

# 1999 Texas National Comparative Data Study 

## Executive Summary

Texas Education Code Section 35.028 requires that the state assessment program obtain national comparative results for the subject areas and grade levels for which criterion-referenced assessment instruments (TAAS) are administered. The Texas Education Agency contracted with Harcourt Educational Measurement (HEM) to conduct a study to obtain the required national comparative data. A stratified cluster sample of non-special education and non-gifted/talented classrooms from Texas public schools was taken in the fall of 1998 from each of grades $3-8$ and 10 ; students in these classes were administered the Metropolitan Achievements Tests, Seventh Edition (MAT-7) in the spring of 1999 to measure student performance at the state level. The mathematics and reading subtests of MAT-7 were administered to students in all sampled grades, the language (similar to the multiple-choice writing TAAS) subtest of MAT-7 was administered to students in grades 4,8 , and 10 , and the science and social studies subtests of MAT-7 were administered to students in grade 8 .

Results were reported in terms of mean national normal curve equivalents (NCEs) based on the 1997 standardization of MAT-7. The mean national NCE obtained by the Texas student sample was above the national norm sample mean on the mathematics, language, science and social studies subtests for all grades. On the reading subtest, the mean national NCE obtained by the Texas student sample was slightly higher than the national norm sample mean in grades $3-5$ and 10 and slightly lower than the national norm sample mean in grades 6-8.

## Mean National NCEs and Associated Standard Errors of the Mean

|  | Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 10 |
| Total Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean National NCE <br> Standard Error of the Mean | $\begin{gathered} 50.3 \\ (0.39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52.0 \\ (0.45) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51.2 \\ (0.45) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48.9 \\ (0.63) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49.9 \\ (0.65) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48.4 \\ (0.66) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51.7 \\ (0.80) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean National NCE <br> Standard Error of the Mean | $\begin{gathered} 55.4 \\ (0.46) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59.4 \\ (0.40) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57.1 \\ (0.46) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54.8 \\ (0.58) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55.1 \\ (0.67) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53.4 \\ (0.64) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54.9 \\ (0.70) \end{gathered}$ |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean National NCE <br> Standard Error of the Mean |  | $\begin{gathered} 53.8 \\ (0.41) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 50.6 \\ (0.71) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51.6 \\ (0.66) \end{gathered}$ |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean National NCE <br> Standard Error of the Mean |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 52.5 \\ (0.52) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean National NCE <br> Standard Error of the Mean |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 50.5 \\ (0.50) \end{gathered}$ |  |

## Introduction

Texas Education Code Section 35.028 requires that the state assessment program obtain national comparative results for the subject areas and grade levels for which criterion-referenced assessment instruments (TAAS) are administered. The Texas Education Agency has contracted with Harcourt Educational Measurement (HEM) to conduct a study to obtain the required national comparative data. A stratified cluster sample of non-special education and non-gifted/talented classrooms from Texas public schools was taken in the fall of 1998 from each of grades 3-8 and 10; students in these classes were administered the Metropolitan Achievements Tests, Seventh Edition (MAT-7) in the spring of 1999 to measure student performance at the state level.

Students were tested in grades $3,4,5,6,7,8$, and 10 . The reading, mathematics, language (similar to the multiple-choice writing TAAS), science, and social studies subtests of MAT-7 were administered. The table below shows which grade levels and content areas were tested, as well as the approximate number of students selected in the target sample.

## MAT-7 Target Sample

| Content Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Reading | Math | Language | Science | Social <br> Studies | Totals |  |
| 3 | 12,500 | 12,500 |  |  |  | 25,000 |  |
| 4 | 12,500 | 12,500 | 12,500 |  |  | 37,500 |  |
| 5 | 12,500 | 12,500 |  |  |  | 25,000 |  |
| 6 | 12,500 | 12,500 |  |  |  | 25,000 |  |
| 7 | 12,500 | 12,500 |  |  |  | 25,000 |  |
| 8 | 12,500 | 12,500 | 12,500 | 12,500 | 12,500 | 62,500 |  |
| 10 | 12,500 | 12,500 | 12,500 |  |  | 37,500 |  |
| Totals | 87,500 | 87,500 | 37,500 | 12,500 | 12,500 | 237,500 |  |

The national norms used for the Texas National Comparative Data Study were obtained from the 1997 standardization of MAT-7. The MAT-7 national norm sample included private school students and possibly students from classrooms designated as gifted/talented; thus, it differs slightly from the 1999 Texas sample which included public school students from non-gifted/talented classrooms only. Test booklets and answer documents were customized for each grade level and content area tested. While no classroom tested more than one subject area, multiple classrooms may have been selected from some campuses. Form T of the MAT-7 was used to ensure security. This form was developed as a secure form and is printed on demand only. States using Form T must sign an additional security agreement.

## Sample Participants

A stratified cluster sample of classrooms not classified as special education nor gifted/talented was selected for each grade tested from Texas public schools to participate in the study. The Texas Education Agency provided Harcourt Educational Measurement (HEM) with summaries of enrollment by grade for the public schools in Texas, including ethnic information. Harcourt Educational Measurement used this information to create the stratified cluster sample of classrooms which met the sample size targets specified in the Introduction.

Details of the stratification and sampling procedure follow. At each grade level, campuses were grouped into one of six sampling regions-(1) Northeast Region, (2) Southeast Region, (3) Central-Valley Region, (4) North Central Region, (5) Northwest Region, and (6) Big 20 (campuses from the twenty largest school districts). The six sampling regions were used as strata, and a random sampling of non-special education and non-gifted/talented classrooms from public schools was performed within each strata for each grade. After the sampling was performed, the number of classrooms selected per campus at each grade was known; at this point, subject areas were randomly assigned. Subject area assignments were spiraled within a grade, so that each subject area was assigned once before a subject area could be assigned a second time. For example, in a campus selected to test three classrooms at grade 5, the first classroom would administer the mathematics test, the second classroom selected would administer the reading test, and the third classroom would administer the mathematics test.

After completion of the sampling process, each selected campus' district was sent materials for 30 students for each selected classroom. Schools were allowed to pick the most convenient testing week between January 11, 1999, and April 30, 1999, and each campus was free to select which of its classrooms would test within a designated grade. The Coordinator Manual instructed the test coordinator to select "typical classrooms." Harcourt Educational Measurement defined "typical classrooms" as classrooms that were not classified as primarily special education or primarily gifted/talented.

Table 1 summarizes the number of districts and campuses that were selected to participate in the study by each grade and content area. It also shows the percent of districts and campuses eligible for participation. The total numbers of districts and campuses are not necessarily the sum of the content area figures since some districts and campuses tested more than one content area.

## Number and Percentage of Districts and Campuses Selected to Participate in the 1999 Texas National Comparative Data Study

|  |  | Districts |  | Campuses |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Content | N | \% | N | \% |
| 3 | Reading <br> Math <br> Total | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 204 \\ & 211 \\ & 253 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.6 \\ & 20.2 \\ & 24.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 391 \\ & 396 \\ & 573 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11.6 \\ & 11.8 \\ & 17.0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | Reading <br> Math <br> Language <br> Total | $\begin{aligned} & 229 \\ & 226 \\ & 223 \\ & 333 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.0 \\ & 21.7 \\ & 21.4 \\ & 32.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 410 \\ & 407 \\ & 410 \\ & 856 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.3 \\ & 12.2 \\ & 12.3 \\ & 25.8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | Reading <br> Math <br> Total | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 206 \\ & 200 \\ & 245 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 19.8 \\ & 19.2 \\ & 23.6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 361 \\ & 358 \\ & 543 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11.4 \\ & 11.3 \\ & 17.2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | Reading <br> Math <br> Total | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 155 \\ & 158 \\ & 197 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 14.9 \\ & 15.1 \\ & 18.9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 282 \\ & 285 \\ & 372 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 13.0 \\ & 13.1 \\ & 17.1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 | Reading <br> Math <br> Total | $\begin{aligned} & 161 \\ & 156 \\ & 199 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.6 \\ & 15.1 \\ & 19.3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 299 \\ & 294 \\ & 397 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.9 \\ & 16.6 \\ & 22.5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 8 | Reading <br> Math <br> Language <br> Science <br> Social Studies <br> Total | $\begin{aligned} & 183 \\ & 184 \\ & 182 \\ & 190 \\ & 185 \\ & 377 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 17.7 \\ & 17.8 \\ & 17.6 \\ & 18.4 \\ & 17.9 \\ & 36.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 308 \\ & 307 \\ & 305 \\ & 310 \\ & 309 \\ & 684 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 17.1 \\ & 17.0 \\ & 16.9 \\ & 17.2 \\ & 17.1 \\ & 37.9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 10 | Reading <br> Math <br> Language <br> Total | $\begin{aligned} & 178 \\ & 173 \\ & 186 \\ & 281 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.8 \\ & 17.3 \\ & 18.6 \\ & 28.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 273 \\ & 271 \\ & 284 \\ & 409 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.8 \\ & 16.7 \\ & 17.5 \\ & 25.1 \end{aligned}$ |
| All | Total | 595 | 55.3 | 2872 | 44.4 |

Table 1

## Weighting Procedure and Computation of Means and Standard Errors

In order to ensure that the test results were representative of all students in the state, an ethnic weighting procedure was applied. The Texas Education Agency provided HEM with ethnic enrollment information for each grade at each campus in the state. Based on this information, the proportion of students in each ethnic group considered (African American, Asian American, Hispanic, Native American, and white) was calculated for each sampling region and grade. These figures were used to calculate the ethnic sampling targets for each sampling region (strata), grade, and content area. After the tests were scored, if the actual $n$-counts failed to closely match the target $n$-counts, weighting was performed so that the weighted $n$-counts did closely match the target $n$-counts. All reported analyses are based on the weighted data. The estimate of each mean NCE was computed as follows:

$$
\hat{\bar{Y}}=\left(\sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{h}} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{h i}} w_{h i j} y_{h i j}\right) / w \ldots
$$

where $\quad H=$ the number of strata (sampling regions),

$$
n_{h}=\text { the number of clusters (classrooms) in stratum } h,
$$

$$
m_{h i}=\text { the number of measurements (NCE values) in cluster } i \text { of stratum } h
$$

$$
y_{h i j}=\text { the value of measurement } j \text { in cluster } i \text { of stratrum } h
$$

$$
w_{h i j}=\text { the weight for measurement } j \text { in cluster } i \text { of stratrum } h
$$

and $\quad w \ldots=$ the sum of all weights over $j, i$ and $h$.
Taylor series expansion theory was used to estimate the variance of the estimated mean $\hat{\bar{Y}}$. The estimated variance was computed as follows:

$$
\hat{V}(\hat{\bar{Y}})=\sum_{h=1}^{H} \frac{n_{h}}{n_{h}-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{h}}\left(e_{h i .}-\bar{e}_{h . .}\right)^{2}
$$

where $e_{h i .}=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_{h i}} w_{h j}\left(y_{h i j}-\hat{\bar{Y}}\right)\right) / w \ldots$
and $\quad \bar{e}_{h . .}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_{h}} e_{h i .}\right) / n_{h}$.

The estimated standard error of the mean is the square root of the estimated variance.
Table 2 lists target $n$-counts, unweighted $n$-counts, and weighted $n$-counts.

## Texas National Comparative Data Study (1999) Sample Sizes

|  | Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 10 |
| Total Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Target $n$-count | 12,508 | 12,501 | 12,509 | 12,507 | 12,509 | 12,499 | 12,500 |
| Unweighted $n$-count | 7,639 | 7,743 | 8,609 | 6,851 | 6,725 | 6,792 | 6,577 |
| Weighted $n$-count | 12,530 | 12,730 | 13,042 | 11,843 | 12,293 | 12,299 | 11,869 |
| Total Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Target $n$-count | 12,508 | 12,501 | 12,509 | 12,507 | 12,509 | 12,499 | 12,500 |
| Unweighted $n$-count | 7,650 | 7,863 | 8,475 | 7,129 | 6,772 | 6,764 | 6,789 |
| Weighted $n$-count | 12,815 | 12,610 | 13,001 | 12,033 | 12,089 | 12,398 | 12,172 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Target $n$-count |  | 12,501 |  |  |  | 12,499 | 12,500 |
| Unweighted $n$-count |  | 7,660 |  |  |  | 6,827 | 6,728 |
| Weighted $n$-count |  | 12,771 |  |  |  | 12,371 | 12,023 |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Target $n$-count |  |  |  |  |  | 12,499 |  |
| Unweighted $n$-count |  |  |  |  |  | 7,032 |  |
| Weighted $n$-count |  |  |  |  |  | 12,659 |  |
| Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Target $n$-count |  |  |  |  |  | 12,499 |  |
| Unweighted $n$-count |  |  |  |  |  | 7,147 |  |
| Weighted $n$-count |  |  |  |  |  | 12,785 |  |

Table 2

## Statewide Results

The following pages of this report provide statewide results of the testing aggregated across all classrooms.

## Summary Statewide Results

Table 3 shows the mean national normal curve equivalent (NCE), the associated standard deviation, the sample size, the reliability index (coefficient alpha internal consistency estimate), and the standard error of the mean for each subject area at each grade level.

The NCE is a normalized standard score with a mean of 50 and a standard deviation of 21.06. It is commonly used to present data across years, especially when different test instruments are employed. NCEs have a fixed relationship to percentile ranks, such that a percentile rank of 50 corresponds to an NCE of 50.0, which makes them easy to interpret. It must be noted that the mean NCEs given in Table 3 may be slightly biased estimates of the true mean NCEs for Texas students due to the following sampling differences between the 1997 MAT-7 national norm sample and the 1999 Texas sample: the MAT-7 national norm sample included private school students and possibly students from classrooms designated as gifted/talented; the Texas sample excluded private school students and students from classrooms that were designated as primarily gifted/talented.

The standard error of the mean provides information regarding the variability to be expected in the mean NCE if a different sample of students is obtained. This value is often used to place a confidence interval around the mean.

Figure 1 provides a graphic display of the mean national NCE across all content areas and grades.
Figures 2-6 provide mean national NCE graphs across grades for each content area.

## Statewide Results National NCE Statistics

|  | Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 10 |
| Total Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 50.3 | 52.0 | 51.2 | 48.9 | 49.9 | 48.4 | 51.7 |
| S.D. | 18.6 | 21.2 | 19.6 | 24.0 | 25.1 | 24.0 | 24.8 |
| N | 12,530 | 12,730 | 13,042 | 11,843 | 12,293 | 12,299 | 11,869 |
| Reliability | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Standard Error of the Mean | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.63 | 0.65 | 0.66 | 0.80 |
| Total Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 55.4 | 59.4 | 57.1 | 54.8 | 55.1 | 53.4 | 54.9 |
| S.D. | 20.3 | 19.2 | 19.9 | 21.0 | 22.8 | 22.5 | 24.0 |
| N | 12,815 | 12,610 | 13,001 | 12,033 | 12,089 | 12,398 | 12,172 |
| Reliability | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.91 |
| Standard Error of the Mean | 0.46 | 0.40 | 0.46 | 0.58 | 0.67 | 0.64 | 0.70 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean |  | 53.8 |  |  |  | 50.6 | 51.6 |
| S.D. |  | 21.0 |  |  |  | 26.1 | 24.2 |
| N |  | 12,771 |  |  |  | 12,371 | 12,023 |
| Reliability |  | 0.90 |  |  |  | 0.91 | 0.90 |
| Standard Error of the Mean |  | 0.41 |  |  |  | 0.71 | 0.66 |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean |  |  |  |  |  | 52.5 |  |
| S.D. |  |  |  |  |  | 23.8 |  |
| N |  |  |  |  |  | 12,659 |  |
| Reliability |  |  |  |  |  | 0.80 |  |
| Standard Error of the Mean |  |  |  |  |  | 0.52 |  |
| Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean |  |  |  |  |  | 50.5 |  |
| S.D. |  |  |  |  |  | 21.5 |  |
| N |  |  |  |  |  | 12,785 |  |
| Reliability |  |  |  |  |  | 0.80 |  |
| Standard Error of the Mean |  |  |  |  |  | 0.50 |  |
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## Longitudinal Results

The final section provides a longitudinal analysis incorporating the results of the 1993 statewide Norm-referenced Assessment Program for Texas (NAPT) results, the 1994 voluntary use of the NAPT, the 1995 and 1996 MAT-7 results, and the 1999 MAT-7 results. Please note that due to differences between the NAPT and MAT-7, as well as differences in the grades sampled, not all comparisons can be made. For these analyses, MAT-7 subject areas were paired with NAPT subject areas in the following manner:

| MAT-7 | NAPT |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total Reading | Reading Comprehension |
| Total Mathematics | Mathematics Total |
| Language | Written Expression |
| Science | Science |
| Social Studies | Social Studies |

Note that there is not an associated mathematics total score for NAPT at grade 10 since the NAPT at this grade was not configured to report a total mathematics score.

Table 4 shows mean National NCEs for each year within each content area. Figures 7 through 11 provide a graphic representation of the mean national NCE for each content area at each grade. Comparisons of changes in specific values across the years should be made with caution, however, for the following reasons: (1) the national norm sample for the 1995 and 1996 MAT-7 was different than the national norm sample for the 1999 MAT-7, and both national norm samples were different than the national norm sample for the 1993 and 1994 NAPT, and (2) the differences in the test items comprising each content area. Thus, longitudinal comparisons should only be used to identify general trends.

## Texas National Comparative Data Study (1999) Longitudinal Results <br> Mean National NCE

|  | Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 10 |
| MAT-7: Total Reading NAPT: Reading Comprehension |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MAT-7 1999 | 50.3 | 52.0 | 51.2 | 48.9 | 49.9 | 48.4 | 51.7 |
| MAT-7 1996 | 49.9 | 49.3 | 50.2 | 48.2 | 47.7 | 47.2 | 44.5 |
| MAT-7 1995 | 48.9 | 47.2 | 48.4 | 47.3 | 48.4 | 46.3 | 43.1 |
| NAPT 1994 | 50.6 | 51.8 | 49.7 | 50.2 | 49.9 | 49.9 | 47.7 |
| NAPT 1993 | 50.4 | 51.8 | 49.7 | 49.7 | 49.7 | 48.4 | 48.4 |
| MAT-7: Total Mathematics NAPT: Mathematics Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MAT-7 1999 | 55.4 | 59.4 | 57.1 | 54.8 | 55.1 | 53.4 | 54.9 |
| MAT-7 1996 | 54.7 | 56.5 | 57.1 | 54.2 | 53.3 | 50.1 | 47.4 |
| MAT-7 1995 | 58.0 | 58.9 | 58.2 | 53.3 | 51.9 | 52.1 | 51.3 |
| NAPT 1994 | 55.9 | 54.7 | 52.6 | 50.9 | 49.3 | 50.2 |  |
| NAPT 1993 | 55.0 | 54.4 | 51.0 | 50.0 | 49.1 | 49.2 |  |
| MAT-7 Language <br> NAPT: Written Expression |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MAT-7 1999 |  | 53.8 |  |  |  | 50.6 | 51.6 |
| MAT-7 1996 |  | 55.8 |  |  |  | 54.1 | 48.9 |
| MAT-7 1995 |  | 54.9 |  |  |  | 52.0 | 47.9 |
| NAPT 1994 | 49.7 | 54.4 | 52.3 | 53.4 | 53.5 | 52.3 | 50.9 |
| NAPT 1993 | 50.6 | 54.6 | 51.7 | 52.9 | 53.2 | 51.5 | 51.9 |
| MAT-7 Science <br> NAPT: Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MAT-7 1999 |  |  |  |  |  | 52.5 |  |
| MAT-7 1996 |  |  |  |  |  | 50.7 |  |
| MAT-7 1995 |  |  |  |  |  | 52.8 |  |
| NAPT 1994 | 52.4 | 51.3 | 52.9 | 52.0 | 51.5 | 51.3 | 52.8 |
| NAPT 1993 | 50.9 | 51.4 | 51.5 | 50.4 | 49.3 | 48.5 | 50.0 |
| MAT-7 Social Studies NAPT: Social Studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MAT-7 1999 |  |  |  |  |  | 50.5 |  |
| MAT-7 1996 |  |  |  |  |  | 51.7 |  |
| MAT-7 1995 |  |  |  |  |  | 50.9 |  |
| NAPT 1994 | 53.7 | 50.8 | 49.4 | 52.3 | 51.4 | 51.9 | 53.2 |
| NAPT 1993 | 52.1 | 51.1 | 49.4 | 50.7 | 49.3 | 49.4 | 50.2 |
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