		7		X74	TOL		\mathbf{OD}	DI	T	NT.	\sim 1	\mathbf{r}	A	T	D			1	TT	T		NT 1	\mathbf{r}		D	TA /	(T)	T A	T	1	T
rк	А	N۱	71 I	VV (DRK	L FU	IJK	Pr	М	N	UJ	lP.	A	L	K	Ľ	ı	МТ	NΙ		ולו	N	ועו	١.	Æ	IVI		NA	"	יונ	N

Purpose: To provide a framework for determination of retention or removal of the campus principal when the principal has been employed in that capacity during two consecutive years of unacceptable performance in the state accountability rating system, in accordance with requirements of Texas Education Code (TEC) §39.107 (b).

Objective: Using measures of school performance, teacher effectiveness, and leader actions, campus intervention team (CIT) members will evaluate the performance of the principal in order to support the determination that retention of the principal would be more beneficial to student achievement and campus stability than removal, or the decision to require removal of the principal from the campus.

and campus stability than removal, or the decision to require removal of the principal from the campus.												
Directions: Based on data accessible to campus intervention team members, summarize evidence to support the recommendation to be submitted to the ΓΕΑ. The framework has four sections: 1) Determination Summary, 2) School Performance Profile, 3) Teacher Effectiveness, and 4) Leader Actions.												
Evidence considered: ☐ Accountability Index Reports ☐ Accountability System Safeguards ☐ Performance Reports (formerly AEIS) ☐ TELPAS Results ☐ Locally Developed Data/ Reports	☐ Administrator Performance Assessments ☐ Teacher Performance Assessment ☐ School/ Classroom Observations ☐ Professional Development Records ☐ Other:											
EVIDENCE SUMMARY: Based on the evidence pro	DETERMINATION SUMMARY sented, summarize your determination from each se	ection of the framework.										
The principal has demonstrably improved student achie	vement.	□YES	□NO									
The principal has demonstrably improved teacher effect	iveness.	□YES	\square NO									
The principal has engaged in key turnaround leader acti	ons.	□YES	□NO									
has determined that retention of the principal will be	nge that has led to positive and tangible improvement in student more beneficial to student achievement and campus stability nges resulting in insufficient progress toward meeting improvement and of the principal from the campus.	than removal.										
PSP Signature:		Date										
DCSI Signature:	Date											
Other CIT Member Signature (if applicable):		Date										

CADEMIC MEASURES: Includes STAAR, EOC, and Curriculum-Based Assessment (CBA) and/or Benchmark Results	Interv	1 st Year of Intervention 2012-2013		ear of ention 2014		ECIFIC GAINS ACHIEVI RENT YEAR BENCHMA
Growth on state reading/ELA assessments (% met standard)?	□Yes	□No	□Yes	□No		
Growth on reading/ELA CBAs and/or benchmarks?	□Yes	□No	□Yes	□No		
Growth on state writing assessments (% met standard)?	□Yes	□No	□Yes	□No		
Growth on writing CBAs and/or benchmarks?	□Yes	□No	□Yes	□No		
Growth on state math assessments (% met standard)?	□Yes	□No	□Yes	□No		
Growth on math CBAs and/or benchmarks?	□Yes	□No	□Yes	□No		
Growth on state science assessment (% met standard)?	□Yes	□No	□Yes	□No		
Growth on science CBAs and/or benchmarks?	□Yes	□No	□Yes	□No		
Growth on state social studies assessments (% met standard)?	□Yes	□No	□Yes	□No		
Growth on social studies CBAs and/or benchmarks?	□Yes	□No	□Yes	□No		
OTHER MEASURES						
Increased average daily attendance?	□Yes	□No	□Yes	□No		
Increased graduation rate?	□Yes	□No	□Yes	□No		_
Increased percentage of students graduating in RHSP/DAP?	□Yes	□No	□Yes	□No		
Decreased annual dropout rate?	□Yes	□No	□Yes	□No		
Overall, has the school demonstrated and sustained positive ac	ademic g	ains duri	ng the pa	st two ye	ars? □Yes	□No
re gains cited above shared by students in each accountabilit	y group?				□Yes	\square No
f not, please describe measures the campus has taken/is taking		the perfo	rmance g	ap(s) bet	ween accountability g	roups.
	5	•	9	1 ()	, 6	•
						DCD 1'dl.
						PSP Initials DCSI Initials

TEACHER EFFECTIVENESS Rate the extent to which you have observed the principal take the following actions to initiate dramatic improvement efforts in the IR school: Tangible evidence to support response No Limited Multiple **Examples Examples Examples** (i.e., 1-2)(i.e., 3+)Prioritizes recruiting, retaining, and developing high П П П quality teachers Prioritizes high quality professional development directly tied to identified needs Conducts regular walkthrough observations to collect П information about systemic issues Conducts classroom observations and provides П П П specific feedback to teachers to improve instruction Uses information collected through classroom observations and data analysis to recommend individualized professional development for teachers Conducts rigorous evaluations of all teachers on an annual basis that differentiates high and low performing teachers Uses multiple means to assess teacher performance (e.g., student performance, observations, progress towards explicit goals) Intentionally develops teacher leaders Engages in data analysis and data talks with teachers П П П Recommends removal of teachers who do not meet performance expectations **PSP Initials** DCSI Initials Framework for Principal Retention Determination Page 3

KEY TURNAROUND LEADER ACTIONS*

	No Examples	Limited Examples (i.e., 1-2)	Multiple Examples (i.e., 3+)	Tangible Examples of Leader Actions
Implements specific changes to achieve early, visible wins for the school (e.g., changes allocation of time, instructional personnel or fiscal resources in order to improve instruction as outlined in the targeted improvement plan).				
Makes changes that alter school norms to achieve early, visible wins that lead to positive change (e.g., implements district teacher evaluation system with fidelity and holds teachers accountable for performance or other changes outlined in the targeted improvement plan).				
Analyzes data and uses information to solve problems (e.g., uses formative assessment results to establish priorities or alter distribution of resources as outlined in the targeted improvement plan).				
Communicates drive for results to faculty, staff and broader community (e.g., identifies key priorities, sets goals, and is tenacious about communicating the high priority of achieving the goals).				
Establishes professional learning community/ learning organization (e.g., all faculty and staff are engaged; meetings focus on vision, implementation and management of change, evaluation of actions taken, and planning ahead)				
Influences key stakeholders inside and outside of school (e.g., overcomes resistance to change by demonstrating that change is necessary and possible).				
Measures progress to assess change and report data to stakeholders (e.g., uses formative assessments to track and communicate change effectiveness to teachers, students, parents, community and when necessary, make data-informed				

	No Examples	Limited Examples (i.e., 1-2)	Multiple Examples (i.e., 3+)	Tangible Examples of Leader Actions
mid-course corrections in the targeted improvement plan).				
Family and Community Engagement (e.g. eliminates factors that disenfranchise external stakeholders, establishes systems of improved communication and engagement of parents, businesses, and the community				
School Climate and Culture (e.g., establishes school environments with a climate conducive to learning and a culture of high expectations).				
Curriculum, Assessment, and Intervention Systems (e.g., ensures teachers have the foundational documents and instructional materials needed to teach to the rigorous college and career ready standards that have been adopted).				
Effective Use of Time (e.g., redesigns allocated learning time to better meet student needs and increases teacher collaboration focused on improving teaching and learning).				
Effective Instruction (e.g., ensures teachers utilize research-based effective instruction to meet the needs of all students).				
Enables Student-Directed Learning (e.g., students make independent decisions, have a good understanding of how they learn, are self-motivated, and are responsible owners and managers of their own learning process).				

*Adapted from: School Turnarounds: A Review of the Cross-Sector Evidence on Dramatic Organization Improvement, Public Impact for the Center on Innovation and Improvement (2007) and Turnarounds with New Leaders and Staff, Public Impact for the Center for Comprehensive School Reform and Improvement (2006); and USDE Turnaround Principles

PSP Initials	
DCSI Initials	

Turnaround Leader Actions Key ¹	
Initial Analysis and Problem Solving □ Collect & Analyze Data: Initially, turnaround leaders personally analyze data about the organization's performance to identify high-priority problems that can be fixed quickly. □ Make Action Plan Based on Data: Turnaround leaders make an action plan so that everyone involved knows specifically what they need to do differently. This allows people to focus on changing what they do, rather than worrying about impending change.	
Concentrate on Big, Fast Payoffs in Year 1: Turnaround leaders concentrate on a few changes to achieve early, visible wins. They do this to achieve success in an important area, motivate others for further change, and reduce resistance by those who oppose change. □ Implement Practices Even if Require Deviation: Turnaround leaders depart from organization norms or rules when needed to achieve early wins. In a failing organization, existing practices often contribute to failure. This shows that changes can lead to success. □ Require All Staff to Change: When turnaround leaders implement an action plan, change is mandatory, not optional. □ Make Necessary Staff Replacements: Successful turnaround leaders typically do not replace all or most staff but often replace some senior leaders. After initial turnaround success, staff members who do not make needed changes either leave or are removed by the leader. □ Focus on Successful Tactics; Halt Others: Successful turnaround leaders quickly discard tactics that do not work and spend more money and time on tactics that work. This pruning and growing process focuses limited resources where they will best improve results. □ Do Not Tout Progress as Ultimate Success: Turnaround leaders are not satisfied with partial success. They report progress, but keep the organization focused on high goals. When a goal is met, they are likely to raise the bar. Merely better is not good enough.	
Influencing Inside and Outside the Organization □ Communicate a Positive Vision: Turnaround leaders motivate others to contribute their discretionary effort by communicating a clear picture of success and its benefits. □ Help Staff Personally Feel Problems: Turnaround leaders use various tactics to help staff empathize with – or "put themselves in the shoes of" – those whom they serve. This helps staff feel the problems that the status quo is causing and feel motivated to change. □ Gain Support of Key Influencers: Turnaround leaders gain support of trusted influencers among staff and community and then work through these people to influence others. □ Silence Critics with Speedy Success: Early, visible wins are used not just for success in their own right, but to make it harder for others to oppose further change. This reduces leader time spent addressing "politics" and increases time spent managing for results.	
Measure and Report Progress Frequently: Turnaround leaders set up systems to measure and report interim results often. This enables the rapid discard of failed tactics and increase of successful tactics essential for fast results. ☐ Require Decision Makers to Share Data and Problem Solve: Turnaround leaders share key staff results visibly, to highlight those who do not change and reward those who do and succeed. This shifts meetings from blaming and excuses to problem solving.	
Source: School Turnarounds: A Review of the Cross-Sector Evidence on Dramatic Organization Improvement, Public Impact for the Center on Innovation and Improvement (2007) and Turnarounds with New Leaders and Staff, Public Impact for the Center for Comprehensive School Reform and Improvement (2006).	

Appendix

AEIS Academic Excellence Indicator System

CBAs Curriculum-Based Assessments

CIT Campus Intervention Team

DCSI District Coordinator of School Improvement

ELA English Language Arts

EOC End-of-Course

IR Improvement Required

PSP Professional Service Provider

RHSP/DAP Recommended High School Program/Distinguished Achievement Program

STAAR State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness

TEC Texas Education Code

TELPAS Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System