partnership is an on-going relationship rather than a program or event and addresses all six types of family involvement: parenting, communicating, volunteering, learning at home, decision making, and collaborating with the community (1995).	October 2010	June 30, 2013
5A 5B 5C	Implement Parenting Sessions that provide parents with tools to help them with their parenting skills. Three times every six weeks during school and after school.	By giving parents practical, jargon free guidance on ways to support their children using a strengths-based rather than deficit-based view of families our students will be more successful in school.	The attitudes, habits, knowledge and skills that children acquire through their relationship with their family and that facilitates their school learning is more predictive of academic learning than the family's socioeconomic status according to Marzano, Pickering, & Pollock, (2001) and Redding, (2000).	October 2010	June 30, 2013
SB		Meet the Teacher Night and Open House do not afford teachers and parents the opportunity to talk specifically about their child's academics and behavior. Scheduled meetings are more personal and will impact student's success positively when parents are clear about what is expected and what they can do to support their child.	Research shows that schools can improve their students' learning by engaging parents in ways that directly relate to their children's academic progress, maintaining, a consistent message of what is expected of parents, and reaching parents directly, personally, and with a trusting approach. (Epstein, 1995; Henderson & Mapp, 2002; Redding, 2000)	October 2010	June 30, 2013

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031-901	
County-District	No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013 Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule #4B—Program Description

Amendment No.

Part 3: Intervention Model

Critical Success	Factor 6	Tmmuau	Caland	
Critical Success	Tuctor o	riiibiose	SCHOOL	Climate

CSF Milestone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
6A	Campus wide incentives for meeting district attendance goals every six weeks. • Increased Attendance	A student who is in school is learning. Our campus must find ways to encourage student attendance.	According to Coutts, student attendance should be charted and monitored weekly, since high attendance rates are indicators of effective schools (1998). The positive impact of good school attendance on academic achievement may be greater that historically thought (Johnston, 2000; Lamdin, 1996)	October 2010	June 30, 2013
6B	Implement District wide Discipline Management Program • Decreased Discipline Referrals	Instructional time is compromised with discipline issues in the classroom.	According to Blum, McNeely, & Rinehart, positive relationships and effective classroom management strategies are necessary to establish contexts that support the academic and behavioral competence of all students at all grade levels, including students with disabilities, and they can also promote student connectedness and reduce behavior problems, achievement gaps, drop out rates, and teacher attrition (2002).	October 2010	June 30, 2013
	extra/co-curricular activities available at the campus at the	scriooi.	School climate research		June 30, 2013

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031-901	
County-District	No.

by telephone/e-mall/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Critical	Success	Factor	7:	Increase	T		A 114.	
		, actor		THE GASE	1 68	cner	oualit	v

CSF Milestone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
7A 7B	Provide professional development for new technology, and reading/math supplemental/behavior management programs and monitor effectiveness through evaluations, walkthroughs, co-teaching, and modeling.	To improve our commended rates and close gap of the success rate of our special education and LEP students, our teachers need a toolbox of knowledge and strategies and system to support teachers to improve.	According to the National Staff Development Council's Standards for Staff Development (2001), Districts must work to create systems of professional development that genuinely advance the effectiveness of their staff for the benefit of both staff and students. Teachers are the most important school-level factor that affects student achievement.	October 2010	June 30, 2013
7C	Provide teacher incentives for reaching academic performance goals collectively as a campus.	To increase shared responsibility and accountability for student results.	According to research, providing performance-based incentives are effective when the monetary incentives are large enough to affect teacher behavior, it involves significant teacher input, and clear performance goals are set. (Vigdor, 2008)	October 2010	June 30, 2013

Adjustments on this page had by telephone/e- by	of TEA.	TEXAS EDUCATION Standard Application S School Years 201 Texas Title I Priority S Schedule #4B—Progra	ystem (SAS) 0-2013 Schools Grant		031-901 County-District N Amendment No.	_
Section D:	Other Improvement Act	ivities and Timeline (con	4			
CSF Milestone	Additional Improvement Activity	Dational	Supporting	Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
						i
	***				!	
Add additiona	l pages as needed.					

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** 031-901 Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 by of TEA. Amendment No. **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #4B-Program Description Part 4: Waiver Requests Applicants must check the waivers in which the LEA/campus intends to implement. Extending the period of availability of school improvement funds. This waiver extends the "life" of the funds for two additional years; allowing the state to fund the grant period for three years to the LEA on behalf of the eligible campus, as long as the campus meets the requirements of implementation of the grant program. Note: Since TEA has requested and received a walver of the period of availability of these school Improvement grant funds, this walver automatically applies to all LEAs in the State and must be checked. "Starting over" in the school improvement timeline for Tier I and Tier II Title I participating schools implementing a turnaround or restart model. Under this waiver, the LEA with an eligible Tier I or Tier II campus implementing the turnaround model or restart model may have their School Improvement status reset regardless of the actual AYP status and other school improvement interventions, such as School Choice and Supplemental Education Services (SES) would not be applicable. This waiver allows the campus two years to effectively implement the selected turnaround or restart model of reform without additional statutory school improvement interventions being required. Implementing a school wide program in a Tier I or Tier II Title I participating school that does not meet the 40 percent poverty eligibility threshold. This waiver allows a Tier I Title I campus that otherwise does not qualify to operate a Schoolwide program to do so in order to implement the Tier I reform model selected.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031-901	
County-District	No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule #4C-Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 1: Component Description. By submitting this application, the applicant agrees to comply with any reporting and evaluation requirements that TEA may establish and to submit the reports in the format TEA requests. (Response limited to one page each, font size no smaller than 9 pt, Arial or Verdana)

Section A: Ongoing Monitoring/Continuous Improvement - Describe the LEA/campus' process for providing ongoing monitoring of grant activities to ensure continuous improvement

Brownsville ISD will provide significant on-going monitoring of grant activities primarily through the office of the Cluster Area Assistant Superintendent who is also hereby known in this grant as the district shepherd. All quarterly and end of year implementation reports will be carefully reviewed and dissected by the Cluster Area Assistant Superintendent prior to submission to TEA. These will be the official guideposts for monitoring of grant activities to ensure continuous improvement, however, short-interval (3-4 weeks) significant monitoring of grant activities on the local level will be implemented to ensure that reports to TEA indicate progress towards meeting goals and provide evidence of continuous improvement. These BISD short-interval on-going monitoring activities are listed below aligned to the grant's Critical Success Factors.

1) Academic Performance: Reading/ELA, math, & science district and campus benchmarks, TPRI/TEJAS BOY, MOY, and EOY assessments, TAKS reading/ELA, math, & science commended & passing levels for all students, for LEPS, and for Sp. Ed. 2) Quality data to drive instruction: Charts, graphs, and lists of regrouping, increased instructional time, etc. based on district & campus benchmark results, TPRI/TEJAS BOY, MOY Tier 2 & 3 regrouping charts & numbers of students showing improvement. TELPAS & AMAO changes. 3) Leadership effectiveness Number of training sessions attended by principal, assistant principal, & dean/facilitator. Teacher leader trainings. 4) Increase learning time. Number of extended day/week/year and zero period opportunities for students, Number of students participating in sessions. Extended day/week/year & zero period curriculum review and evaluation. 5) Increase parent/community involvement: Number of sessions, types of sessions, and number of participants for parents/community. 6) Improve school climate: percentage point increases in attendance, decreases in discipline referrals, and increases in number of students participating in extra/co-curricular activities. 7) Increase teacher quality: increases in number of administrator & teacher leader walk-throughs, feedback meetings, and mentoring/collaboration meetings.

On the campus, the process for on-going monitoring of grant activities are:

- 1) Academic Performance/2)Quality data to drive instruction: The campus will keep a master record of all activities under academic performance and quality data to drive instruction. Assessments will be administered by teachers. Results will be collected (Grade level/Class summary sheets) and analyzed by all stakeholders (teachers, facilitator, reading coach) at BOY, MOY, and EOY and after district/campus benchmarks (every six weeks), to screen students that need interventions, provide data to target instruction (lesson plans) for all students, to align curriculum, and determine teacher and supplemental programs' implemented effectiveness. This data will also provide information about students who need additional assistance to accelerate learning on grade level. Assessments include PreKindergarten CPALLs (math and reading benchmarks, TPRI and Tejas Lee BOY, MOY, and EOY assessments, TAKS Benchmarks (administered throughout the year) in grades 3-5 for reading, writing, math, and science, along with math and reading unit/weekly tests (K-5). Progress monitoring for students identified as needed Tier II and III instruction will also be administered by teachers every two weeks to drive instructional decisions.
- 3) Leadership effectiveness: Administration, facilitator, and the SBDM team effectiveness will be monitored through the number of teacher leader trainings attended (ERO transcript) and the involvement (sign in sheets) in analyzing data with teachers, number of walk-throughs, co-teaching and modeling opportunities (Observations, walk-through, feedback meetings, and co-teaching/modeling logs).
- 4) Increase Learning Time: The campus will keep a record of the number of extended day enrichment tutorials provided, the length of time of tutorials, and the number of students that attended.
- 5) Parent/community involvement: Egly will keep a record of the number of sessions, types of sessions, and number of participants for parents/community.
- 6) Improve school climate: The campus will track and keep a record of the percentage point increases in attendance, decreases in discipline referrals, and increases in number of students participating in extra/co-curricular activities.
- 7) Increase teacher quality: Egly will keep a record of increases in number of administrator & teacher leader walkthroughs, feedback meetings, and mentoring/collaboration meetings.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 031-901 Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 of TEA. Amendment No. Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule #4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 1: Component Description. By submitting this application, the applicant agrees to comply with any reporting and evaluation requirements that TEA may establish and to submit the reports in the format TEA requests. (Response limited to one page each, font size no smaller than 9 pt, Arial or Verdana)

Section B: Formative Evaluation- Describe the LEA/campus' process for formative evaluation, including how the results of the evaluation will be used to improve the grant program

BISD will use the results of the formative evaluations to improve the grant program. At the district level, the formative evaluation is that outlined on page 51 which will occur in short-intervals of 3-4 weeks and at the time of the quarterly reports to TEA. The district's Cluster Area Assistant Superintendent/district shepherd will meet with the campus administration and/or teacher leaders as appropriate to review and provide feedback on campus and district reading/ELA, math, and science benchmark results and the instructional and professional development decisions made as the result of those pieces of assessment data. The same process will be in place for the BOY and MOY TPRI/TEJAS K-3 reading assessments and the Pre K CPALLS initiative. Quality data drives instruction! Thus, the formative evaluation measures, in short intervals, how effectively the campus is using the quality data and whether or not it is driving instructional decisions on a daily basis! Although leadership effectiveness may be more difficult to ascertain on a shortinterval basis, disaggregation of data and the making of data-driven decisions are both on-going job embedded leadership professional development activities. So is resourcefulness in the allocation of additional time and funds to support areas of need revealed by the constant and consistent disaggregation of data. Thus, the district shepherd will be able to use these indicators as formative evaluation tools for leadership effectiveness. Formative evaluation of the effectiveness of increases in learning time will be based on increases in numbers of students participating in extension programs as well as indirectly via improvements in benchmark test results from administration to administration. Again, the success of extended learning opportunities will be an indicator of the leadership's effectiveness and groupings and curriculum for these opportunities will reflect the quality of use of data to drive instruction. The short-interval effectiveness of parent/community involvement increases and improvements in school climate will also be a topic of discussion every 3-4 weeks when the district shepherd meets with the campus to discuss progress towards goals. Discussions will result in decisions as to whether or not the campus is on target or needs to strengthen each activity/initiative dedicated to these two components. Finally, although BISD's teaching force is currently 100% highly qualified as per federal guidelines, the CSF of increasing teacher quality will be measured via the formative evaluation by items such as: walkthrough data collection, improvements in benchmark results, implementation of training on instructional strategies, use of technology, etc. in alignment with the on-going job embedded professional development in which grant teachers are participating.

On the campus, The SBDM committee will coordinate and communicate every six weeks with all stakeholders to ensure the process for formative evaluation is implemented, including how the results of the evaluation will be used to improve the grant program. This will include: Reviewing the master record of all activities under academic performance and quality data to drive instruction such as results collected (Grade level/Class summary sheets) and the results of data analysis meetings attended by all stakeholders (teachers, facilitator, reading coach) at BOY, MOY, and EOY and after district/campus benchmarks (every six weeks). The SBDM will review students identified as needing Tier II and III interventions along with who is providing interventions, when the interventions are taking place and what interventions are used to target needs. Assessments/data reviewed include PreKindergarten CPALLs (math and reading benchmarks, TPRI and Tejas Lee BOY, MOY, and EOY assessments, TAKS Benchmarks (administered throughout the year) in grades 3-5 for reading, writing, math, and science, along with math and reading unit/weekly tests (K-5). Progress monitoring for students identified as needed Tier II and III instruction will also be monitored by the SBDM committee every six weeks. The intent of the six week academic and data review by SBDM will be to use results to determine instructional effectiveness and to make adjustments as needed. In addition the SBDM will review the results of assessment data to determine if the extended learning time is enough for Egly to meet our goals. The SBDM will also factor in parent/community involvement, attendance, discipline referrals, and the number of students participating in extra/cocurricula activities and the positive impact created as it related to student achievement. The SBDM will utilize data gathered from teacher quality such the record of increases in number of administrator & teacher leader walk-throughs, feedback meetings, and mentoring/collaboration meetings and professional development teachers attended.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031-901	
County-District	Nο

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule #4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 1: Component Description. By submitting this application, the applicant agrees to comply with any reporting and evaluation requirements that TEA may establish and to submit the reports in the format TEA requests. (Response limited to one page each, font size no smaller than 9 pt, Arial or Verdana)

Section C: Qualitative and Quantitative Data Collection Methods- Describe the LEA/campus' process for data collection methods to be implemented and 1) how the data will be disaggregated; 2) used to improve instruction; and 3) obtain continuous improvement results

BISD and the campus agree to comply with reporting and evaluation requirements that TEA may establish and to submit the reports in the format TEA requests. The LEA will develop a process for data collection and methods will be technology driven to the greatest extent possible. Surveys will be used (example/monkey survey) to the extent possible to gather data on quality, type, and degree of participatory involvement practiced by principal, teachers, parents, community members, and when/as appropriate, students. Quality, type, and degree of planning, implementation, and evaluation of project activities data collection will be mainly through summaries/sign in sheets/agendas submitted on-line to the degree possible to the Cluster Area Assistant Superintendent. The method for collection of data with regards to the quality, type, and degree of collaboration with project partners will be via reports from these groups as well as campus reports to the district shepherd with details regarding the successes and challenges of project collaboration. Data collection regarding the quality, type, and level of professional development activities and their impact on school reform efforts will be via walk-throughs and the PDAS instruments which indicate level of implementation of new strategies and level of follow-through in use of technology, etc. Data regarding the quality and type of curriculum used, instruction delivered, and increases in learning time will be derived from district and campus benchmark testing results, TPRI/TEJAS BOY, MOY, and EOY on-line reports disaggregated by targeted student group. Any products or documents developed as part of the project will be evaluated by the district's appropriate central office department. Strengths and weaknesses of the project design, implementation, and evaluation will also be reflected via these data collection methods and components described here. The quality and level of communication with and reporting to management on the progress of the project and any problems encountered will also be reflected via the data collection methods described above because data collection components will include items such as: 1) on time/late submission, 2) complete/incomplete submissions, and 3) level of meeting formative goal/s, etc. Ultimately, the extent to which recommendations for modifying or improving the program as a result of on-going evaluation activities were implemented will be determined by the meeting or not meeting each year's progress goals and performance objectives. The majority of this data will be collected via the SIRC customized performance management system or a similar data collection tool. The SIRC district and campus snapshots will also be integral to the qualitative and quantitative data collection, the disaggregation of the data to improve instruction and to obtain continuous improvement results. All stakeholders, Board of Trustees, Superintendent, central office personnel, campus staff, parents, and community will have access to the data via on-line documents.

At Egly, the SBDM will schedule into a calendar due dates for collection of materials to turn in for review. Facilitator/Reading Coach/Teachers/grade levels will receive specifications for submitting data such as a form tracking results from all TAKS district/campus benchmarks, weekly/unit tests from reading and math curriculum broken down into three categories: all students, LEP students, and special education students. The reading coach will provide results from the CPALLS/TPRI/Tejas Lee BOY, MOY, and EOY assessments and progress monitoring results, breaking down results into three categories: all students, LEP students, and special education students. Grade levels will review data before submitting to the SBDM to develop a plan of action with recommendations for improving instructions based on specific needs such as increasing targeted extending learning time, differentiated professional development, modeling, co-teaching, and feedback. The SBDM committee will review the results and plan of action to address improving instruction submitted by grade level on a six weeks basis and provide feedback to the grade levels. This cycle will continue throughout the year for the next three years with adjustments based on the effectiveness of the system.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on by of TEA,

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

School	Vears	2010	2013

031-901	
County-District	No

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule # 4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 2: Process for Development of Performance Goals

Describe the process to be implemented to develop the campus' performance goals. Include the groups participating in the development of the goals.

Responses are limited to two pages, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

After the SBDM developed the CSF activities, the District designees for our campus used them to provide suggested performance goals. The SBDM reviewed the goals and made adjustments and recommendations. The SBDM members went back to their teams and asked for their input. The SBDM committee used campus suggestions to continue refining the performance goals.

The CNA was the starting point of the development of the activities for improvement and subsequently the campus performance goals. Throughout the process, the campus needs assessment was the driving force behind the improvement activities. The campus needed to find a way to improve student achievement through data driven instruction, increased teacher and school leader effectiveness and involvement of the most important stakeholders: the parents. In order to efficiently and effectively develop a set of goals that would follow the district initiative and close the gap between the at-risk (Special Education) population and the regular students, all relevant groups were asked to participate. At the monthly faculty meeting, the critical success factors were described and identified. Then each grade level was given an opportunity to view the seven critical success factors and brainstorm for activities that would take into account data on student growth and the campus needs as outlined in the CNA. Questions discussed concerning each category were as follows:

- What research supports the practice?
- What rationale is given for implementation?
- What summative/formative measure can be used to monitor the activity?

As grade levels met for discussion, they were asked to notate promising practices that were already embedded on the campus and then to list best practices they would like to see in place. Understanding that BISD provides a significant level of empowerment to campuses in deciding the daily operations of sites and in the implementation of success-driven research-based initiatives, Egly began the process of setting up measurement goals to support the activities. The school also has flexibility in the budgetary process and makes decisions regarding placement of state, local, and federal monies in instructional categories which will impact the areas of need as indicated by the annual comprehensive needs assessment priorities setting process. As grade levels were reviewing the CSF, critical questions were provided for discussion:

- Are the strategies/programs research-based?
- Do they make continuous use of student data?
- Does the strategy/program/philosophy need flexibility and sustained support?
- What is the extent of technical assistance needed for implementation?
- Is it targeted, rigorous intervention?
- Is it measurable?

Identifying the performance goal and the measurable outcome was the next challenge faced. When writing the objectives, the teachers were instructed to look at the following four areas:

- 1) Audience-Who is the goal aimed at?
- 2) Behavior—What is the expected outcome for the activity?
- 3) Condition—How/under what circumstances will the outcome be measured?
- 4) Degree—To what extent are the results going to be met after the baseline has been established?

Teachers were told that if the performance goal was going to be of any value, there must be a way to accurately define and measure it and that definition needed to stay the same from year to year. In addition, the goals needed to be clear so that each stakeholder in our community could easily understand what is expected of them and be able to take specific steps to accomplish them.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031-901	
County-District	No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on by

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule # 4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 2: Process for Development of Performance Goals

Describe the process to be implemented to develop the campus' performance goals. Include the groups participating in the development of the goals.

Responses are limited to two pages, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

The SBDM committee, in conjunction with the grade level teachers, was asked to identify measurable outcomes for each of the activities that were chosen for the school reform. Teachers were asked to look for the following things:

- Does the performance goal match the assessment tool?
- Are the goals specific, measurable, short-term, and observable?

Once the grade levels had been given a chance to work on these measures, identify the assessment tools, and calculate the baseline, the results were sent back to the leadership team for approval. The final copy was submitted to the District level team for approval and the suggestions that were made by the District were once again looked at and discussed in the SBDM meeting. The measurable outcomes were discussed to see if they were attainable and reasonable. The outcomes that appeared to be unreasonable were then taken back to the team and reconsidered. It was stressed throughout the entire process that goals must be understood by all stakeholders and that they are able to be sustained after the completion of the grant.

For TEA Use Only

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031-901	
County-District	No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule # 4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 3: Annual Performance Goals

Improve Academic Performance – Enter the annual goals for student achievement, on both the State's assessments and other measures identified by the LEA, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable

1 4 (40)		The state of the s		- S title Calling a	- accountable	
#	Performance Measure	Assessment Instrument/ Tool	Most Recent Year Performance	Year 1 Progress Goal	Year 2 Progress Goal	Year 3 Progress Goal
1	Improve Student Achievement in Reading/ELA	TAKS Gr. 3-EXIT Reading/ELA	92%-Reading/ without TPM	+10% baseline	+20% baseline	+30% baseline
2	Improve Student Achievement in Mathematics	TAKS Gr. 3-EXIT Math	88%-Math Without TPM	+10% baseline	+20% baseline	+30% baseline
3	Narrow gap between Special Education and all students tested: TAKS Reading/ELA.	TAKS, TAKS A & TAKS M Gr. 3-EXIT Reading/ELA	23 % point gap	-5% baseline	-10% baseline	-15% baseline
4	Narrow gap between Special Education and all students tested: TAKS Math.	TAKS, TAKS A & TAKS M Gr. 3- EXIT Math	5 % point gap	-5% baseline	-10% baseline	-15% baseline
5	Increase district benchmark test passing rates: Reading/ELA, math.	District benchmark tests	70%	80%	80%	80%
6	Increase K -2 End Of Year on-level percentage of students developed in comprehension task.	TPRI/Tejas LEE	59% developed	+10% baseline	+10% baseline	+10% baseline
7	Meet or exceed state standards for instruction of Beginner, Intermediate, & Advanced ELLPS.	TELPAS Summary Report	67% 1 year progress	Maintain or improve	Maintain or improve	Maintain or improve

Increase the Use of Quality Data to Drive Instruction – Enter the annual goals for increasing the use of quality data to drive instruction, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

#	Performance Measure	Assessment Instrument/ Tool	Most Recent Year Performance	Year 1 Progress Goal	Year 2 Progress Goal	Year 3 Progress Goal
1	Increase the number of times SBDM, PreK-3 rd Grade Teachers, and stakeholders meet to analyze CPALLS/TPRI/Tejas/Lee data.	Sign in sheets/agendas/ ERO transcripts	3 Times a Year	6 Times a year	6 Times a year	6 Times a year
2	Increase the number of times SBDM, K-5 th Grade Teachers, and stakeholders meet to analyze reading/ELA/ math TAKS benchmarks/unit/weekly tests.	Sign in agendas/ERO transcripts	0 Times a Year	6 Times a year	6 Times a year	6 Times a year
3	Increase the number of times SBDM, K-5 th grade teachers, and stakeholder meet to align horizontally and vertically reading/math core curriculum and supplemental materials every six	Sign in agendas/ERO transcripts	0 Times a Year	6 Times a year	6 Times a year	6 Times a year

	weeks with standards and benchmarks.					
4	Meet district requirement of the number of times administration, facilitator, reading coach, and teacher leaders observations, walk-throughs, co-teaching, modeling.	Observation, walk-through, co-teaching, modeling records	140 per year	Meet district requireme nt	Meet district requireme nt	Meet district requireme nt

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031-901	
County-District	No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

_____ of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule # 4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 3: Annual Performance Goals

Increase Leadership Effectiveness— Enter the annual goals for increasing the effectiveness of campus leadership, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

#	Performance Measure	Assessment Instrument/ Tool	Most Recent Year Performance	Year 1 Progress Goal	Year 2 Progress Goal	Year 3 Progress Goal
1	Achieve Recognized or Exemplary TEA Accountability Status.	AEIS	Recognized	Recognize d or Exemplar y	Recognize d or Exemplar y	Exemplary
2	Meet AYP requirements.	NCLB AYP	Meet AYP	Meet AYP	Meet AYP	Meet AYP
3	Increase stakeholders' support of principal as instructional leader	Annual CNA Needs Assessment	67%	+20%	+20%	+20%
4	Increase participation in district & Region I workshops, on-line courses, pd seminars, etc.	ERO & Region 1 database Get ERO Transcripts	Certification after 2000:15 hrs off contract/15 hrs on contract. Certification before 2000: 9 hrs off contract/9 hrs on contract.	90%	92%	95%
5	Comply with all SIRC Modified Transformation Project components.	SIRC database	Subject to grant award	90%	92%	95%

Increase Learning Time – Enter the annual goals for increasing learning time on the campus, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

#	Performance Measure	Assessment Instrument/ Tool	Most Recent Year Performance	Year 1 Progress Goal	Year 2 Progress Goal	Year 3 Progress Goal
1	Provide extended day/week/sessions for Reading/ELA all students and specifically for Special Education students.	StudentPLUS database	0	+10% baseline	+20% baseline	+30% baseline
2	Provide day/week/ sessions for math all students and specifically for Special Education students.	StudentPLUS database	0	+10% points / baseline	+20% points / baseline	+30% points / baseline

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031-901	
County-District	No

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule # 4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 3: Annual Performance Goals

Increase Parent/Stakeholder Involvement – Enter the annual goals for increasing parent and community involvement, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

#	Performance Measure	Assessment Instrument/ Tool	Most Recent Year Performance	Year 1 Progress Goal	Year 2 Progress Goal	Year 3 Progress Goal
1	Increase number of yearly parent involvement activities on campus.	Meeting/ Training Agendas	6 activities	+10	+10	+10
2	Increase number of parents attending parent involvement trainings, activities, conferences, etc. on campus and at district.	Sign-in sheets	237 parents attended trainings/activi ties, conferences	+20 baseline	+30 baseline	+40 baseline
3	Increase parent and community satisfaction with school.	Annual CNA survey	Survey results not available	80%	80%	80%
4	Increase parent and community volunteerism on campus.	Human Resources database	5 Parents/ Community members volunteered 8 times during the year	+10	+20	+30

Improve School Climate - Enter the annual goals for improving the school climate, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

#	Performance Measure	Assessment Instrument/ Tool	Most Recent Year Performance	Year 1 Progress Goal	Year 2 Progress Goal	Year 3 Progress Goal
1	Increase student attendance rates.	PEIMS	96.7%	96%	97%	98%
2	Decrease student discipline referrals.	Administrator's Referral Records	86 Referrals	-10	-20	-30
3	Increase teacher attendance rates.	Payroli dept. database Sandy Melendez	85%	+3% baseline	+6% baseline	+9% baseline
4	Increase stakeholders' perception of school climate.	CNA survey	67%	+10% baseline	+20% baseline	+20% baseline
5	Increase student participation in co- curricular and extra-curricular activities,	Extra-Curricular Participation Forms/logs	49 students	+3% baseline	+6% baseline	+9% baseline

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) Dy telephone/e-mail/FAX on of TEA. School Years 2010-2013 Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule # 4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 3: Annual Performance Goals

Increase Teacher Quality – Enter the annual goals for increasing teacher quality by measures identified by the LEA, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

#	Performance Measure	Assessment Instrument/ Tool	Most Recent Year Performance	Year 1 Progress Goal	Year 2 Progress Goal	Year 3 Progress Goal
1	Increase participation in District and Region I professional development sessions.	ERO & Region I software	Certification after 2000:15 hrs off contract/15 hrs on contract. Certification before 2000: 9 hrs off contract/9 hrs on contract.	+5% baseline	+10% baseline	+15% baseline
2	Comply with all SIRC Modified Transformation professional development components.	ERO software	Subject to grant award	90%	92%	95%
3	Increase use of technology in instruction.	STARChart	Early Tech level (1.4)	+10% baseline	+20% baseline	+30% baseline

Other - Enter any other annual goals for improvement to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

Përformance Measure	Assessment Instrument/ Tool	Most Recent Year Performa nce	Year 1 Progres s Goal	Year 2 Progress Goal	Year 3 Progress Goal
		Performance Measure Instrument/	Performance Measure Assessment Recent Instrument/ Year Tool Performent	Performance Measure Assessment Recent Year 1 Instrument/ Year Progres Tool Performa s Goal	Assessment Recent Year 1 Year 2 Performance Measure Instrument/ Year Progress Tool Performa s Goal Goal

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031-901 County-District No.

r .	one/e-mail/FAX on	School Years 2010-2013	_				
by				Amendment No.			
	Schedule # 4D-	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Equitable Access and Participation: Barri	ore and Stuate	ala =			
No Bar	riers	aquitable Access and Farticipation, Barri	ers and Strate	gies	***-		
#		No Barriers	Students	Teachers	Others		
000	The applicant assures that no participation for any groups.	barriers exist to equitable access and		Ø	⊠		
Barrier	: Gender-Specific Bias				63		
#	T	s for Gender-specific Blas	Students	Teachers	Others		
A01	Expand opportunities for history	prically underrepresented groups to fully	Ottatients	reachers	<u> </u>		
A02	participate Provide staff development on	eliminating conder blac		<u> </u>			
	Ensure strategies and materia	als used with students do not promote gender					
A03	bias						
A04	Develop and implement a pla effects of past discrimination	n to eliminate existing discrimination and the					
A05	Ensure compliance with the re	equirements in Title IX of the Education					
	Ensure students and parents	prohibits discrimination on the basis of gender are fully informed of their rights and	<u> </u>				
A06	responsibilities with regard to	participation in the program					
A99	Other (Specify)						
	: Cultural, Linguistic, or Ed				b-u		
#		al, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity	Students	Teachers	Others		
801	Provide program information/						
B02	Provide interpreter/translator						
803	a variety of activities, publicat	clation of cultural and linguistic diversity through ions, etc.					
B04	Communicate to students, tea appreciation of students' and	chers, and other program beneficiaries an amilies' linguistic and cultural backgrounds					
B05	Develop/maintain community	involvement/participation in program activities					
B06	Provide staff development on populations	effective teaching strategies for diverse					
B07	Ensure staff development is se communicates an appreciation	ensitive to cultural and linguistic differences and for diversity					
B08	Seek technical assistance from	Education Service Center, Technical Assistance Support Team, or other provider					
B09	Provide parenting training						
B10	Provide a parent/family center						
B11	Involve parents from a variety	of backgrounds in decision making					
B12	Offer "flexible" opportunities for	or parent involvement including home learning nat don't require parents to come to the school					
B13	Provide child care for parents	participating in school activities					
814	Acknowledge and include famili knowledge in school activities	y members' diverse skills, talents, and					
B15	Provide adult education, include program	ing GED and/or ESL classes, or family literacy					
B16		for parents and other program beneficiaries					

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** on this page have been confirmed with 031-901 Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 by of TEA. Amendment No. **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule # 4D—Equitable Access and Participation: Barriers and Strategies Barrier: Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity (cont.) Strategies for Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity Students **Teachers** Others **B**17 Conduct an outreach program for traditionally "hard to reach" parents **B18** Coordinate with community centers/programs Seek collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institution of higher B19 education Develop and implement a plan to eliminate existing discrimination and the B20 effects of past discrimination on the basis of race, national origin, and color Ensure compliance with the requirements in Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of B21 1964, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, national origin, and color Ensure students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries are informed of **B22** their rights and responsibilities with regard to participation in the program Provide mediation training on a regular basis to assist in resolving disputes and **B23** complaints **B99** Other (Specify) \Box **Barrier: Gang-Related Activities** # Strategies for Gang-related Activities **Students** Teachers Others C01 Provide early intervention. C02 Provide Counseling. C03 Conduct home visits by staff. C04 Provide flexibility in scheduling activities. П Recruit volunteers to assist in promoting gang-free communities. C05 П C06 Provide mentor program. П Provide before/after school recreational, instructional, cultural, or artistic C07 programs/activities. C08 Provide community service programs/activities. П П C09 Conduct parent/teacher conferences. П C10 Strengthen school/parent compacts. П \Box C11 Establish partnerships with law enforcement agencies. П C12 Provide conflict resolution/peer mediation strategies/programs. П Seek collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institution of higher C13 П \Box education. Provide training/information to teachers, school staff, & parents to deal with C14 \Box gang-related issues. C99 Other (Specify) **Barrier: Drug-Related Activities** Strategies for Drug-related Activities # **Students** Teachers **Others** D01 Provide early identification/intervention.

Recruit volunteers to assist in promoting drug-free schools and communities.

Provide Counseling.

Conduct home visits by staff.

Provide mentor program.

D02

D03

D04

D05

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** on this page have been confirmed with 031-901 Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 of TEA. Amendment No. **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule # 4D—Equitable Access and Participation: Barriers and Strategies Barrier: Drug-Related Activities (cont.) Provide before/after school recreational, instructional, cultural, or artistic D06 programs/activities D07 Provide community service programs/activities \Box D08 Provide comprehensive health education programs. D09 Conduct parent/teacher conferences. D10 Establish school/parent compacts. Develop/maintain community partnerships. D11 D12 Provide conflict resolution/peer mediation strategies/programs. Seek collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institution of higher D13 П education. Provide training/information to teachers, school staff, & parents to deal with D14 П drug-related issues. Seek Collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institution of higher D15 education. D99 Other (Specify) **Barrier: Visual Impairments** # Strategies for Visual Impairments **Students Teachers Others** E01 Provide early identification and intervention. E02 Provide Program materials/information in Braille. П Provide program materials/information in large type. E03 E04 Provide program materials/information on tape. П П E99 Other (Specify) **Barrier: Hearing Impairments** # Strategies for Hearing Impairments **Students Teachers Others** Provide early identification and intervention. F01 F02 Provide interpreters at program activities. F99 Other (Specify) П П **Barrier: Learning Disabilities** # Strategies for Learning Disabilities **Students Teachers Others** G01 Provide early identification and intervention. G02 Expand tutorial/mentor programs. Provide staff development in identification practices and effective teaching G03 strategies. G04 Provide training for parents in early identification and intervention. G99 Other (Specify) П Barrier: Other Physical Disabilities or Constraints # Strategies for Other Physical Disabilities or Constraints **Students Teachers** Others Develop and implement a plan to achieve full participation by students with H01 other physical disabilities/constraints.

П

Other (Specify)

H99

r							
For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with		TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	031-901 County-District No.				
1.	ne/e-mail/FAX on	School Years 2010-2013					
by	of TEA.	T Titl- I D 1 11 0 1 1 1		Amendment No.			
	Fobodulo # 4D	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant					
Barrier:	: Absenteelsm/Truancy	Equitable Access and Participation: Barri	ers and Strat	egles			
#		s for Absenteeism/Truancy	Chindanta	- 1	T		
K01	Provide early identification/in		Students	Teachers	Others		
K02	Develop and implement a tru						
K03	Conduct home visits by staff.		<u> </u>				
K04		promoting school attendance.	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
K05		r promoting school attendance.	<u> </u>				
K06	Provide mentor program.						
		ecreational or educational activities.					
K07	Conduct parent/teacher confe						
K08	Strengthen school/parent cor						
K09	Develop/maintain community						
K10	Coordinate with health and so						
K11	Coordinate with the juvenile j						
K12	education.	from business, industry, or institution of higher					
K99	Other (Specify)						
Barrier:	High Mobility Rates		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· -		
#		es for High Mobility Rates	Students	Teachers	Others		
L01	Coordinate with social service	s agencies					
L02	Establish partnerships with pa	rents of highly mobile families.					
L03	Establish/maintain timely reco	ord transferal system.					
L99	Other (Specify)						
Barrier:	Lack of Support from Par	ents	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
#	Strategies for	Lack of Support from Parents	Students	Teachers	Others		
M01	Develop and implement a plan	to Increase support from parents.					
M02	Conduct home visits by staff.						
M03 M04	Recruit volunteers to actively	participate in school activities.					
M05	Conduct parent/teacher confe Establish school/parent compa						
M06	Provide parenting training.	octs.					
M07							
M08	Provide a parent/family center	- _					
	Provide a parent/family center Provide program materials/inf	r. ormation in home language.					
M09	Provide program materials/infi Involve parents from a variety	ormation in home language.					
M09 M10	Provide program materials/inf Involve parents from a variety Offer "flexible" opportunities for	ormation in home language. of backgrounds in school decision making. or involvement, including home learning activities.					
	Provide program materials/inf Involve parents from a variety Offer "flexible" opportunities for and other activities that don't Provide child care for parents	ormation in home language. of backgrounds in school decision making. or involvement, including home learning activities require coming to school. participating in school activities.					
M10	Provide program materials/inf Involve parents from a variety Offer "flexible" opportunities fr and other activities that don't Provide child care for parents Acknowledge and include familin school activities.	ormation in home language. of backgrounds in school decision making. or involvement, including home learning activities require coming to school. participating in school activities. ly members' diverse skills, talents, acknowledge					
M10 M11 M12 M13	Provide program materials/inf Involve parents from a variety Offer "flexible" opportunities for and other activities that don't Provide child care for parents Acknowledge and include familin school activities. Provide adult education, include program.	ormation in home language. of backgrounds in school decision making. or involvement, including home learning activities require coming to school. participating in school activities. ly members' diverse skills, talents, acknowledge ling GED and/or ESL classes, or family literacy					
M10 M11 M12	Provide program materials/inf Involve parents from a variety Offer "flexible" opportunities for and other activities that don't Provide child care for parents Acknowledge and include familin school activities. Provide adult education, include program.	ormation in home language. of backgrounds in school decision making. or involvement, including home learning activities require coming to school. participating in school activities. ly members' diverse skills, talents, acknowledge					

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY				
on this page have been sectioned with TEXAS FOLICATION AGENCY				
	031-901			
Standard Application System (SAS)	County-District No).		
by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Vegra 2010, 2013	·			
by School Years 2010-2013				
Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	Amendment No.			
Schedule # 4D—Equitable Access and Participation: Barriers and St				
Barrier: Shortage of Qualified Personnel	ategies			
# Strategies for Shortage of Qualified Personnel Student	5 Teachers	0.11		
NO1 Develop and implement a plan to recruit and retain qualified personnel.		Others		
Recruit and retain teachers from a variety of racial ethnic and language	<u> </u>	↓□		
minority groups.				
N03 Provide mentor program for new teachers.				
N04 Provide intern program for new teachers.		 		
NO5 Provide professional development in a variety of formats for personnel.				
N06 Collaborate with colleges/universities with teacher preparation programs.		1-5-		
N99 Other (Specify)		十十二		
Barrier: Lack of Knowledge Regarding Program Benefits		<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		
# Strategies for Lack of Knowledge regarding Program Benefits Student	Teachers	Others		
Post Develop and implement a plan to inform program beneficiaries of program	П			
Totalities of politicists				
P02 Publish newsletter/brochures to inform program beneficiaries of activities and benefits.				
Provide announcements to local radio stations & newspapers about program				
activities/benefits.				
P99 Other (Specify)				
Barrier: Lack of Transportation to Program Activities		1		
# Strategies for Lack of Transportation to Program Activities Students	Teachers	Others		
Q01 Provide transportation for parents and other program beneficiarles to activities.				
Q02 Offer "flexible" opportunities for involvement, including home learning activities and other activities that don't require coming to school				
and other activities that don't require coming to school.				
Q03 Conduct program activities in community centers and other neighborhood locations.				
Q04 Other (Specify)				
Barrier: Other Barrier				
# Strategies for Other Barrier Students	Teachers	Others		
Other Barrier:		011013		
Other Strategy:				

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031-901 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule #5—Program Budget Summary

Program Authority:

P.L. 107-110, Section 1003(g), as amended by ARRA, P.L. 111-5

CFDA # 84.388A & 84.377A

Fund Code

ARRA (CFDA# 84.388A): 286

Regular (CFDA# 84.377A): 276

P	roject	Period:	August 1	l, 2010 through	June 30, 2013		
Class/Object Code and Description			Campus Grant Costs LEA Admin Grant Costs		Pre-Award Cost	Total Grant Funds Budgeted	
Payroll Costs		5B	6100	\$ 2,217,684	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 2,217,684
Professional and Contracted Ser	vices	5C	6200	1,452,588	0	0	1,452,588
Supplies and Materials		5D	6300	34,485	0	0	34,485
Other Operating Costs		5E	6400	219,263	0	0	219,263
Capital Outlay (Exclusive of 6619 and 6629) (15XX for charter schools only)		5G	6600/ 15XX	1,255,945	0	0	1,255,945
	Т	otal Dir	ect Costs	5,179,965	0	0	5,179,965
	1.334	% Indire	ect Costs		0	0	70,035
Grand Total							
Total Budgeted Costs:	-			\$ 5,179,965	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 5,250,000
Administrative Cost Calcu	lation						
Enter total amount from Schedu	le #5 Bu	ıdget Sı	ımmary, l	ast Column, Tota	al Budgeted Cost	S	5,250,000
Multiply by 0.05 (% limit)							X 0.05
Enter Maximum Allowable for Ad	lministra	ition, in	cluding In	direct Costs			\$ 262,500

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031-901	
County-District	Νn

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

by

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule #5—Program Budget Summary

Budget Request by Grant Year: Enter the amount of grant funds requested for each year of the three year grant period.

Year 1: SY 2010-2011 \$1,750,000

Year 2: SY 2011-2012 \$1,750,000 * Year 3: SY 2012-2013 \$1,750,000 *

* Any Budget Request entered for funds in Year 2 and/or Year 3 constitutes the LEA/campus requesting approval of the walver for extending the period of availability of these grant funds, whether indicated on Schedule #4B—Program Description: Walver Requests or not.

Provide any necessary explanation or clarification of budgeted costs

We expect immediate academic improvement in the first year so our campus plan is to budget professional development stipends for attending professional development, substitutes to allow teachers to attend professional development, personnel to support instructional staff, extra duty pay for extended day enrichment, and performance based incentives for all faculty. Payroll Costs over the three years total \$2,217,684 or 43% or the budget. Payroll costs includes the following:

- 1. Instructional Assistants for PreKinder (6) to support PreKinder teachers in the classroom.
- 2. Reading Coach (1) that will support teachers though the use of quality data to drive instruction and provide modeling, observations, and feedback.
- 3. A project manager that will work on ensuring implementation of activities, reports and documentation or performance and implementation 8 hours a week for 40 weeks each year.
- 4. Substitutes so that teachers can receive professional development 6 days a year.
- 5. Extended Day extra duty pay for teachers 3 times for an hour a week for 30 weeks each year
- 6. Stipends to pay teachers to attend professional development after school or on Saturdays.
- 7. Performance based incentives for administration, teachers PreK-5th grade, certified support staff, instructional assistants, office staff, cafeteria staff, and custodians.

Professional and Contracted Services will improve student behavior, leadership, teacher quality, and parental/community involvement that will ultimately improve student academic results. Implementation in the first year is focused on teacher professional development opportunities. Parental/community involvement and leadership support will continue over the three years. The budget is 1,452,588 or 28% or the three year budget.

\$1,255,945.00 or 24% is budgeted for technology over three years. According to our campus CNA, technology needed attention. Over the next three years teachers and students will have access to computers, smartboards, laptops, and IPads. Teachers will receive technical and instructional support to utilize technology in classrooms to their fullest so that students will be more motivated and engaged in instructional lessons.

The design is to invest \$5,179,965.00 in both short-term and long-term academic success for all of our students.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

_031-901	
County-District No	٥.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on
by of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #5B—Payroll Costs (6100)

Вu	dgeted	Costs					
		ployee Position Titles	Justification	#Full- Time Effort	#Part- Time Effort	Pre-Award	Amount Budgeted
	truction			, 411010	1	<u> </u>	Duugetet
1	Teache	r		0	0	\$ 0	\$ 0
2		onal Aide	Instructional Assistants will support PreK full day teachers	6	0	0	253,755
3	Tutor			0	0	0	0
Pro	gram Ma	nagement and Administration					J
4	Project	Director		0	0	0	1 0
5	Project	Coordinator		0	0	0	0
7		r Facilitator		0	0	0	0
8	Coarata	r Supervisor		0	0	0	0
9	Secreta	ry/Administrative Assistant		0	0	0	0
10		ntry Clerk		0	0	0	0
11		ccountant/Bookkeeper		0	0	0	0
	illary	or/Evaluation Specialist			0	0	0
12	Counsel	lor					
13	Social V			0	0	0	0
14		are Provider		0	0	0	0
15		nity Liaison/Parent Coordinator		0	0	0	0
16	Bus Driv	ver		0	0	0	0
17	Cafeteri			0	0	0	0
18	Libraria			0	0	0	0
19	School I			0	0	0	0
		yee Positions	1	0	0	0	0
22	Title:	Reading coach will support teach	are/data analysis/slassroom	1 0 1			
23	Title:	Tradaing couch will support teach	ers/data analysis/classicolli	0	0	0	191,796
24	Title:			0	0	0	0
	 			0	0	0	0
25	Title:			0	0	0	0
26			Subt	otal Employ	ee Costs	\$ 0	\$ 445,551
		xtra-Duty, Benefits					
27	6112	Substitute Pay				\$ 0	\$ 131,760
28	6119	Professional Staff Extra-Duty P	ау			0	1,277,250
29	6121	Support Staff Extra-Duty Pay				0 '	69,600
30	6140	Employee Benefits				0	293,523
31	Subtotal Substitute, Extra-Duty, Benefits Costs				ts Costs	\$ 0	\$ 1,772,13 3
32			Grand Total Payroll Budge	t (line 26 +	line 31)	\$ 0	\$ 2,217,68 4

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** 031-901 Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 bν of TEA. Amendment No. **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #5C- Itemized 6200 Professional and Contracted Services Costs Requiring Specific Approval Total **Expense Item Description Amount Pre-Award** Budgeted Audit Costs (other than audits required under OMB Circular A-133) 621 2 0 Specify purpose \$ 0 Rental or Lease of Buildings, Space in Buildings, or Land 626 Specify purpose and provide calculation: O 0 Contracted Publication and Printing Costs (specific approval required only for nonprofit charter 629 schools) 9 ٥ Specify purpose: Scholarships and Fellowships (not allowed for nonprofit charter schools) 629 9 0 Specify purpose: 0 Subtotal 6200 - Professional and Contracted Services Cost Requiring Specific Approval 0 Professional and Consulting Services (6219/6239) Less than \$10,000 Total Total # Topic/Purpose/Service Contracted **Pre-Award** Amount **Amount** Budgeted 1. Online Grade Book/Online grading system \$ 0 \$ 6,942 \$ 6,942 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. **Subtotal** Professional and Consulting Services Less than \$10,000 \mid \$ 0 \$ 6,942 Professional and Consulting Services (6219) Greater than or Equal to \$10,000 1. Description of Professional or Consulting Service (Topic/Purpose/Service): Response to Intervention Behavior Management Program as part of the response to intervention initiative will include teacher and staff

development for complete classroom and school-wide program implementation.

Contractor's Cost Breakdown of Service to be Provided # Positions | Total Contracted Amount | Pre-Award Amount | Pre-Award Budgete

Contractor 5 Co	St Bleakdown of Service to be Provided	# Positions	Contracted Amount	Pre-Award	Amount Budgeted
	Contractor's Payroll Costs				
	Title: Rti Behavior Management		\$ 44,856	\$ 0	\$ 44,856
	Subgrants, Subcontracts, Subcontracted Services		0	0	0
	Supplies and Materials		n	0	0
at a second particular	Other Operating Costs		0	0	0
TO STATE OF THE ST	Capital Outlay (Subgrants Only)		0	0	
	Indirect Cost (%)		<u> </u>	0	0
			U	U	0
<u> </u>		Total Payment:	\$ 44,856	\$ 0	\$ 44,856

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** on this page have been confirmed with 031-901 Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 of TEA. Amendment No. **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #5C- Itemized 6200 Professional and Contracted Services Costs Requiring Specific Approval (cont.) Professional and Consulting Services (6219) Greater than or Equal to \$10,000 (cont.) 2. Description of Professional or Consulting Service (Topic/Purpose/Service): BISD utilizes benchmark testing as a tool to determine student progress on the mastery of stated objectives. Total Total Pre-Contractor's Cost Breakdown of Service to be Provided # Positions Contracted **Amount** Award **Amount** Budgeted Contractor's Payroll Costs \$ 52,065 **\$** 0 \$ 52,065 Title: Benchmark Assessment Subgrants, Subcontracts, Subcontracted Services 0 0 0 Supplies and Materials 0 0 0 Other Operating Costs 0 0 0 Capital Outlay (Subgrants Only) 0 0 0 Indirect Cost (0%)0 0 0 Total Payment: \$ 52,065 0 \$ 52,065 3. Description of Professional or Consulting Service (Topic/Purpose/Service): Student Management and Student Record Retrieval System Total Total Pre-Contractor's Cost Breakdown of Service to be Provided # Positions Contracted **Amount** Award **Amount** Budgeted Contractor's Payroll Costs 41,652 \$ 0 \$ 41,652 Title: Student Information Management and Retrieval System Subgrants, Subcontracts, Subcontracted Services 0 0 0 Supplies and Materials n 0 0 Other Operating Costs Ω 0 0 Capital Outlay (Subgrants Only) 0 0 n Indirect Cost (0%) 0 0 0 Total Payment: \$ 41,652 0 \$ 41,652 4. Description of Professional or Consulting Service (Topic/Purpose/Service): CSCOPE is the Core District Reading and Language Arts program. Total Total Pre-Contractor's Cost Breakdown of Service to be Provided # Positions Contracted Amount Award **Amount** Budgeted Contractor's Payroll Costs 24,297 **\$** 0 \$ 24,297 Title: CSCOPE Subgrants, Subcontracts, Subcontracted Services 0 0 Supplies and Materials 0 0 O Other Operating Costs 0 0 0 Capital Outlay (Subgrants Only) 0 0 0 Indirect Cost (0%) 0 0 0 **Total Payment:** 24,297 0 \$ 24,297 Subtotal: Professional and Consulting Services Greater Than or Equal to \$10,000: \$ 118,014 \$ 0 \$ 118,014

See Next Page:

Remaining 6200- Professional and Contracted Services that do not require specific approval:

Subtotal of Professional and Contracted Services Costs Requiring Specific Approval: Subtotal of Professional and Consulting Services or Subgrants Less than \$10,000: Subtotal of Professional and Consulting Services Greater than or Equal to \$10,000:

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031-901 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule #5C- Itemized 6200 Professional and Contracted Services Costs Requiring Specific Approval (cont.)

Professional and Consulting Services (6219) Greater than or Equal to \$10,000 (cont.)

5. Description of Professional or Consulting Service (Topic/Purpose/Service):

Communities in Schools will provide a bridge between the schools, families, students and community through a caseworker.

Contractor's Cost Breakdown of Service to be Provided		# Positions	Total Contracted Amount	Pre- Award	Total Amount Budgeted
	Contractor's Payroll Costs	1	+ 60.000		\$ 69,000
	Title: Communities in Schools-Social Worker		\$ 69,000	\$ 0	
	Subgrants, Subcontracts, Subcontracted Services		0	0	0
	Supplies and Materials Other Operating Costs		0	0	0
340000000000000000000000000000000000000			0	0	0
10/20/19/19 20:19	Capital Outlay (Subgrants Only)		0	0	0
	Indirect Cost (_%)		0	0	0
		Total Payment:	\$ 69,000	\$ 0	\$ 69,000

6. Description of Professional or Consulting Service (Topic/Purpose/Service):

Math Solutions will provide Professional Development (PD) that includes researched based practices and strategies, consultation throughout project period, and materials to improve student achievement in math in grades K-5th.

Contractor's Cost Breakdown of Service to be Provided # Positions		Total Contracted Amount	Pre- Award	Total Amount Budgeted	
1000	Contractor's Payroll Costs		A 74.000	4 0	
	Title: Math Solutions		\$ 74,000	\$ 0	\$ 74,000
1.5000 (3.65)	Subgrants, Subcontracts, Subcontracted Services		0	0	0
	Supplies and Materials		0	0	0
7.32	Other Operating Costs		0	0	0
3	Capital Outlay (Subgrants Only)		0	0	0
	Indirect Cost (0%)	<u>"- </u>	0	0	0
	Tol	al Payment:	\$ 74,000	\$ 0	\$ 74,000

7. Description of Professional or Consulting Service (Topic/Purpose/Service):

Martha Morales will provide PD that includes researched based practices and strategies to improve Reading and Writing Achievement in Grades 2-5th.

Contractor's Cost Breakdown of Service to be Provided # Positions		Total Contracted Amount	Pre- Award	Total Amount Budgeted	
	Contractor's Payroll Costs		\$ 850,000	\$ 0	\$ 850,000
4.040.664	Title: Martha Morales		Ψ 030,000		\$ 030,000
Contract Made	Subgrants, Subcontracts, Subcontracted Services		0	0	0
State of the State of	Supplies and Materials		0	0	0
1004	Other Operating Costs		0	0	0
2006	Capital Outlay (Subgrants Only)		0	0	0
	Indirect Cost (%)		0	0	0
		Total Payment:	\$ 850,000	\$ 0	\$ 850,000
Subtotal: Prof	Subtotal: Professional and Consulting Services Greater Than or Equal to \$10,000:			\$ 0	\$ 993,000
Subto	tal of Professional and Contracted Services Costs Requi	ring Specific Approval:			
Subtotal of Professional and Consulting Services or Subgrants Less than \$10,000:					
Subto	otal of Professional and Consulting Services Greater than	n or Equal to \$10,000:			
Remaining 620	0- Professional and Contracted Services that do not req	quire specific approval:			
		See Next Page:			

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031-901 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mall/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule #5C- Itemized 6200 Professional and Contracted Services Costs Requiring Specific Approval (cont.) Professional and Consulting Services (6219) Greater than or Equal to \$10,000 (cont.)

8. Description of Professional or Consulting Service (Topic/Purpose/Service):

Southwest Academic Solutions will provide innovative technology, Lexia Reading, including comprehensive training and reading program licenses.

Contractor's Cost Breakdown of Service to be Provided # Positions		# Positions	Total Contracted Amount	Pre- Award	Total Amount Budgeted
	Contractor's Payroll Costs		A 456 000		
	Title: Southwest Academic Solutions-Lexia Reading		\$ 126,007	\$ 0	\$ 126,007
and the last of the same	Subgrants, Subcontracts, Subcontracted Services		0	0	0
计设计划 计数分数	Supplies and Materials		0	0	0
100 100	Other Operating Costs		0	0	0
4 50 14 15 6 34	Capital Outlay (Subgrants Only)		0	0	0
	Indirect Cost (%)		0	0	0
	Tota	Payment:	\$ 126,007	& 0	\$ 126.007

9. Description of Professional or Consulting Service (Topic/Purpose/Service):

SIRC will provide leadership training and support to administrative team and SBDM members.

Contractor's Cost Breakdown of Service to be Provided		# Positions	Total Contracted Amount	Pre- Award	Total Amount Budgeted
	Contractor's Payroll Costs		# 00 000	+ 0	
	Title: SIRC		\$ 90,000	\$ 0	\$ 90,000
	Subgrants, Subcontracts, Subcontracted Services Supplies and Materials Other Operating Costs		0	0	0
k. Berenis			0	0	0
			0	0	0
Capital Outlay (Subgrants Only)			0	0	0
on ATT Confederations	Indirect Cost (_%)		0	0	0
		Total Payment:	\$ 90,000	\$ 0	\$ 90,000

10. Description of Professional or Consulting Service (Topic/Purpose/Service):

Great Books Shared Inquiry Consultant Services will provide our teachers with much needed professional development in reading/ELA. Shared Inquiry is a method for intensive discussion of challenging literature and nonfiction that develops higher order thinking skills.

Contractor's Cost Breakdown of Service to be Provided # Positions		Total Contracted Amount	Pre- Award	Total Amount Budgeted	
Control (44 control)	Contractor's Payroll Costs				
	Title: Great Books Shared Inquiry Professional Development Consultant Services		\$ 73,769	\$ 0	\$ 73,769
2.04/06/04	Subgrants, Subcontracts, Subcontracted Services		28,050	0	28,050
100000000000000000000000000000000000000	Supplies and Materials		45,719	0	45,719
	Other Operating Costs		0	0	0
	Capital Outlay (Subgrants Only)		0	0	0
	Indirect Cost (0%)		0	0	0
	Total Payment:			\$ 0	\$ 73,769
Subtotal: Profe	essional and Consulting Services Greater Than or Equ	al to \$10,000:	\$ 289,776	\$ 0	\$ 289,776
	MARKATA CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE			100	
Subtot	tal of Professional and Contracted Services Costs Requiring	Specific Approval:	0	0	0
Subtotal of Professional and Consulting Services or Subgrants Less than \$10,000:			6,942	0	6,942
Subtotal of Professional and Consulting Services Greater than or Equal to \$10,000:			1,445,646	0	1,445,646
Remaining 6200	Remaining 6200- Professional and Contracted Services that do not require specific approval:		0	0	0
		Grand Total:	1,452,588	0	1,452,588

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with		TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)		031-901 County-District No.	
by telep	hone/e-mall/FAX on	School Years 2010-2013	•		
by	of TEA.	School Years 2010-2013	Amendment No.		
		Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant			
	Schedule #5D - Itemiz	ed 6300 Supplies and Materials Costs Requiring Spec	ific Approval		
	Ex	pense Item Description	Pre-Award	Total Budgeted	
Technology Hardware- Not Capitalized					
	# Type	Purpose Quantity			
6399	2				
	3		, \$	\$	
	4				
	5				
6399 Technology Software- Not Capitalized (TextHelp and CPALLS)			0	34,485	
6399	Supplies and Materials Associated	d with Advisory Council or Committee			
Total Supplies and Materials Requiring Specific Approval:			0	34,485	
Remaining 6300- Supplies and Materials that do not require specific approval:					
Grand Total				\$ 34,485	

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** on this page have been confirmed with 031-901 Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mall/FAX on **School Years 2010-2013** of TEA. Amendment No. **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #5E - Itemized 6400 Other Operating Costs Regulring Specific Approval **Expense Item Description** Total Pre-Award Budgeted Out of State Travel for Employees (includes registration fees) 6411 \$ 0 \$ 90,000 Specify purpose: Travel for Students (includes registration fees; does not include field trips) (specific approval 6412 required only for nonprofit charter schools) Specify purpose: Stipends for Non-Employees (specific approval required only for nonprofit charter schools) 6413 Specify purpose: Travel for Non-Employees (includes registration fees; does not include field trips) (specific 6419 approval required only for nonprofit charter schools) Specify purpose: 6411/ Travel Costs for Executive Director (6411), Superintendents (6411), or Board Members (6419) 6419 (includes registration fees) Specify purpose: 6429 Actual losses which could have been covered by permissible insurance 6490 Indemnification Compensation for Loss or Damage Advisory Council/Committee Travel or Other Expenses (explain purpose of Committee on 6490 Schedule #4B-Program Description: Project Management) Membership Dues in Civic or Community Organizations (Not allowable for University applicants) Specify name and purpose of organization: 6499 Publication and Printing Costs- if reimbursed (specific approval required only for nonprofit charter schools) Specify purpose:

Total 64XX- Operating Costs Requiring specific approval:

Remaining 6400 - Other Operating Costs that do not require specific approval:

0

0

\$ 0

Grand Total

90,000

129,263 \$ 21<u>9,263</u>

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with		TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)			031-901				
by telephone/e-mail/FAX on		School Years 2010-2013			County-District No.				
by of TEA.					Amendment No.				
		Texas Title I Priority So	hools Grant						
Schedule #5G - Itemized 6600/15XX Capital Outlay- Capitalized Assets Regardless of Unit Cost (15XX is for use by Charter Schools sponsored by a nonprofit organization)									
	Description	ı/Purpose	Unit Cost	Quantity	Pre-Award	Total Budgeted			
669	9/15XX- Library Books and Medi	a (capitalized and controlled by				Duugeteu			
1 667	X/15XX- Technology Hardware -	G-24-11							
2	TeachSmart Learning System by	v Hatch	OFFE	22					
3	Smartboards	naten	8,555 3,600	27 37	0	230,985			
4	Workstations (Dell)		1,073	300	0	133,200 321,900			
5	Laptops (Dell)		1,339	240	0	321,360			
6	IPads (Apple)		700	355	0	248,500			
7									
8 9									
10	1								
11									
	X/15XX- Technology Software- C	anitalizad			<u> </u>				
12		upitanzeu							
13									
14									
15									
16									
17				`					
18	V/1EVV Farings and and Faring								
19	X/15XX- Equipment and Furniture	8			·				
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									
26	- William - Will								
27									
8	tal arman dikana far tan								
ife.	tal expenditures for improvement	ts to land, buildings, or equipme	ent which mate	rially increase	their value or	r useful			
9									
irai	id Total				a fiste of the state of	100			
		Total 6600	15XX- Capital (Jutlay Costar		1,255,94			
		10tar 00007				5			
						1			
						ĺ			

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

031-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Statement of provisions and assurances for the program(s) in this application:

- A. Terms defined: As used in these Provisions and Assurances,
 - Contract means the entire document, and all of TEA's attachments, appendices, schedules (including but not limited to the General Provisions and the Special Provisions), amendments and extensions of or to the Standard Contract;
 - Agency or TEA means the Texas Education Agency;
 - Contractor means the party or parties to this contract other than Agency; including its or their officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives, consultants and subcontractors, and subcontractors' officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives and consultants;
 - Project Administrator means the person representing Agency or Contractor, as indicated by the contract, for the purposes of administering the contract project;
 - Contract Project means the purpose intended to be achieved through the contract of which these Provisions and Assurances are a part;
 - Applicant means the same as Contractor:
 - SAS means the Standard Application System of which the application document is a part;
 - "Application" means the entire package submitted by the Applicant including the schedules contained in the application and so indicated on the General Information page of the application package;
 - Amendment means an application that is revised in budget categories and/or in program activities. It includes both the original application and any subsequent amendments; or extensions thereto;
 - Works means all tangible or intangible material, products, ideas, documents or works of authorship prepared or created by Contractor for or on behalf of TEA at any time after the beginning date of the Contract (Works includes but is not limited to computer software, data, information, images, illustrations, designs, graphics, drawings, educational materials, assessment forms, testing materials, logos, trademarks, patentable materials, etc.); and,
 - Intellectual Property Rights means the worldwide intangible legal rights or interests evidenced by or embodied in: (a) any idea, design, concept, method, process, technique, apparatus, invention, discovery, or improvement, including any patents, trade secrets, and know-how; (b) any work of authorship, including any copyrights, moral rights or neighboring rights; (c) any trademark, service mark, trade dress, trade name, or other indicia of source or origin; (d) domain name registrations; and (e) any other similar rights. The Intellectual Property Rights of a party include all worldwide intangible legal rights or interests that the party may have acquired by assignment or license with the right to grant sublicenses.
 - Grant means the same as Contract;
 - Grantee means the same as Contractor;
 - Grantor means the same as Agency; and
 - DCC means the Document Control Center of Agency.
- B. Contingency: This contract is executed by Agency subject to the availability of funds appropriated by legislative act for the purposes stated. All amendments and/or extensions or subsequent contracts entered into for the same or continued purposes are executed contingent upon the availability of appropriated funds. Notwithstanding any other provision in this contract or any other document, this contract is void upon appropriated funds becoming unavailable. In addition, this contract may be terminated by Agency at any time for any reason upon notice to Contractor. Expenditures and/or activities for which Contractor may claim reimbursement shall not be accrued or claimed subsequent to receipt of such notice from Agency. This contract may be extended or otherwise amended only by formal written amendment properly executed by both Agency and Contractor. No other agreement, written or oral, purporting to alter or amend this contract shall be valid.
- C. Contractor's Application: Furnished to Agency in response to a request for application, is incorporated in this contract by reference for all necessary purposes. It is specifically provided, however, that the provisions of this contract shall prevail in all cases of conflict arising from the terms of Contractor's application whether such application is a written part of this contract or is attached as a separate document.
- D. Requirements, Terms, Conditions, and Assurances: Which are stated in the Request for Application, in response to which Applicant is submitting this application, are incorporated herein by reference for all purposes although the current General Provisions shall prevail in the event of conflict. The instructions to the Standard Application System, as well as the General and Fiscal Guidelines and Program Guidelines, are incorporated herein by reference.

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

031-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- E. Signature Authority; Final Expression; Superseding Document: Applicant certifies that the person signing this application has been properly delegated this authority. The Contract represents the final and complete expression of the terms of agreement between the parties. The Contract supersedes any previous understandings or negotiations between the parties. Any representations, oral statements, promises or warranties that differ from the Contract shall have no force or effect. The Contract may be modified, amended or extended only by formal written amendment properly executed by both TEA and Contractor.
- F. State of Texas Laws: In the conduct of the contract project, Contractor shall be subject to Texas State Board of Education and Commissioner rules pertaining to this contract and the contract project and to the laws of the State of Texas governing this contract and the contract project. This contract constitutes the entire agreement between Agency and Contractor for the accomplishment of the contract project. This contract shall be interpreted according to the laws of the State of Texas except as may be otherwise provided for in this contract.
- **G. Monitoring**: Desk reviews or on-site monitoring reviews may be conducted by Agency to determine compliance with the approved application and the applicable statute(s), law(s), regulations, and guidelines.
- H. Sanctions for Failure to Perform or for Noncompliance: If Contractor, in Agency's sole determination, fails or refuses for any reason to comply with or perform any of its obligations under this contract, Agency may impose such sanctions as it may deem appropriate. This includes but is not limited to the withholding of payments to Contractor until Contractor complies; the cancellation, termination, or suspension of this contract in whole or in part; and the seeking of other remedies as may be provided by this contract or by law. Any cancellation, termination, or suspension of this contract, if imposed, shall become effective at the close of business on the day of Contractor's receipt of written notice thereof from Agency.
- I. Contract Cancellation, etc.: If this contract is canceled, terminated, or suspended by Agency prior to its expiration date, the reasonable monetary value of services properly performed by Contractor pursuant to this contract prior to such cancellation, termination or suspension shall be determined by Agency and paid to Contractor as soon as reasonably possible.

J. Indemnification:

For local educational agencies (LEAs), regional education service centers (ESCs), and institutions of higher education (IHEs) and state agencies: Contractor, to the extent permitted by law, shall hold Agency harmless from and shall indemnify Agency against any and all claims, demands, and causes of action of whatever kind or nature asserted by any third party and occurring or in any way incident to, arising from, or in connection with, any acts of Contractor, its agents, employees, and subcontractors, done in the conduct of the contract project.

For all other grantees, subgrantees, contractors, and subcontractors, including nonprofit organizations and forprofit businesses: Contractor shall hold Agency harmless from and shall indemnify Agency against any and all claims, demands, and causes of action of whatever kind or nature asserted by any third party and occurring or in any way incident to, arising from, or in connection with, any acts of Contractor, its agents, employees, and subcontractors, done in the conduct of the contract project.

K. Encumbrances/Obligations: All encumbrances/obligations shall occur on or between the beginning and ending dates of the contract. All goods must be received and all services rendered and subsequently liquidated (recorded as an expenditure or accounts payable) within the contract dates. In no manner shall encumbrances be considered or reflected as accounts payable or as expenditures, and an encumbrance cannot be considered an expenditure or accounts payable until the goods have been received and the services have been rendered. Obligations that are liquidated and recognized as expenditures must meet the allowable cost principles in OMB Circular A-87, A-21, or A-122 (as applicable) and program rules, regulations, and guidelines contained elsewhere. This applies to all grant programs, including state and federal, discretionary and formula.

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

031-901

County-District No.

- L. Financial Management and Accounting: Grantee assures it will maintain a financial management system that complies with federal standards established in 34 CFR 80.20 and 74.21 and that provides for accurate, current, and complete disclosure of the financial results of each grant project. The financial management system records will identify adequately the source and application of funds and will contain information pertaining to grant awards, authorizations, obligations, unobligated balances, assets, outlays (i.e., expenditures), income, and interest. Fiscal control and accounting procedures will permit the tracing of funds to a level of expenditure adequate to establish that funds have been used in accordance with the approved grant application. The applicant agrees to maintain effective control over and accountability for all funds, property, and other assets. Public school districts, open enrollment charter schools, and regional education service centers in Texas must comply with the accounting requirements in the Financial Accounting and Reporting (FAR) module of the Financial Accountability System Resource Guide, Texas Education Agency (34 CFR 74.21; 34 CFR 80.20; TEA Financial Accountability System Resource Guide).
- M. Expenditure Reports: Contractor shall submit expenditure reports in the time and manner requested by Agency as specified in the instructions to the Standard Application System (SAS) which are incorporated by reference. Unless otherwise specified, interim reports are due to TEA within 15 days after the end of each reporting period. Unless otherwise specified, the final expenditure report is due within 30 days after the ending date of the grant. Revised expenditure reports, where the grantee is claiming additional expenditures beyond that originally requested, must be submitted within 60 days after the ending date of the grant, or as specified in the applicable Program Guidelines.
- N. Refunds Due to TEA: If Agency determines that Agency is due a refund of money paid to Contractor pursuant to this contract, Contractor shall pay the money due to Agency within 30 days of Contractor's receipt of written notice that such money is due to Agency. If Contractor fails to make timely payment, Agency may obtain such money from Contractor by any means permitted by law, including but not limited to offset, counterclaim, cancellation, termination, suspension, total withholding, and/or disapproval of all or any subsequent applications for said funds.
- O. Records Retention: Contractor shall maintain its records and accounts in a manner which shall assure a full accounting for all funds received and expended by Contractor in connection with the contract project. These records and accounts shall be retained by Contractor and made available for programmatic or financial audit by Agency and by others authorized by law or regulation to make such an audit for a period of not less than five years from the date of completion of the contract project or the date of the receipt by Agency of Contractor's final claim for payment or final expenditure report in connection with this contract, whichever is later. If an audit has been announced, the records shall be retained until such audit has been completed.
 - Contractor understands that acceptance of funds under this contract acts as acceptance of the authority of the State Auditor's office, or any successor agency, to conduct an audit or investigation in connection with those funds. Contractor further agrees to cooperate fully with the State Auditor's Office or its successor in the conduct of the audit or investigation, including providing all records requested. Contractor will ensure that this clause concerning the authority to audit funds received indirectly by subcontractors through Contractor and the requirements to cooperate is included in any subcontract it awards.
- P. Time and Effort Recordkeeping: For those personnel whose salaries are prorated between or among different funding sources, time and effort records will be maintained by Applicant that will confirm the services provided within each funding source. Applicant must adjust payroll records and expenditures based on this documentation. This requirement applies to all projects, regardless of funding source, unless otherwise specified. For federally funded projects, time and effort records must be in accordance with the requirements in the applicable OMB cost principles.
- Q. Forms, Assurances, and Reports: Contractor shall timely make and file with the proper authorities all forms, assurances and reports required by federal laws and regulations. Agency shall be responsible for reporting to the proper authorities any failure by Contractor to comply with the foregoing laws and regulations coming to Agency's attention, and may deny payment or recover payments made by Agency to Contractor in the event of Contractor's failure so to comply.
- R. Intellectual Property Ownership: Contractor agrees that all Works are, upon creation, works made for hire and the sole property of TEA. If the Works are, under applicable law, not considered works made for hire, Contractor hereby assigns to TEA all worldwide ownership of all rights, including the Intellectual Property Rights, in the Works, without the necessity of any further consideration, and TEA can obtain and hold in its own name all such rights to the Works. Contractor agrees to maintain written agreements with all officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives and subcontractors engaged by Contractor for the Contract Project, granting Contractor rights sufficient to support the performance and grant of rights to TEA by Contractor. Copies of such agreements shall be provided to TEA promptly upon request.

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

031-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Contractor warrants that (i) It has the authority to grant the rights herein granted, (ii) it has not assigned or transferred any right, title, or interest to the Works or Intellectual Property Rights that would conflict with its obligations under the Contract, and Contractor will not enter into any such agreements, and (iii) the Works will be original and will not infringe any intellectual property rights of any other person or entity. These warranties will survive the termination of the Contract. If any preexisting rights are embodied in the Works, Contractor grants to TEA the irrevocable, perpetual, non-exclusive, worldwide, royalty-free right and license to (i) use, execute, reproduce, display, perform, distribute copies of, and prepare derivative works based upon such preexisting rights and any derivative works thereof and (ii) authorize others to do any or all of the foregoing. Contractor agrees to notify TEA on delivery of the Works if they include any such preexisting rights. On request, Contractor will provide TEA with documentation indicating a third party's written approval for Contractor to use any preexisting rights that may be embodied or reflected in the Works.

For School Districts and Nonprofit Organizations: The foregoing Intellectual Property Ownership provisions apply to any school districts, nonprofit organizations, and their employees, agents, representatives, consultants and subcontractors. If a school district or nonprofit organization or any of its subcontractor(s) wish to obtain a license agreement to use, advertise, offer for sale, sell, distribute, publicly display, publicly perform or reproduce the Works, or make derivative works from the Works, then express written permission must first be obtained from the TEA Copyright Office.

For Education Service Centers (ESCs): The foregoing Intellectual Property Ownership provisions apply to an Education Service Center (ESC) and its employees, agents, representatives, consultants, and subcontractors. If an ESC or any of its subcontractor(s) wish to obtain a license agreement to use, advertise, offer for sale, sell, distribute, publicly display, publicly perform or reproduce the Works, or make derivative works from the Works, then express written permission must first be obtained from the TEA Copyright Office.

For Colleges and Universities: The foregoing Intellectual Property Ownership provisions apply to any colleges and universities and their employees, agents, representatives, consultants, and subcontractors; provided, that for all Works and derivative works created or conceived by colleges or universities under the Contract, they are granted a non-exclusive, non-transferable, royalty-free license to use the Works for their own academic and educational purposes only. The license for academic and educational purposes specifically excludes advertising, offering for sale, selling, distributing, publicly displaying, publicly performing, or reproducing the Works, or making derivative works from the Works that are created or conceived under this Contract and colleges and universities and their employees, agents, representatives, consultants, and subcontractors are prohibited from engaging in these uses and activities with regard to the Works unless the prior express written permission of the TEA Copyright Office is obtained.

- S. Unfair Business Practices: Unfair Business Practices: By signing this Contract, Contractor, if other than a state agency, certifies that Contractor, within the preceding 12 months, has not been found guilty, in a judicial or state agency administrative proceeding, of unfair business practices. Contractor, if other than a state agency, also certifies that no officer of its company has, within the preceding 12 months, served as an officer in another company which has been found, in a judicial or state agency administrative proceeding, to be guilty of unfair business practices.
 - Contractor, whether a state agency or not a state agency, certifies that no funds provided under this Contract shall be used to purchase supplies, equipment, or services from any companies found to be guilty of unfair business practices within 12 months from the determination of guilt.
- **T. Subcontracting:** Contractor shall not assign or subcontract any of its rights or responsibilities under this contract, except as may be otherwise provided for in this application, without prior formal written amendment to this contract properly executed by both Agency and Contractor.
- **U. Use of Consultants:** Notwithstanding any other provision of this application, Applicant shall not use or pay any consultant in the conduct of this application if the services to be rendered by any such consultant can be provided by Applicant's employees.
- V. Capital Outlay: If Contractor purchases capital outlay (furniture and/or equipment) to accomplish the objective(s) of the project, title will remain with Contractor for the period of the contract. Agency reserves the right to transfer capital outlay items for contract noncompliance during the contract period or as needed after the ending date of the contract. This provision applies to any and all furniture and/or equipment regardless of unit price and how the item is classified in Contractor's accounting record.

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

031-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- W. Agency Property (terms): In the event of loss, damage or destruction of any property owned by or loaned by Agency while in the custody or control of Contractor, its employees, agents, consultants or subcontractors, Contractor shall indemnify Agency and pay to Agency the full value of or the full cost of repair or replacement of such property, whichever is the greater, within 30 days of Contractor's receipt of written notice of Agency's determination of the amount due. This applies whether the property is developed or purchased by Contractor pursuant to this contract or is provided by Agency to Contractor for use in the contract project. If Contractor fails to make timely payment, Agency may obtain such money from Contractor by any means permitted by law, including but not limited to offset or counterclaim against any money otherwise due to Contractor by Agency.
- X. Travel Costs: Amounts authorized for maximum recovery for travel and per diem costs against any state or federal funding source are restricted to those amounts which are approved in the State of Texas Appropriations Bill in effect for the particular funding period. Any amount over this limit must come from local funding sources. Applicant must recover funds at a lesser rate if local policy amounts are less than the maximum allowed by the state. Out-of-state travel may not exceed the federal government rate for the locale. Travel allowances are not allowable costs.
- Y. Funds for Religious Worship, Instruction: No funds will be used to pay for religious worship, instruction, or proselytization, or for any equipment or supplies for such, or for any construction, remodeling, repair, operation, or maintenance of any facility or part of a facility to be used for religious worship, instruction, or proselytization (34 CFR 76.532 and P. L. 107-110, section 9505).
- Z. Disclosure of Gifts and Campaign Contributions: The grantee shall file disclosures of gifts and campaign contributions as required by State Board of Education Operating Rule 4.3, which is incorporated as if set out in full. The grantee has a continuing obligation to make disclosures through the term of the contract. Failure to comply with State Board of Education Operating Rule 4.3 is grounds for canceling the grant.
- AA. Submission of Audit Reports to TEA: Grantees which are public school districts and open enrollment charter schools agree to submit the required annual audit report, including the reporting package required under OMB Circular A-133, if an audit is required to be conducted in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, to the TEA Division of School Financial Audits in the time and manner requested by the Agency.

Grantees which are **nonprofit organizations** (other than charter schools) and universities/colleges that expend \$500,000 or more total in federal awards in any fiscal year and are thus required to conduct a Single Audit or program-specific audit in accordance with the requirements in OMB Circular A-133, agree to submit a copy of such audit to TEA when the schedule of findings and questioned costs disclosed audit findings relating to any federal awards provided by TEA. A copy of such audit shall also be submitted to TEA if the summary schedule of prior audit findings reported the status of any audit findings relating to any federal awards provided by TEA.

A nonprofit organization or university/college grantee shall provide written notification to TEA that an audit was conducted in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 when the schedule of findings and questioned costs disclosed no audit findings related to any federal awards provided by TEA or when the summary schedule of prior audit findings did not report on the status of any prior audit findings related to any federal awards provided by TEA. Nonprofit organizations (other than charter schools) and universities/colleges shall submit the audit report to the TEA Division of Discretionary Grants. Audit reports must be submitted to TEA within 30 days of receipt of the report from the auditor. Failure to submit a copy of the audit to TEA could result in a reduction of funds paid to the grantee, a refund to TEA, termination of the grant, and/or ineligibility to receive additional grant awards from TEA.

- BB. Federal Rules, Laws, and Regulations That Apply to all Federal Programs: Contractor shall be subject to and shall abide by all federal laws, rules and regulations pertaining to the contract project, including but not limited to:
 - 1. Americans With Disabilities Act, P. L. 101-336, 42 U.S.C. sec. 12101, and the regulations effectuating its provisions contained in 28 CFR Parts 35 and 36, 29 CFR Part 1630, and 47 CFR Parts 0 and 64;
 - 2. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (prohibition of discrimination by race, color, or national origin), and the regulations effectuating its provisions contained in 34 CFR Part 100;
 - Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, as amended (prohibition of sex discrimination in educational institutions) and the regulations effectuating its provisions contained in 34 CFR Part 106, if Contractor is an educational institution;
 - 4. Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended (nondiscrimination on the basis of handicapping condition), and the regulations effectuating its provisions contained in 34 CFR Part 104 and 105;

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

031-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

5. the **Age Discrimination Act of 1975**, as amended (prohibition of discrimination on basis of age), and any regulations issued thereunder, including the provisions contained in 34 CFR Part 110;

 the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) of 1975, as amended (ensures access to educational records for students and parents while protecting the privacy of such records), and any regulations issued thereunder, including Privacy Rights of Parents and Students (34 CFR Part 99), if Contractor is an educational institution (20 USC 1232g);

7. Section 509 of H.R. 5233 as incorporated by reference in P. L. 99-500 and P. L. 99-591 (prohibition against the use of federal grant funds to influence legislation pending before Congress):

8. **Pro-Children Act of 2001**, which states that no person shall permit smoking within any indoor facility owned or leased or contracted and utilized for the provision of routine or regular kindergarten, elementary, or secondary education or library services to children [P. L. 107-110, Section 4303(a)]. In addition, no person shall permit smoking within any indoor facility (or portion of such a facility) owned or leased or contracted and utilized for the provision of regular or routine health care or day care or early childhood development (Head Start) services [P. L. 107-110, Section 4303(b)(1)]. Any failure to comply with a prohibition in this Act shall be considered to be a violation of this Act and any person subject to such prohibition who commits such violation may be liable to the United States for a civil penalty, as determined by the Secretary of Education (P. L. 107-110, Section 4303(e)(1)].

9. Fair Labor Standards Act (29 USC 207), Davis Bacon Act (40 USC 276(a), and Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act (40 USC 327 et seq.), as applicable, and their implementing regulations in 29 CFR 500-899,

29 CFR Parts 1,3,5, and 7, and 29 CFR Parts 5 and 1926, respectively.

10. Buy America Act: Contractor certifies that it is in compliance with the Buy America Act in that each end product purchased under any federally funded supply contract exceeding \$2,500 is considered to have been substantially produced or manufactured in the United States. End products exempt from this requirement are those for which the cost would be unreasonable, products manufactured in the U. S. that are not of satisfactory quality, or products for which the agency head determines that domestic preference would be inconsistent with the public interest. Contractor also certifies that documentation will be maintained that documents compliance with this requirement (FAR 25.1-.2).

11. P.L. 103-227, Title X, Miscellaneous Provisions of the GOALS 2000: Educate America Act; P.L. 103-382, Title XIV, General Provisions of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, as amended; and General Education Provisions Act,

as amended.

CC. Federal Regulations Applicable to All Federal Programs:

For Local Educational Agencies (LEAs): 28 CFR 35 Subparts A-E, 28 CFR 36 Subparts C & D, Appendix A, 29 CFR 1630, 34 CFR 75 or 76 as applicable, 77, 79, 80, 81, 82, 85, 97, 98, 99, 104, 47 CFR 0 and 64, and OMB Circulars A-87 (Cost Principles), A-133 (Audits), and A-102 (Uniform Administrative Requirements);

For Education Service Centers (ESCs): 28 CFR 35 Subparts A-E, 28 CFR 36 Subparts C & D, Appendix A, 29 CFR 1630, 34 CFR 75 or 76 as applicable, 77, 79, 80, 81, 82, 85, 97, 98, 99, 104, 47 CFR 0 and 64, and OMB Circulars A-87

(Cost Principles), A-133 (Audits), and A-102 (Uniform Administrative Requirements);

3. For Institutions of Higher Education (IHEs): 28 CFR 35 Subparts A-E, 28 CFR 36 Subparts C & D, Appendix A, 29 CFR 1630, 34 CFR 74, 77, 79, 81, 82, 85, 86, 97, 98, 99, 104, 47 CFR 0 and 64, and OMB Circulars A-21 (Cost Principles), A-133 (Audits), and A-110 (Uniform Administrative Requirements);

4. For Nonprofit Organizations: 28 CFR 35 Subparts A-E, 28 CFR 36 Subparts C & D, Appendix A, 29 CFR 1630, 34 CFR 74, 77, 79, 81, 82, 85, 97, 98, 99, 104, 47 CFR 0 and 64, and OMB Circulars A-122 (Cost Principles), A-133 (Audits),

and A-110 (Uniform Administrative Requirements);

- 5. For State Agencies: 28 CFR 35 Subparts A-E, 28 CFR 36 Subparts C & D, Appendix A, 29 CFR 1630, 34 CFR 76, 80, 81, 82, 85, 97, 98, 99, 104, 47 CFR 0 and 64, OMB Circulars A-87 (Cost Principles), A-133 (Audits), and A-102 (Uniform Administrative Requirements); and
- 6. For Commercial (for-profit) Organizations: 29 CFR 1630 and 48 CFR Part 31,

DD. General Education Provisions Act (GEPA), as Amended, <u>Applicable to All Federal Programs Funded or Administered Through or By the U. S. Department of Education</u>:

1. Participation in Planning: Applicant will provide reasonable opportunities for the participation by teachers, parents, and other interested parties, organizations, and individuals in the planning for and operation of each program described in this application (20 USC 1232(e)).

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

031-901

County-District No.

- Availability of Information: Any application, evaluation, periodic program plan, or report relating to each program
 described in this application will be made readily available to parents and other members of the general public (20 USC
 1232(e)).
- 3. Sharing of Information: Contractor certifies that it has adopted effective procedures for acquiring and disseminating to teachers and administrators participating in each program described in this application significant information from educational research, demonstrations, and similar projects, and for adopting, where appropriate, promising educational practices developed through such projects (20 USC 1232(e)).
- 4. **Prohibition of Funds for Busing:** The applicant certifies that no federal funds (except for funds appropriated specifically for this purpose) will be used for the transportation of students or teachers (or for the purchase of equipment for such transportation) in order to overcome racial imbalance in any school or school system, or for the transportation of students or teachers (or for the purchase of equipment for such transportation) in order to carry out a plan of racial desegregation of any school or school system (20 USC 1228).
- 5. Direct Financial Benefit: Contractor certifies that funds expended under any federal program will not be used to acquire equipment (including computer software) in any instance in which such acquisition results in a direct financial benefit to any organization representing the interests of the purchasing entity or its employees or any affiliate of such an organization [20 USC 1232(b)(8)].
- **EE.** Payment for Services: Payment for service(s) described in this Contract is contingent upon satisfactory completion of the service(s). Satisfaction will be determined by TEA's Project Administrator, in his sole discretion but in accordance with reasonable standards and upon advice of his superiors in TEA, if necessary.
- FF. Family Code Applicability: By signing this Contract, Contractor, if other than a state agency, certifies that under Section 231.006, Family Code, that Contractor is not ineligible to receive payment under this Contract and acknowledges that this Contract may be terminated and payment may be withheld if this certification is inaccurate. TEA reserves the right to terminate this Contract if Contractor is found to be ineligible to receive payment. If Contractor is found to be ineligible to receive payment and the Contract is terminated, Contractor is liable to TEA for attorney's fees, the costs necessary to complete the Contract, including the cost of advertising and awarding a second contract, and any other damages or relief provided by law or equity.
- **GG.** Interpretation: In the case of conflicts arising in the interpretation of wording and/or meaning of various sections, parts, Appendices, General Provisions, Special Provisions, Exhibits, and Attachments or other documents, the TEA Contract and its General Provisions, Appendices and Special Provisions shall take precedence over all other documents which are a part of this contract.
- HH. Registered Lobbyists: No state or federal funds transferred to a contractor/grantee may be used to hire a registered lobbyist.
- II. Test Administration and Security: This contract is executed by Agency subject to assurance by Contractor that it has at all times been and shall remain in full compliance with Title 19, Texas Administrative Code Chapter 101, and all requirements and procedures for maintaining test security specified in any test administration materials in the possession or control of Contractor, or any school, campus, or program operated by Contractor. Notwithstanding any other provision in this contract or any other document, this contract is void upon notice by Agency, in its sole discretion, that Contractor or any school, campus, or program operated by Contractor has at any time committed a material violation of Title 19, Texas Administrative Code Chapter 101, or any requirement or procedure for maintaining test security specified in any test administration materials in the possession or control of Contractor, or any school, campus, or program operated by Contractor. Expenditures and/or activities for which Contractor may claim reimbursement shall not be accrued or claimed subsequent to receipt of such notice from Agency.
- Social Security Numbers: Social Security numbers will not be provided by TEA as a part of this agreement. TEA is not requiring or requesting school districts or other grantees to provide Social Security numbers as a part of this agreement.
- KK. Student-identifying Information: Contractor agrees that in executing tasks on behalf of TEA, Contractor will not use any student-identifying information in any way that violates the provisions of FERPA and will destroy or return all student-identifying information to TEA within thirty (30) days of project completion.

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

031-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

LL. High-Risk Status, Special Conditions, and Enforcement Actions: Pursuant to the provisions in 34 CFR 80.12 and 74.14, a grantee may be identified by TEA as high-risk if the grantee has a history of unsatisfactory performance; is not financially stable; has a financial management system that does not meet federal financial management standards or the requirements in TEA's Financial Accounting and Reporting (FAR) module; has not conformed to terms and conditions of previous awards; or is otherwise not responsible. TEA may impose one or more special conditions or restrictions on a high-risk grantee, including payment on a reimbursement basis; withholding authority to proceed to the next phase until receipt of evidence of acceptable performance within a given funding period; requiring additional, more detailed financial reports; additional project monitoring; requiring the grantee to obtain technical or management assistance; establishing additional prior approvals; or other conditions that may be legally available. A grantee identified as high-risk will be notified in writing by TEA of the special conditions imposed and the process for removing the high-risk status and special conditions.

Pursuant to the provisions in 34 CFR 80.43 and 74.62, if a grantee materially fails to comply with any term of an award, whether stated in a federal statute or regulation, an assurance, in a grant application, or elsewhere, TEA may take one or more of the following enforcement actions as appropriate in the circumstances: temporarily withhold cash payments pending correction of the deficiency or more severe enforcement action; disallow all or part of the cost of an activity or action not in compliance; wholly or partly suspend or terminate the current award; withhold further awards for the program; or take other remedies that may be legally available. If an enforcement action is imposed, the grantee will be notified in writing by TEA of the actions imposed and the process for remedying the noncompliance or removing the enforcement actions.

TEA reserves the right to not award a discretionary grant to a high-risk grantee or to a grantee that is materially non-compliant with the terms and conditions of another award.

Rev. 03/2010

The signing of Schedule #1 - General Information by applicant indicates acceptance of and compliance with all requirements described on this schedule.

SCHEDULE #6 B

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion—Lower Tier Covered Transactions

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

031-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

This certification is required by the Department of Education regulations implementing Executive Order 12549, Debarment and Suspension, 34 CFR Part 85, for all lower tier transactions meeting the threshold and tier requirements stated at Section 85.11.

Terms defined: As used in these Provisions and Assurances

- "Covered Transaction"— A transaction under Federal non-procurement programs, which can be either a primary covered transaction or a lower tier covered transaction.
- "Lower Tier Covered Transaction"— (1) Any transaction between a participant and a person other than a procurement contract for goods or services, regardless of type, under a primary covered transaction; (2) Any procurement contract for goods or services between a participant and a person, regardless of type, expected to equal or exceed the Federal procurement small purchase threshold of \$25,000; (3) Any procurement contract for goods or services between a participant and a person under a covered transaction, regardless of amount.
- "Participant" Any person who submits a proposal for, enters into, or reasonably may be expected to enter into a covered transaction, including an agent or representative of another participant.
- "Principal"— An officer, director, owner, partner, principal investigator, or other person within a participant with management or supervisory responsibilities related to a covered transaction; or a consultant or other person, whether or not employed by the participant or paid with Federal funds, who (1) is in a position to handle Federal funds; (2) is in a position to influence or control the use of those funds; or (3) occupies a technical or professional position capable of substantially influencing the development or outcome of an activity required to perform the covered transaction.
- "Excluded Parties List System (EPLS)"— The list maintained and disseminated by the General Services Administration (GSA) containing names and other information about persons who are ineligible.
- "Debarment"— Action taken by a debarring official (Federal agency) to exclude a person (recipient) from participating in covered transactions.
- "Suspension"— An action taken that immediately prohibits a person from participating in covered transactions for a temporary period, pending completion of an agency investigation and any judicial or administrative proceedings that may ensue.
- "Ineligible" generally refers to a person who is either excluded or disqualified.
- "Person"— Any individual, corporation, partnership, association, unit of government or legal entity, however organized, except: foreign governments or foreign governmental entities, public international organizations, foreign government owned (in whole or in part) or controlled entities, and entities consisting wholly or partially of foreign governments or foreign governmental entities.
- "Proposal"—A solicited or unsolicited bid, application, request, invitation to consider or similar communication by or on behalf of a person seeking to participate or to receive a benefit, directly or indirectly, in or under a covered transaction.
- "Voluntarily Excluded"—A status of nonparticipation or limited participation in covered transactions assumed by a person pursuant to the terms of a settlement.
- By signing SAS Schedule #1 and submitting this proposal, the prospective lower tier participant is providing the certification set out below.
- 2. The certification in this clause is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was entered into. If it is later determined that the prospective lower tier participant knowingly rendered an erroneous certification, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government, the department or agency with which this transaction originated may pursue available remedies, including suspension and/or debarment.
- 3. The prospective lower tier participant shall provide immediate written notice to the person to whom this proposal is submitted if at any time the prospective lower tier participant learns that its certification was erroneous when submitted or has become erroneous by reason of changed circumstances.
- 4. The terms "covered transaction", "debarred", "suspended", "ineligible", "lower tier covered transaction", "participant", "person", "primary covered transaction", "principal", "proposal", and "voluntarily excluded", as used in this clause, have the meanings set out in the Definitions and Coverage sections of rules implementing Executive Order 12549. You may contact the person to which this proposal is submitted for assistance in obtaining a copy of those regulations.
- 5. The prospective lower tier participant agrees by submitting this proposal that, should the proposed covered transaction be entered into, it shall not knowingly enter into any lower tier covered transaction with a person who is debarred, suspended, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this covered transaction, unless authorized by the department or agency with which this transaction originated.

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion—Lower Tier Covered Transactions

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System School Years 2010-2013

Required for all federal grants regardless of the dollar amount

031-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- 6. The prospective lower tier participant further agrees by submitting this proposal that it will include the clause titled **Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility, and Voluntary Exclusion-Lower Tier Covered Transactions**, without modification, in all lower tier covered transactions and in all solicitations for lower tier covered transactions.
- 7. A participant in a covered transaction may rely upon a certification of a prospective participant in a lower tier covered transaction that it is not debarred, suspended, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from the covered transaction, unless it knows that the certification is erroneous. A participant may decide the method and frequency by which it determines the eligibility of its principals. Each participant may but is not required to, check the Non-procurement List.
- 8. Nothing contained in the foregoing shall be construed to require establishment of a system of records in order to render in good faith the certification required by this clause. The knowledge and information of a participant is not required to exceed that which is normally possessed by a prudent person in the ordinary course of business dealings.
- 9. Except for transactions authorized under paragraph 5 of these instructions, if a participant in a covered transaction knowingly enters into a lower tier covered transaction with a person who is suspended, debarred, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government, the department or agency with which this transaction originated may pursue available remedies, including suspension and/or debarment.

Certification

- (1) The prospective lower tier participant certifies, by signature on SAS Schedule #1 and by submission of this proposal, that neither it nor its principals are presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction by any Federal department or agency.
- (2) Where the prospective lower tier participant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, such prospective participant shall attach an explanation to this proposal.

ED 80-0014, 9/90 (Replaces GCS-009 (REV.12/88), which is obsolete)

68 FR 66544, 66611, 66612, 66613, 66614, November 26, 2003

As amended by the Texas Education Agency (04/02)

The signing of Schedule #1--General Information by applicant indicates acceptance of all requirements described on this schedule.

SCHEDULE #6C.

Lobbying Certification

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System School Years 2010-2013

Required for all federally funded grants greater than \$100,000.

031-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Submission of this certification covers all federal programs in this application, is required by the U. S. Department of Education and Section 1352, Title 31, of the United States Code, and is a prerequisite for making or entering into a subgrant or subcontract over \$100,000 with any organization. (Read instructions for this schedule for further information.)

The applicant certifies by signature on Schedule #1 - General Information, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

- (1) No federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the making of any federal grant, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any federal grant or cooperative agreement.
- (2) If any funds other than federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this federal grant or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," in accordance with its instructions. (See Schedule #6D Disclosure of Lobbying Activities.)
- (3) The applicant shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subgrants, contracts under grants and cooperative agreements, and subcontracts) and that all subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly.

This certification is a material representation of fact on which the U. S. Department of Education and the Texas Education Agency relied when they made or entered into this grant or contract. Any organization that fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

Dept. of Education form #ED 80-0008
As amended by the Texas Education Agency

11/89

03/90

The signing of Schedule #1--General Information by applicant indicates acceptance of all requirements described on this schedule.

SCHEDULE #6D -

Disclosure of Lobbying Activities

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

031-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Complete this form to disclose lobbying activities for lobbying services procured (pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 1352). This disclosure form is required for any federal grant/contract received in excess of \$100,000 and on any subgrant/subcontract made by the grantee/contractor. (Read the instructions for this schedule for further information.)

subgrant/subcontract made by the grant Do not sign and submit this disclosu	tee/contractor_(Read ti	he instructions for	thic cohodula fau finitarii tic		
Federal Program:			o bomy disclosed.		
Name:					
1. Type of Federal Action	2. Status of Federal Action:		3. Report Type:		
a. Contract b. Grant	a. Bid/Of b. Initial c. Post-a		a. Initial filing b. Material change		
1		For Material Change Only:			
			Year:Quarter:		
4. Name and Address of Reporting Entity:	. If Reporting Entl	Date of last Report: porting Entity in No. 4 is Subawardee, Enter Name			
Subawardee Tier (if known): Congressional District (if known): 6. Federal Department/Agency:		and Address of Prime: Texas Education Agency 1701 N. Congress Avenue Austin, Texas 78701 Congressional District (if known): 21 7. Federal Program Name/Description:			
8. Federal Action Number, if known:	9.	CFDA Number, if applicable: 9. Award Amount, if known:			
10. a. Name and Address of Lobbying Reg (If Individual, last name, first name, MI):	istrant 10	\$ 10. b. Individuals Performing Services (including address if different from No. 10a; last name, first name, MI):			
(Att	tach Continuation Shee	t(s), if necessar	у)		
	[ITEMS 11-15 R	EMOVED]			
16. Information requested through this form is U.S.C. Section 1352. This disclosure of lobb representation of fact upon which reliance when this transaction was made or entered	lying activities is a materia was placed by the tier above into. This disclosure is	/e Name:			
required pursuant to 31 U.S.C 1352. This in to the Congress semi-annually and will be a inspection. Any person who falls to file the subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$1 \$100,000 for each such failure.	formation will be reported valiable for public required disclosure shall be	1 1			
ederal Use Only:			Date:		
			Standard Form LLL		

SCHEDULE #6E NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES O31-901 County-District No. Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

The following special provisions apply to all programs funded under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, as amended by P. L. 107-110, No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act of 2001. By signing Schedule #1 of this SAS, the applicant is assuring it is in compliance with the following provisions:

- A. Each such program will be administered in accordance with all applicable statutes, regulations, program plans, and applications.
- B. The control of funds provided under each such program and title to property acquired with program funds will be in a public agency or in a nonprofit private agency, institution, organization, or Indian tribe, if the law authorizing the program provides for assistance to such entities.
- C. The public agency, nonprofit private agency, institution, or organization, or Indian tribe will administer such funds and property to the extent required by the authorizing statutes.
- D. The applicant will adopt and use proper methods of administering each such program, including the enforcement of any obligations imposed by law on agencies, institutions, organizations, and other recipients responsible for carrying out each program and the correction of deficiencies in program operations that are identified through audits, monitoring, or evaluation.
- E. The applicant will cooperate in carrying out any evaluation of each such program conducted by or for the Texas Education Agency, the Secretary of Education or other federal officials.
- F. The applicant will use such fiscal control and fund accounting procedures as will ensure proper disbursement of, and accounting for, federal funds paid to such applicant under each such program.
- G. The applicant will submit such reports to the Texas Education Agency (which shall make the reports available to the Governor) and the Secretary of Education, as the Texas Education Agency and the Secretary of Education may require to enable the Texas Education Agency and the Secretary of Education to perform their duties under each such program.
- H. The applicant will maintain such records, provide such information, and afford access to the records as the Agency (after consultation with the Governor) or the Secretary may find necessary to carry out the Agency's or the Secretary's duties.
- I. Before the application was submitted, the applicant afforded a reasonable opportunity for public comment on the application and has considered such comment.
- J. Gun-Free Schools Act: The local education agency assures that it is in compliance with Section 37.007(e) of the Texas Education Code, which requires expulsion of a student who brings to school or possesses at school a firearm as defined by 18 U.S.C. Section 2891 [pursuant to the requirements in P. L. 107-110, Section 4141(d)(1)]. In addition, the local educational agency certifies that it has a policy requiring referral to the criminal justice or juvenile delinquency system of any student who brings a firearm or weapon to school [P. L. 107-110, Section 4141(h)(1)].
- K. Student Records Transfer: The local educational agency shall ensure that a student's records and, if applicable, a student's individualized education program as defined in section 602(11) of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, are transferred to a charter school upon the transfer of the student to the charter school, and to another public school upon the transfer of the student from a charter school to another public school, in accordance with applicable state law (P. L. 107-110, section 5208).
- L. Consolidation of Administrative Funds: A local educational agency, with the approval of TEA, may consolidate and use for the administration of one or more programs under the No Child Left Behind Act not more than the percentage, established in each program, of the total available for the local educational agency under those programs. A local educational agency that consolidates administrative funds shall not use any other funds under the programs included in the consolidation for administration for that fiscal year. Consolidated administrative funds shall be used for the administration of the programs covered and may be used for coordination of these programs with other federal and non-federal programs and for dissemination of information regarding model programs and practices.
- M. Privacy of Assessment Results: Any results from an individual assessment referred to in the No Child Left Behind Act of a student that become part of the education records of the student shall have the protections provided in section 444 of the General Education Provisions Act [P. L. 107-110, section 9523 and the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) of 1975, as amended].

NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

031-901

County-District No.

- N. School Prayer: The local educational agency certifies that it is in compliance with Section 25.901 of the Texas Education Code. In addition, as a condition of receiving funds under the No Child Left Behind Act, the local educational agency certifies that no policy of the local educational agency prevents, or otherwise denies participation in, constitutionally protected prayer in public elementary schools and secondary schools, as detailed in the guidance provided by the U. S. Secretary of Education pertaining to such. The state educational agency shall report to the Secretary of Education each year a list of those local educational agencies that have not filed this assurance or against which complaints have been made to the State educational agency that the local educational agencies are not in compliance with this requirement (P. L. 107-110, section 9524(b).
- O. Equal Access to Public Schools Facilities Boy Scouts of America Equal Access Act: No public elementary school, public secondary school or local educational agency that has a designated open forum or a limited public forum and that receives funds made available from the U.S. Department of Education shall deny equal access or a fair opportunity to meet, or to discriminate against, any group officially affiliated with the Boy Scouts of America, or any other youth group listed in Title 36 of the United States Code (as a patriotic society), that wishes to conduct a meeting within that designated open forum or limited public forum, including denying such access or opportunity or discriminating for reasons based on the membership or leadership criteria or oath of allegiance to God and country of the Boy Scouts of America or of the youth group listed in Title 36 of the United States Code (as a patriotic society). For the purposes of this section, an elementary school or secondary school has a limited public forum whenever the school involved grants an offering to, or opportunity for, one or more outside youth or community groups to meet on school premises or in school facilities before or after the hours during which attendance at the school is compulsory. Nothing in this section shall be construed to require any school, agency, or a school served by an agency to sponsor any group officially affiliated with the Boy Scouts of America, or any other youth group listed in Title 36 of the United States Code (as a patriotic society). Compliance with this provision will be enforced through rules and orders issued by the Office for Civil Rights. If the public school or agency does not comply with the rules or orders, no funds made available through the Department of Education shall be provided by a school that fails to comply with such rules or orders or to any agency or school served by an agency that falls to comply with such rules or orders (P. L. 107-110, section 9525).
- P. General Prohibitions: None of the funds authorized under the No Child Left Behind Act shall be used to develop or distribute materials, or operate programs or courses of instruction directed at youth, that are designed to promote or encourage sexual activity, whether homosexual or heterosexual; to distribute or to aid in the distribution by any organization of legally obscene materials to minors on school grounds; to provide sex education or HIV-prevention education in schools that instruction is age appropriate and includes the health benefits of abstinence; or to operate a program of contraceptive distribution in schools (P. L. 107-110, section 9526).
- Q. Armed Forces Recruiter Access to Students and Student Recruiting Information: In accordance with guidance issued by the U. S. Department of Education, each local educational agency receiving assistance under the No Child Left Behind Act shall provide, on a request made by military recruiters or an institution of higher education, access to secondary school students names, address, and telephone listings, upon prior written consent of a student or the parent of a student. A secondary school student or the parent of the student may request that the student's name, address, and telephone listing not be released without prior written parental consent, and the local educational or private nonprofit school shall notify parents of the option to make a request and shall comply with any request. Each local educational agency receiving assistance under the No Child Left Behind Act shall provide military recruiters the same access to secondary school students as is provided generally to post secondary educational institutions or to prospective employers of those students (P. L. 107-110, section 9528).
- R. Unsafe School Choice Option: The local educational agency certifies that it shall establish and implement a policy requiring that a student attending a persistently dangerous public elementary school or secondary school, as determined by the Texas Education Agency, or who becomes a victim of a violent criminal offense, while in or on the grounds of a public elementary or secondary school that the student attends, be allowed to attend a safe public elementary or secondary school within the local educational agency, including a public charter school (P. L. 107-110, section 9532).
- S. Civil Rights: Nothing in the No Child Left Behind Act shall be construed to permit discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, sex (except as otherwise permitted under Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972), national origin, or disability in any program funded under the No Child Left Behind Act (P. L. 107-110, section 9534).

NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

031-901

County-District No.

- T. Student Privacy, Parental Access to Information, and Administration of Certain Physical Examinations to Minors: The local educational agency assures that it is in compliance with Chapter 26 of the Texas Education Code concerning parental rights and responsibilities. In addition, the local educational agency receiving funds under the No Child Left Behind Act certifies that it shall develop and adopt policies, in consultation with parents, regarding certain rights of a parent to access and inspect information; student privacy; the administration of physical examinations or screenings (except for examinations or screenings required by state law); and the collection, disclosure, or use of personal information collected from students for the purpose of marketing or selling that information. The local educational agency also assures that it is in compliance with the requirements for annually notifying parents of such policies and specific events (P. L. 107-110, Title X, Part F, section 1061).
- U. Assurances related to the education of homeless children and youths:
 - (1) The LEA assures that each child of a homeless individual and each homeless youth shall have equal access to the same free, appropriate public education, including a public preschool education, as provided to other children and youth.
 - (2) The LEA assures that homeless children and youth are afforded the same free, appropriate public education as provided to other children and youth.
 - (3) The LEA assures that it will review and undertake steps to revise any laws, regulations, practices, or policies that may act as a barrier to the enrollment, attendance, or success in school of homeless children and youth.
 - (4) The LEA assures that it will not separate students from the mainstream school environment on the basis of homelessness alone.
 - (5) The LEA assures that homeless children and youth have access to the education and other services that they need to in order to meet the same challenging State student academic achievement standards to which all students are held.
- V. Definitions: The following terms shall be defined as follows for programs authorized and carried out under the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001:
 - 1. Charter School: An open enrollment charter school receiving federal funds of any type must meet the federal definition of a charter school as provided in P. L. 107-110, Section 5210(1). The term "charter school" means a school that:
 - (A) is created by a developer as a public school, or is adapted by a developer from an existing public school, and is operated under public supervision and control;
 - (B) operates in pursuit of a specific set of educational objectives determined by the school's developer and agreed to by the authorized public chartering agency [i.e., the State Board of Education (SBOE)];
 - (C) provides a program of elementary or secondary education, or both;
 - (D) is nonsectarian in its programs, admissions policies, employment practices, and all other operations, and is not affiliated with a sectarian school or religious instruction;
 - (E) does not charge tuition:
 - (F) complies with the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, and Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act;
 - (G) is a school to which parents choose to send their children, and that admits students on the basis of a lottery, if more students apply for admission than can be accommodated;
 - (H) agrees to comply with the same Federal and State audit requirements as do other elementary schools and secondary schools in the State, unless such requirements are specifically waived for the purpose of this program;
 - (I) meets all applicable Federal, State, and local health and safety requirements;
 - (J) operates in accordance with State law; and
 - (K) has a written performance contract with the authorized public chartering agency in the State (i.e., SBOE) that includes a description of how student performance will be measured pursuant to State assessments that are required of other schools and pursuant to any other assessments mutually agreeable to the SBOE.
 - 2. Community-Based Organization: A public or private nonprofit organization of demonstrated effectiveness that is representative of a community or significant segment of a community and that provides educational or related services to individuals in the community.
 - 3. **Core Academic Subjects**: English, reading or language arts, mathematics, science, foreign languages, civics and government, economics, art, history, and geography.

NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

031-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

4. Highly Qualified:

- (A) when used with respect to any public elementary school or secondary school teacher teaching in a State, means that—
 - (i) the teacher has obtained full State certification as a teacher (including certification obtained through alternative routes to certification) or passed the State teacher licensing examination, and holds a license to teach in such State, except that when used with respect to any teacher teaching in a public charter school, the term means that the teacher meets the requirements set forth in the State's public charter school law; and
 - (ii) the teacher has not had certification or licensure requirements waived on an emergency, temporary, or provisional basis;
- (B) when used with respect to-
 - (i) an elementary school teacher who is new to the profession, means that the teacher-
 - (I) holds at least a bachelor's degree; and
 - (II) has demonstrated, by passing a rigorous State test, subject knowledge and teaching skills in reading, writing, mathematics, and other areas of the basic elementary school curriculum (which may consist of passing a State-required certification or licensing test or tests in reading, writing, mathematics, and other areas of the basic elementary school curriculum); or
 - (ii) a middle or secondary school teacher who is new to the profession, means that the teacher holds at least a bachelor's degree and has demonstrated a high level of competency in each of the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches by—
 - (I) passing a rigorous State academic subject test in each of the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches (which may consist of a passing level of performance on a State-required certification or licensing test or tests in each of the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches); or
 - (II) successful completion, in each of the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches, of an academic major, a graduate degree, coursework equivalent to an undergraduate academic major, or advanced certification or credentialing; and
- (C) when used with respect to an elementary, middle, or secondary school teacher who is not new to the profession, means that the teacher holds at least a bachelor's degree and-
 - (i) has met the applicable standard in clause (i) or (ii) of subparagraph (B), which includes an option for a test; or demonstrates competence in all the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches based on a high objective uniform State standard of evaluation that-
 - (I) is set by the State for both grade appropriate academic subject matter knowledge and teaching skills;
 - (II) is aligned with challenging State academic content and student academic achievement standards and developed in consultation with core content specialists, teachers, principals, and school administrators;
 - provides objective, coherent information about the teacher's attainment of core content knowledge in the academic subjects in which a teacher teaches:
 - (IV) is applied uniformly to all teachers in the same academic subject and the same grade level throughout the State;
 - (V) takes into consideration, but not be based primarily on, the time the teacher has been teaching in the academic subject;
 - (VI) is made available to the public upon request; and
 - (VII) may involve multiple, objective measures of teacher competency.
- 5. Parental Involvement: The participation of parents in regular, two-way and meaningful communication involving student academic learning and other school activities, including ensuring:
 - (A) that parents play an integral role in assisting their child's learning;
 - (B) that parents are encouraged to be actively involved in their child's education at school;
 - (C) that parents are full partners in their child's education and are included, as appropriate, in decision making and on advisory committees to assist in the education of their child; and
 - (D) the carrying out of other activities, such as those described in section 1118 of P. L. 107-110.

NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

031-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

3. Professional Development includes activities that:

- (A) improve and increase teachers' knowledge of the academic subjects the teachers teach, and enable teachers to become highly qualified;
- (B) are an integral part of broad schoolwide and districtwide educational improvement plans;
- (C) give teachers, principals, and administrators the knowledge and skills to provide the students with the opportunity to meet challenging State academic content standards and student academic achievement standards;
- (D) improve classroom management skills;
- (E) are high quality, sustained, intensive, and classroom-focused in order to have a positive and lasting impact on classroom instruction and the teacher's performance in the classroom and are not one-day or short-term workshops or conferences;
- (F) support the recruiting, hiring, and training of highly qualified teachers, including teachers who became highly qualified through State and local alternative routes to certification;
- (G) advance teacher understanding of effective instructional strategies that are:
- (H) based on scientifically based research (except for programs under Title II, Part D, Enhancing Education Through Technology of this Act); and
- (I) strategies for improving student academic achievement or substantially increasing the knowledge and teaching skills of teachers; and
- (J) are aligned with and directly related to State academic content standards, student academic achievement standards, and assessments and the curricula and programs tied to the standards;
- (K) are developed with extensive participation of teachers, principals, parents, and administrators of schools to be served under this Act;
- (L) are designed to give teachers of limited English proficient children, and other teachers and instructional staff, the knowledge and skills to provide instruction and appropriate language and academic support services to those children, including the appropriate use of curricula an assessments;
- (M) to the extent appropriate, provide training for teachers and principals in the use of technology so that technology and technology applications are effectively used in the classroom to improve teaching and learning in the curricula and core academic subjects in which the teachers teach:
- (N) as a whole, are regularly evaluated for their impact on increased teacher effectiveness and improved student academic achievement with the findings of the evaluations used to improve the quality of professional development;
- (O) provide instruction in methods of teaching children with special needs;
- (P) include instruction in the use of data and assessments to inform and instruct classroom practice;
- (Q) include instruction in ways that teachers, principals, pupil services personnel, and school administrators may work more effectively with parents; and
- (R) may include activities that:
 - (i) involve the forming of partnerships with institutions of higher education to establish school-based teacher training programs that provide prospective teachers and beginning teachers with an opportunity to work under the guidance of experienced teachers and college faculty;
 - (II) create programs to enable paraprofessionals (assisting teachers employed by an LEA receiving assistance under Title I Part A) to obtain the education necessary for those paraprofessionals to become certified and licensed teachers; and
 - (iii) provide follow-up training to teachers who have participated in activities described previously in this definition that are designed to ensure that the knowledge and skills learned by the teachers are implemented in the classroom.

7. Scientifically Based Research:

- (A) means research that involves the application of rigorous, systematic, and objective procedures to obtain reliable and valid knowledge relevant to education activities and programs: and
- (B) includes research that:
 - (i) employs systematic, empirical methods that draw on observation or experiment;
 - (ii) involves rigorous data analyses that are adequate to test the stated hypotheses and justify the general conclusions drawn;
 - (iii) relies on measurements or observational methods that provide reliable and valid data across evaluators and observers, across multiple measurements and observations, and across studies by the same or different investigators;

NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

031-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- (iv) relies on measurements or observational methods that provide reliable and valid data across evaluators and observers, across multiple measurements and observations, and across studies by the same or different investigators;
- (v) is evaluated using experimental or quasi-experimental designs in which individuals, entities, programs, or activities are assigned to different conditions and with appropriate controls to evaluate the effects of the condition of interest, with a preference for random-assignment experiments, or other designs to the extent that those designs contain within-condition or across-condition controls;
- (vi) ensures that experimental studies are presented in sufficient detail and clarity to allow for replication or, at a minimum, offer the opportunity to build systematically on their findings; and
- (vii)has been accepted by a peer-reviewed journal or approved by a panel of independent experts through a comparably rigorous, objective, and scientific review.
- 8. Teacher Mentoring: Activities that-
 - (A) consist of structured guidance and regular and ongoing support for teachers, especially beginning teachers, that-
 - (i) are designed to help the teachers continue to improve their practice of teaching and to develop their instructional skills; and part of an ongoing developmental induction process that-
 - (I) Involves the assistance of an exemplary teacher and other appropriate individuals from a school, local educational agency, or institution of higher education; and
 - (II) may include coaching, classroom observation, team teaching, and reduced teaching loads; and
 - (III) may include the establishment of a partnership by a local educational agency with an institution of higher education.
- 9. Technology: State-of-the-art technology products and services.
- W. ESEA Performance Goals, Indicators, and Performance Reporting: The LEA assures it has adopted the five performance goals and the related performance indicators established by the U. S. Department of Education and as submitted in the Texas Consolidated State Application for Funds Under the No Child Left Behind Act. The LEA also assures that it will develop and implement procedures for collecting data related to the performance indicators where such data is not already collected through the Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) or PEIMS and that it will report such data to the Agency in the time and manner requested.
- X. Transfer of School Disciplinary Records: The LEA assures it has a procedure in place to transfer disciplinary records, with respect to a suspension or expulsion, to any private or public elementary school or secondary school for any student who is enrolled or seeks, intends, or is instructed to enroll, on a full- or part-time basis, in the school. This requirement shall not apply to any disciplinary records with respect to a suspension or expulsion that are transferred from a private, parochial or other nonpublic school, person, institution, or other entity, that provides education below the college level (P. L. 107-110, section 4155).

Revised 03/03

The signing of Schedule #1 - General Information by applicant indicates acceptance of and compliance with all requirements described on this schedule.

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

031-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Federal Statutory Requirements

- 1) The LEA must demonstrate that the LEA has analyzed the needs of each school and selected an intervention for each school.
- 2) The LEA must demonstrate that it has the capacity to use these grant funds to provide adequate resources and related support to each Tier campus identified in the LEA's application in order to implement, fully and effectively, the required activities of the school intervention model it has selected.
- 3) If the LEA is not applying to serve each Tier I school (through a separate application for each campus), the LEA must explain why it lacks capacity to serve each Tier I school.
- 4) The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to design and implement interventions consistent with the final federal requirements, including the services the campus will receive or the activities the campus will implement.
- 5) The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to recruit, screen, and select external providers, if applicable, to ensure their quality.
- 6) The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to align other resources with the interventions.
- 7) The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to modify its practices or policies, if necessary, to enable its schools to implement the interventions fully and effectively.
- 8) The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to sustain the reforms after the funding period ends.
- 9) The LEA must include a timeline delineating the steps it will take to implement the selected intervention in each campus.
- The LEA must describe the annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics that it has established in order to monitor its Tier I and Tier II schools that receive school improvement funds.
- 11) As appropriate, the LEA must consult with relevant stakeholders regarding the LEA's application and implementation of school improvement models on its campus.
- 12) Applicant provides assurance that financial assistance provided under the grant program will supplement, and not supplant, the amount of state and local funds allocated to the campus.
- Applicant provides assurance that it will use its School Improvement Grant to implement fully and effectively an intervention in each Tier I and Tier II school that the LEA commits to serve consistent with the final federal requirements.
- Applicant provides assurance that it will establish annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics and measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of the final federal requirements in order to monitor each Tier I and Tier II school that it serves with school improvement funds, and establish goals (approved by the TEA) to hold accountable its Tier III schools that receive grant funds.
- Applicant provides assurance that it will, if it implements a restart model in a Tier I or Tier II school, include in its contract or agreement terms and provisions to hold the charter operator, charter management organization (CMO), or education management organization (EMO) accountable for complying with the final federal requirements.
- 16) Applicant provides assurance that it will report to the TEA the school-level data required under section III of the final federal requirements.
- 17) If the LEA/campus selects to implement the <u>turnaround model</u>, the campus <u>must</u> implement the following federal requirements.
 - a. Replace the principal and grant the principal sufficient operational flexibility (including in staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach in order to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates;
 - b. Using locally adopted competencies to measure the effectiveness of staff who can work within the turnaround environment to meet the needs of students;
 - 1. Screen all existing staff and rehire no more than 50 percent; and
 - 2. Select new staff.
 - c. Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in the turnaround school;
 - d. Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure that they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies;
 - e. Adopt a new governance structure, which may include, but is not limited to, requiring the school to report to a

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

031-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

new "turnaround office" in the LEA or SEA, hire a "turnaround leader" who reports directly to the Superintendent or Chief Academic Officer, or enter into a multi-year contract with the LEA or SEA to obtain added flexibility in exchange for greater accountability;

- Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards;
- g. Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students;
- h. Establish schedules and implement strategies that provide increased learning time (as defined in this notice); and
- i. Provide appropriate social-emotional and community-oriented services and supports for students.
- 18) If the LEA/campus selects to implement the <u>turnaround model</u>, the campus <u>may</u> implement the following federal requirements.
 - 1. Any of the required and permissible activities under the transformation model; or
 - 2. A new school model (e.g., themed, dual language academy).
- 19) If the LEA/campus selects to implement the school <u>closure model</u>, the campus must implement the following requirement.
 - a. Enroll the students who attended that school in other schools in the LEA that are higher achieving within reasonable proximity to the closed school and may include, but are not limited to, charter schools or new schools for which achievement data are not yet available.
 - b. A grant for school closure is a one-year grant without the possibility of continued funding.
- 20) If the LEA/campus selects to implement the <u>restart model</u>, the campus <u>must</u> implement the following federal requirements.
 - a. Convert or close and reopen the school under a charter school operator, a charter management organization (CMO), or an education management organization (EMO) that has been selected through a rigorous review process. A CMO is a non-profit organization that operates or manages charter schools by centralizing or sharing certain functions and resources among schools. An EMO is a for-profit or non-profit organization that provides "whole-school operation" services to an LEA.
 - b. Enroll, within the grades it serves, any former student who wishes to attend the school,
- 21) If the LEA/campus selects to implement the <u>transformation model</u>, the campus <u>must</u> implement the following federal requirements.
 - 1. Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness.
 - (A) Replace the principal who led the school prior to commencement of the transformation model;
 - (B) Use rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals that--
 - (1) Take into account data on student growth as a significant factor as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective of student achievement and increased high school graduation rates; and
 - (2) Are designed and developed with teacher and principal involvement;
 - (C) Identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing this model, have increased student achievement and high school graduation rates and identify and remove those who, after ample opportunities have been provided for them to improve their professional practice, have not done so;
 - (D) Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development (e.g., regarding subject-specific pedagogy, instruction that reflects a deeper understanding of the community served by the school, or differentiated instruction) that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies; and
 - (E) Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school.
 - 2. Comprehensive instructional reform strategies.
 - (A) Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards; and
 - (B) Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

031-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

individual students.

- 3. Increasing learning time and creating community-oriented schools.
 - (A) Establish schedules and strategies that provide increased learning time; and
 - (B) Provide ongoing mechanisms for family and community engagement.
- 4. Providing operational flexibility and sustained support.
 - (A) Give the school sufficient operational flexibility (such as staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates; and
 - (B) Ensure that the school receives ongoing, intensive technical assistance and related support from the LEA, the SEA, or a designated external lead partner organization (such as a school turnaround organization or an EMO).
- 22) An LEA may also implement other strategies to develop teachers' and school leaders' effectiveness, such as-
 - (A) Provide additional compensation to attract and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school;
 - (B) Institute a system for measuring changes in instructional practices resulting from professional development; or
 - (C) Ensure that the school is not required to accept a teacher without the mutual consent of the teacher and principal, regardless of the teacher's seniority.
- 23) An LEA may also implement comprehensive instructional reform strategies, such as-
 - (A) Conduct periodic reviews to ensure that the curriculum is being implemented with fidelity, is having the intended impact on student achievement, and is modified if ineffective;
 - (B) Implement a schoolwide "response-to-intervention" model;
 - (C) Provide additional supports and professional development to teachers and principals in order to implement effective strategies to support students with disabilities in the least restrictive environment and to ensure that limited English proficient students acquire language skills to master academic content;
 - (D) Use and integrate technology-based supports and interventions as part of the instructional program; and
 - (E) In secondary schools--
 - (1) Increase rigor by offering opportunities for students to enroll in advanced coursework (such as Advanced Placement; International Baccalaureate; or science, technology, engineering, and mathematics courses, especially those that incorporate rigorous and relevant project-, inquiry-, or design-based contextual learning opportunities), earlycollege high schools, dual enrollment programs, or thematic learning academies that prepare students for college and careers, including by providing appropriate supports designed to ensure that low-achieving students can take advantage of these programs and coursework;
 - (2) Improve student transition from middle to high school through summer transition programs or freshman academies;
 - (3) Increase graduation rates through, for example, credit-recovery programs, reengagement strategies, smaller learning communities, competency-based instruction and performance-based assessments, and acceleration of basic reading and mathematics skills; or
 - (4) Establish early-warning systems to identify students who may be at risk of failing to achieve to high standards or graduate.
- 24) An LEA may also implement other strategies that extend learning time and create community-oriented schools, such as--

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

031-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- (A) Partner with parents and parent organizations, faith- and community-based organizations, health clinics, other State or local agencies, and others to create safe school environments that meet students' social, emotional, and health needs;
- (B) Extend or restructure the school day so as to add time for such strategies as advisory periods that build relationships between students, faculty, and other school staff;
- (C) Implement approaches to improve school climate and discipline, such as implementing a system of positive behavioral supports or taking steps to eliminate bullying and student harassment; or
- (D) Expand the school program to offer full-day kindergarten or pre-kindergarten.
- 25) The LEA may also implement other strategies for providing operational flexibility and intensive support, such as-
 - (A) Allow the school to be run under a new governance arrangement, such as a turnaround division within the LEA or SEA: or
 - (B) Implement a per-pupil school-based budget formula that is weighted based on student needs.

Statutory Program Assurances

- 1) Applicant provides assurance that financial assistance provided under the grant program will supplement, and not supplant, the amount of state and local funds allocated to the campus.
- 2) Applicant provides assurance that it will use its School Improvement Grant to implement fully and effectively an intervention in each Tier I and Tier II school that the LEA commits to serve consistent with the final federal requirements.
- 3) Applicant provides assurance that it will establish annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics and measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of the final federal requirements in order to monitor each Tier I and Tier II school that it serves with school improvement funds, and establish goals (approved by the TEA) to hold accountable its Tier III schools that receive school improvement funds.
- 4) Applicant provides assurance that it will, if it implements a restart model in a Tier I or Tier II school, include in its contract or agreement terms and provisions to hold the charter operator, charter management organization, or education management organization accountable for complying with the final federal requirements.
- 5) Applicant provides assurance that it will report to the TEA the school-level data required under section III of the final federal requirements.
- 6) Applicant provides assurance that it will participate in any evaluation of the grant conducted by the U.S. Department of Education, including its contractors, or the Texas Education Agency, including its contractors.

TEA Program Assurances

- Before full implementation funds are made available, the grantee must demonstrate that all early implementation activities have been completed. Successful completion of the early implementation will be measured in the Quarterly Implementation Reports, the Model Selection and Description Report, and through participation in TEA technical assistance. Copies of the above named reports can be found on the TTIPS website at the following link: http://www.tea.state.tx.us/index4.aspx?id=7354&menu Id=798
 - a. The Model Selection and Description Report must be submitted to TEA no later than <u>February 1, 2011</u>. This report may be submitted at any time prior to the deadline. Grantees must demonstrate successful completion of the following activities:
 - i. Comprehensive Needs Assessment process.
 - II. Establish the grant budget by the required categories.
 - iii. Identification and Selection of the intervention model.
 - iv. Development of activities to implement selected intervention model.
 - v. Development of Timeline of Grant Activities.
- 2) The applicant provides assurance that the LEA will designate an individual or office with primary responsibilities for supporting the LEA/campus' school improvement efforts. This individual/office will have primary responsibility and authority for ensuring the effective implementation of the grant option approved by TEA; serve as the district liaison to TEA and those providing technical assistance and/or contracted service to the LEA/campus as part of the approved grant.
- 3) The applicant provides assurance that a team from the grantee LEA/campus will attend and participate in grant orientation meetings, technical assistance meetings, other periodic meetings of grantees, the Texas School Improvement Conference, and sharing of best practices.

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

031-901

County-District No.

- 4) For LEAs selecting the TEA Designed Model, the applicant must participate in and make use of technical assistance and coaching support provided by TEA, SIRC, and/or its subcontractors.
- 5) The applicant will establish or provide evidence of a system of formative assessment aligned to the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills which provides robust, targeted data to evaluate the effectiveness of the LEA's curriculum and its alignment with instruction occurring on the campus; assesses progress on student groups' academic achievement at the campus level; and guide instructional decisions by teachers for individual students.
- 6) The applicant will participate in a formative assessment of the LEA's capacity and commitment to carry out the grant intervention models.
- 7) The applicant will provide access for onsite visits to the LEA and campus by TEA, SIRC and its contractors.
- 8) The applicant, if selecting the Restart Model, agrees to contract only with CMO or EMO providers on the State's approved list of CMO and EMO providers.
- 9) The applicant, if selecting the Turnaround Model or Transformation Model (Tiers I and Tiers II only) agrees to the participation of the campus principal or principal candidates in a formative assessment of their turnaround leadership capacity.
- 10) If the LEA/Tier III campus selects to implement the <u>transformation model</u>, the campus assures that it will it implement the following federal requirements.
 - 1. Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness.
 - A. Evaluate the effectiveness of the current principal and use the results of the evaluation to determine whether the principal should be replaced, be retained on the campus, or be provided leadership coaching or training.
 - B.Identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing this model, have increased student achievement and high school graduation rates and identify and remove those who, after ample opportunities have been provided for them to improve their professional practice, have not done so;
 - C.Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development (e.g., regarding subject-specific pedagogy, instruction that reflects a deeper understanding of the community served by the school, or differentiated instruction) that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies; and
 - D.Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school based on rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals:
 - 1. Takes into account data on student growth as a factor as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of student performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective of student achievement and increased high school graduation rates: and
 - 2. Are designed and developed and with teacher and principal involvement
 - 2. Comprehensive instructional reform strategies.
 - A. Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards; and
 - B. Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students.
 - 3. Increasing learning time and creating community-oriented schools.
 - A. Establish schedules and strategies that provide increased learning time; and
 - B. Provide ongoing mechanisms for family and community engagement.
 - 4. Providing operational flexibility and sustained support.
 - A. Give the school sufficient operational flexibility (such as staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates; and
 - B. Ensure that the school receives ongoing, intensive technical assistance and related support from the LEA, the SEA, or a designated external lead partner organization (such as a school turnaround organization or an EMO).
 - 11) An LEA may also implement other strategies to develop teachers' and school leaders' effectiveness, such as-
 - A. Provide additional compensation to attract and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school;
 - B. Institute a system for measuring changes in instructional practices resulting from professional development; or
 - C. Ensure that the school is not required to accept a teacher without the mutual consent of the teacher and principal, regardless of the teacher's seniority.

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

031-901

County-District No.

- 12) An LEA may also implement comprehensive instructional reform strategies, such as-
 - A. Conduct periodic reviews to ensure that the curriculum is being implemented with fidelity, is having the intended impact on student achievement, and is modified if ineffective;
 - B. Implement a school wide "response-to-intervention" model;
 - C. Provide additional supports and professional development to teachers and principals in order to implement effective strategies to support students with disabilities in the least restrictive environment and to ensure that limited English proficient students acquire language skills to master academic content;
 - D. Use and integrate technology-based supports and interventions as part of the instructional program; and
 - E. In secondary schools--
 - 1) Increase rigor by offering opportunities for students to enroll in advanced coursework (such as advanced Placement; International Baccalaureate; or science, technology, engineering, and mathematics courses, especially those that incorporate rigorous and relevant project-, inquiry-, or design-based contextual learning opportunities), early-college high schools, dual enrollment programs, or thematic learning academies that prepare students for college and careers, including by providing appropriate supports designed to ensure that low-achieving students can take advantage of these programs and coursework;
 - 2) Improve student transition from middle to high school through summer transition programs or freshman academies;
 - Increase graduation rates through, for example, credit-recovery programs, re-engagement strategies, smaller learning communities, competency-based instruction and performance-based assessments, and acceleration of basic reading and mathematics skills; or
 - 4) Establish early-warning systems to identify students who may be at risk of falling to achieve to high standards or graduate.
- 13) An LEA may also implement other strategies that extend learning time and create community-oriented schools, such as--
 - A. Partner with parents and parent organizations, faith- and community-based organizations, health clinics, other State or local agencies, and others to create safe school environments that meet students' social, emotional, and health needs;
 - B. Extend or restructure the school day so as to add time for such strategies as advisory periods that build relationships between students, faculty, and other school staff;
 - C. Implement approaches to improve school climate and discipline, such as implementing a system of positive behavioral supports or taking steps to eliminate bullying and student harassment; or
 - D. Expand the school program to offer full-day kindergarten or pre-kindergarten.
- 14) The LEA may also implement other strategies for providing operational flexibility and intensive support, such as—
 - A. Allow the school to be run under a new governance arrangement, such as a turnaround division within the LEA or SEA; or
 - B. Implement a per-pupil school-based budget formula that is weighted based on student needs.
- 15) The LEA/campus assures TEA that data to meet the following federal requirements will be available and reported as requested.
 - a. Number of minutes within the school year.
 - b. Average scale scores on State assessments in reading/language arts and in mathematics, by grade, for the "all students" group, for each achievement quartile, and for each subgroup.
 - c. Number and percentage of students completing advanced coursework (e.g., AP/IB), early-college high schools, or dual enrollment classes. (High Schools Only)
 - d. College enrollment rates. (High Schools Only)
 - e. Teacher Attendance Rate
 - f. Student Completion Rate
 - g. Student Drop-Out Rate
 - h. Locally developed competencies created to identify teacher strengths/weaknesses
 - i. Types of support offered to teachers
 - j. Types of on-going, job-embedded professional development for teachers
 - k. Types of on-going, job-embedded professional development for administrators
 - I. Strategies to increase parent/community involvement
 - m. Strategies which increase student learning time

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

031-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

By submitting the application for American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) funds (P.L. 111-5), the applicant agrees to comply with the following provisions and assurances for all programs authorized in Division A of the ARRA, Title I School Improvement Grant (SIG). The applicant understands that failure to comply with one or more of these provisions and assurances may result in the Texas Education Agency (TEA) taking one or more enforcement actions authorized in Title 34 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) §§ 74.62 and 80.43.

In addition to the standard terms of award, all funding provided under the Recovery Act will be subject to the provisions, assurances and conditions for American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA or Recovery Act).

Terms defined:

RECIPIENT – The term "recipient" means a State and includes the Texas Education Agency (TEA). It also includes any entity that applies for and receives a grant directly from the federal government.

RECOVERY FUNDS —The term "recovery funds" means any funds that are made available from appropriations made under the Recovery Act.

RECOVERY ACT - the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) of 2009 (P.L. 111-5)

STIMULUS FUNDS – The term "stimulus funds" means any funds that are made available from appropriations under the Recovery Act; the term may be used interchangeably with "recovery funds."

GRANTEE - the subrecipient of TEA and applicant of funds.

A. One-Time Funding: Unless otherwise specified, ARRA funding is considered one-time funding that is expected to be temporary. Grantees should expend funds in ways that do not result in unsustainable continuing commitments after the funding expires. Grantees must move rapidly, while using prudent grant management practices, to develop plans for using funds, consistent with the ARRA's reporting and accountability requirements, and promptly begin spending funds to help drive the nation's economic recovery. All ARRA funds must be separately accounted for and tracked in their obligation, expenditure, and reporting.

B. Period of Availability and Encumbrances/Obligations: Unless otherwise specified in the Notice of Grant Award (NOGA), all funds are effective from the beginning date specified on the Notice of Grant Award (NOGA) through June 30, 2013. Carryover of funds will not be available beyond that date. Unobligated/unexpended funds will be returned to the Department of Treasury. The paragraph in the General Provisions pertaining to Encumbrances and Obligations applies as follows:

All encumbrances shall occur on or between the beginning and ending dates of the contract. All goods must be received and services rendered and subsequently liquidated (recorded as an expenditure or accounts payable) within the contract dates. In no manner shall encumbrances be considered or reflected as accounts payable or as expenditures. Obligations that are liquidated and recognized as expenditures must meet the allowable cost principles in OMB Circular A-87, A-21, or A-122 (as applicable) and program rules, regulations, and guidelines contained elsewhere. When an obligation is made is defined in 34 CFR 76.707.

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

031-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- C. Compliance with Other Provisions and Assurances: All provisions and assurances stated in the General Provisions, Certification Regarding Debarment and Suspension, Lobbying Certification and Disclosure of Lobbying, No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB) Special Provisions and Assurances, and all other program-specific provisions and assurances apply unless they conflict or are superseded by the following terms and conditions implementing the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) requirements below. This includes compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973; Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972; the Age Discrimination Act of 1975; and all other nondiscrimination provisions. It also includes Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (prohibiting race, color, national origin, religion, and sex discrimination in employment; the Americans with Disabilities Act (prohibiting disability discrimination in employment and in services provided by entities receiving federal funds); as well as any other applicable civil rights laws. By submitting this application, the applicant agrees to comply with all such provisions and assurances.
- **D. Compliance with Other Program Statutes:** The applicant agrees to comply with the authorizing program statutes, regulations, non-regulatory guidelines, and other guidance in the implementation of the programs receiving funding under ARRA. This includes compliance with comparability; supplement, not supplant; maintenance of effort (MOE); equitable participation for private nonprofit school students and teachers; and all other program-specific provisions and requirements. All such are hereby incorporated by reference.
- **E. DUNS Number:** All entities receiving any federal funds, including ARRA funds, are required to have a DUNS (Dunn & Bradstreet) number. The DUNS number serves as the grantee organization's unique identifier for reporting federal funds received and expended. TEA must use this same DUNS number to report grant awards and expenditures for subrecipients under ARRA to the website specifically provided for in ARRA http://www.FederalReporting.gov/. The assignment of a DUNS number is a condition of award of ARRA funds and must be validated by TEA prior to issuing a NOGA for ARRA funds. (Section 1512[c][4] of ARRA and the federal Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to comply with the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act [FFATA], P.L. 109-282. OMB adopted the DUNS number as the "unique identifier" required for reporting under FFATA).
- **F. Central Contractor Registration (CCR):** All grantees receiving ARRA funds, as well as any other federal funds, are required to register and maintain current registration with the Central Contractor Registration (CCR) database at http://www.ccr.gov. Registration in CCR is a condition of award of ARRA funds and must be validated by TEA prior to issuing a NOGA for ARRA funds.
- **G. ARRA Reporting Requirements:** The federal Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has issued guidance related to reporting the use of ARRA funds to the various websites. The definition of terms and data elements, as well as any specific instructions for reporting, including required formats, are provided in separate guidance issued by the TEA.

The Texas Education Agency as a direct recipient of funds is required to report certain information at certain intervals throughout the grant period as required in Section 1512 of ARRA. In order to meet the reporting requirements, grantees will be required to provide certain information to TEA. By submitting this application, the grantee agrees to provide information in the form, time, and manner requested so that TEA can meet its reporting requirements and deadlines.

1. Separate Tracking and Monitoring of ARRA Funds: ARRA funds must be separately tracked and monitored independently of any non-Recovery Act funding. Grantees must submit certain information to TEA in order for TEA to comply with quarterly reporting requirements established in Section 1512 of the Recovery Act. Recovery Act-related reporting requirements are incorporated as a special condition of this award.

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

031-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- 3. Quarterly Reporting for ARRA: Not later than 10 calendar days after the end of each calendar quarter, TEA and any other direct recipient of ARRA funds must report to the U.S. Department of Education with regard to recovery funds received in accordance with number 4 below (Section 1512[c]). (A "direct recipient" is a state agency or any entity that applies for and receives funds directly from a federal government agency.) TEA must obtain certain information from grantees in order to comply with this reporting requirement. By submitting this application, the grantee agrees to submit information to TEA in the time, form, and manner requested.
- 4. Data Elements for Quarterly Reporting for ARRA: In accordance with Section 1512(c) of ARRA and the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-282), TEA is required to provide quarterly reports to the U.S. Department of Education or through a central government-wide portal (http://www.FederalReporting.gov). The information adopted by OMB contains the following data elements: submitting this application, the grantee agrees to submit the information to TEA in the time, form, and manner requested. The information may change pending final adoption by OMB.

For each grant that equals or exceeds \$25,000 in total grant award amount:

- a. The grantee organization's DUNS number (TEA will need to collect this information from grantees)
- b. The grant award number (i.e., NOGA ID number) assigned by TEA (TEA will have this information on file)
- c. The legal name of the grantee organization (as registered in the Central Contractor Registration (CCR), if registered) (TEA will have this information on file provided it is the same legal name on file with TEA)
- d. The physical location (street address) (as listed in the CCR, if registered) (TEA will have this information on file provided it is the same street address on file with TEA)
- e. The (federal) Congressional district number
- f. The grantee organization type (i.e., independent school district, nonprofit organization, etc) (TEA will have this information on file)
- g. The total amount of the grant award (TEA will have this information on file)
- h. The total amount paid to the grantee as of date of report (TEA will have this information on file)
- i. The physical location (street address) of the primary place of performance of the grant (TEA will have this information on file provided it is the same address on file with TEA.)
- j. An evaluation (i.e., status report) of the completion status of the project or activity (for example, Not Started; Less than 50% Completed; Completed 50% or More; Fully Completed) (It is not clear at this time whether this information will need to be collected from the grantees. TEA will notify the grantee in the event status information needs to be collected.)
- k. An estimate of the number of jobs created and the number of jobs retained by the project or activity and a brief description of the types of those jobs (i.e., job titles) (TEA will need to collect this information from grantees.)
- I. The names and total compensation of the five most highly compensated officers of the grantee organization if the organization in its preceding year received 80% or more of its annual gross revenues in Federal awards and \$25,000,000 or more in annual gross revenues from Federal awards and the public does not have access to information about the compensation of senior executives. (It is not known at this time whether this data element will be required once the data elements are finalized by OMB. If it is required in the final data elements, TEA will need to collect this information from the grantees.)

For grants that equal less than \$25,000 in total grant award amount or for grantees that in the previous tax year had gross income under \$300,000, amounts will be reported in the aggregate according to the following:

- a. The total number of grants awarded less than \$25,000 (TEA will have this information file)
- o. The total award (aggregate) amount for all grants less than \$25,000 (TEA will have this information on file)
- c. The total (aggregate) amount paid to grantees for all grants less than \$25,000 as of date of report (TEA will have this information on file)

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

031-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

5. Posting the Information on Public Website: Not later than 30 days after the end of each calendar quarter, each federal agency, including the U.S. Department of Education, shall make the information in those reports publicly available by posting the information on the designated public website (Section 1512[d]). Grantees will not be required to post information on the public website unless they apply for and receive other ARRA grants directly from a federal government agency.

Once OMB adopts the final data reporting elements and TEA receives specific instructions for reporting, TEA will provide subsequent guidance to grantees related to required reporting information.

- H. Electronic Drawdown of ARRA Funds from TEA and Use of FAR Fund Codes: Recipients will draw down ARRA funds on an award-specific basis. Pooling of ARRA award funds with other funds for drawdown or other purposes is not permitted. Recipients must account for each ARRA award separately by referencing the assigned FAR (Financial Accounting and Resource) fund code for each award.
- I. Availability of Records: The applicant agrees to make all financial and programmatic records available in detail for inspection by TEA auditors, local independent auditors, and the offices described below.
- 1. **Examination of Records:** The Comptroller General of the United States and any of its employees, contractors, agents, representatives, or designees, may examine any records related to obligations and use by any state or local government of funds made available under ARRA. (Section 901[b]).
- 2. Access of Government Accountability Office (GAO): Each contract and each subcontract awarded using funds made available under ARRA shall provide that the Comptroller General of the United States and his representatives are authorized to examine any records of the contractor or any of its subcontractors, or any State or local agency administering such contract, that directly pertain to, and involve transactions relating to, the contract or subcontract and to interview any officer or employee of the contractor or any of its subcontractors, or of any State or local government agency administering the contract, regarding such transactions (Section 902).
- 3. **Reviews by Inspector General:** The inspector general of the U.S. Department of Education shall review, as appropriate, any concerns raised by the public about specific investments using funds made available in this Act. Any findings of such reviews shall be relayed immediately to the Secretary of Education. In addition, the findings of such reviews, along with any audits conducted by any inspector general of ARRA funds, shall be posted on the inspector general's website and linked to the recovery.gov website, except that portions of reports may be redacted to the extent the portions would disclose information that is protected from public disclosure under sections 552 and 552a of Title 5, United States Code (Section 1514).
- 4. Access of Offices of Inspector General to Certain Records and Employees: With respect to each contract or grant awarded using ARRA funds, any representative of an Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Education or other appropriate federal agency is authorized to examine any records of the contractor or grantee, any of its subcontractors or subgrantees, or any State or local agency administering such contract, that pertain to, and involve transactions relating to, the contract, subcontract, grant, or subgrant, and to interview any officer or employee of the contractor, grantee, subgrantee, or agency regarding such transactions (Section 1515).
- 5. Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board: Section 1521 of the ARRA establishes the Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board to coordinate and conduct oversight of ARRA funds to prevent fraud, waste, and abuse. The Board shall submit "flash reports" on potential management and funding problems that require immediate attention; quarterly reports; and annual reports to the President and Congress, including the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives, summarizing the findings on the use of ARRA funds. The Board may conduct its own independent audits and reviews of ARRA funds. All reports shall be made publicly available on the www.recovery.gov website established by the Board. See paragraphs J and K below with regard to reports of suspected fraud or abuse (Sections 1523 and 1524).

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

031-901

County-District No.

- Recovery Independent Advisory Panel: Section 1541 of the ARRA establishes the Recovery Independent Advisory
 Panel to make recommendations to the Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board on action the Board could
 take to prevent fraud, waste, and abuse relating to ARRA funds.
- **J. Disclosure of Fraud or Misconduct:** Each grantee awarded funds made available under the ARRA shall promptly refer to the USDE Office of Inspector General any credible evidence that a principal, employee, agent, contractor, subrecipient, subcontractor, or other person has submitted a false claim under the False Claims Act or has committed a criminal or civil violation of laws pertaining to fraud, conflict of interest, bribery, gratuity, or similar misconduct involving those funds.
- K. Protection for Whistleblowers: Section 1553 of the ARRA provides protection for State and local government and contractor whistleblowers. Any employee of any employer receiving ARRA funds may not be discharged, demoted, or otherwise discriminated against as a reprisal for disclosing, including a disclosure made in the ordinary course of an employee's duties, to the Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board, an inspector general, the U. S. Comptroller General, a member of Congress, a State or federal regulatory or law enforcement agency, a person with supervisory authority over the employee (or such other person working for the employer who has the authority to investigate, discover, or terminate misconduct), a court or grand jury, the head of a federal agency, or their representatives, information that the employee reasonably believes is evidence of (1) gross mismanagement of an agency contract or grant relating to ARRA funds; (2) a gross waste of ARRA funds; (3) a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety related to the implementation or use of ARRA funds; (4) an abuse of authority related to the implementation or use of ARRA funds; (5) a violation of law, rule, or regulation related to an agency contract (including the competition for or negotiation of a contract), or grant, awarded or issued relating to ARRA funds. A person who believes that he or she has been subjected to a reprisal may submit a complaint regarding the reprisal to the inspector general for the appropriate federal agency (in most cases, the U.S. Department of Education).
- L. Use of Funds: The grantee agrees to comply with the applicable federal cost principles in the obligation and expenditure of ARRA funds as identified in the General Provisions and Assurances as well as other limitations or restrictions and expenditures identified therein. The grantee also agrees to the following:
- Consolidation of ARRA Administrative Funds: Due to the significant reporting requirements under ARRA, it is
 not known at this time whether grantees may consolidate ARRA administrative funds with other NCLB
 consolidated administrative funds. TEA will issue further guidance with regard to this provision once guidance is
 provided by the U.S. Department of Education. If ARRA funds are permitted to be consolidated with other NCLB
 consolidated administrative funds, grantees must still be able to report the types and number of jobs that were
 created or saved with ARRA funds.
- 2. **Combining ARRA funds on a Schoolwide Program.** ARRA funds are permitted to be used on a Title I Part A schoolwide Campus/Program, funds may be combined with other funding sources, but grantees still must be able to identify precisely the items of obligation and expenditure for ARRA reporting. You must also be able to report the types and number of jobs that were created or saved with ARRA funds.
- 3. Special Contracting Provisions: To the maximum extent possible, contracts funded under the ARRA shall be awarded as fixed-price contracts through the use of competitive procedures. A summary of any new contract awarded with ARRA funds that is not fixed-price and not awarded using competitive procedures shall be posted in a special section of the www.recovery.gov website established by the Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board (Section 1554).

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

031-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- 4. Use of Funds for Certain Expenditures Prohibited: ARRA funds shall not be used for any casino or other gambling establishment, aquarium, zoo, golf course, or swimming pool (Section 1604).
- 5. **Use of Funds for Construction Prohibited:** Unless specifically authorized in the applicable program statute, regulations, guidelines, Request for Application (RFA), TEA Standard Application System (SAS), the approved grant application, or other written authorization, none of the ARRA additional formula funds shall be used for construction, remodeling, or renovation.
- Buy American Use of American Iron, Steel, and Manufactured Goods: If construction is allowed and approved pursuant to the previous paragraph pertaining to "Use of Funds for Construction Prohibited", none of the funds may be used for the construction, alteration, maintenance, or repair of a public building or public work unless all of the iron, steel, and manufactured goods used in the project are produced in the United States unless waived by the Secretary of Education (Section 1605).
- 7. Wage Rate Requirements for Contracted Laborers and Mechanics—Compliance with the Davis-Bacon Act: Subject to further clarification issued by the Office of Management and Budget, and notwithstanding any other provision of law and in a manner consistent with other provisions of ARRA, all laborers and mechanics employed by contractors and subcontractors on projects funded directly by or assisted in whole or in part by and through the Federal Government pursuant to this award shall be paid wages at rates not less than those prevailing on projects of a character similar in the locality as determined by the Secretary of Labor in accordance with subchapter IV of chapter 31 of title 40, United States Code. With respect to the labor standards specified in this section, the Secretary of Labor shall have the authority and functions set forth in Reorganization Plan Numbered 14 of 1950 (64 Stat. 1267; 5 U.S.C. App.) and section 3145 of title 40, United States Code (Section 1606).
- M. Compliance with OMB Circular A-133 Audits and Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards: Grantees agree to separately identify the expenditures for each grant award funded under ARRA as required by Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, "Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations" and to comply with all other provisions of the Single Audit Act and OMB Circular A-133.

The signing of Schedule #1 - General Information by applicant indicates acceptance of and compliance with all requirements described on this schedule