## Chapter 3-School Progress Domain

## Overview

House Bill 22 (85th Texas Legislature) requires the accountability system measure the percentage of students who met the standard for improvement and the overall student performance at a district or campus compared to similar districts or campuses. The School Progress domain measures district and campus outcomes in two areas: the number of students that grew at least one year academically (or are on track) as measured by STAAR results and the achievement of students relative to districts or campuses with similar economically disadvantaged percentages.

## School Progress, Part A: Academic Growth

The School Progress, Part A: Academic Growth domain provides an opportunity for districts and campuses to receive credit for STAAR results in ELA/reading and mathematics that either meet the student-level criteria for the STAAR progress measure or maintain proficiency.

The STAAR progress measure indicates the amount of improvement or growth a student has made from year to year. For STAAR assessments (with or without accommodations), progress is measured as a student's gain score, the difference between the scaled score a student achieved in the prior year and the scaled score a student achieved in the current year. Individual student progress is then categorized as Limited, Expected, or Accelerated. If a student's progress measure is Expected, he or she met growth expectations. If the student's progress measure is Accelerated, he or she exceeded growth expectations.

For STAAR Alternate 2 assessments, the progress measure is based on a student's stage change from the prior year to the current year. A student's stage for each year is determined by the student's scaled score achieved on the assessment. The student's stages of performance from the prior year and the current year are then compared to assign the student a progress indicator, which is a determination of whether the progress made is sufficient to designate the student as having Met or Exceeded growth expectations.

## Part A: Academic Growth—Assessments Evaluated

School Progress, Part A evaluates STAAR (with and without accommodations) and STAAR Alternate 2 assessment results for grades 4-8 and English II and Algebra I end-of-course (EOC) combined.

Substitute assessments are not included in School Progress, Part A.

## Part A: Academic Growth-Students Evaluated

All students, including English learners (ELs) as described below, are evaluated as one group.

## Part A: Academic Growth—Inclusion of English Learners

ELs who are year one in U.S. schools are excluded from accountability performance calculations. Due to changes to the Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS), Texas has requested a waiver from the U.S. Department of Education to exclude EL students who are year two in U.S. schools from 2018 performance calculations. If granted, ELs who are in their second year in U.S. schools will be included in accountability for 2019 and beyond. If denied, ELs who are in their second year in U.S. schools will be included in accountability for 2018. The STAAR progress measure is used for ELs and non-ELs in the School Progress, Part A domain.

STAAR Alternate 2 assessment results are included regardless of an EL's years in U.S. schools.
Unschooled asylees, unschooled refugees, and students with interrupted formal education (SIFEs) are not included in state accountability until their sixth year of enrollment in U.S. schools.

## Part A: Academic Growth—Minimum Size Criteria and Small Numbers Analysis

- All students are evaluated; results are used if there are 10 or more STAAR progress measures, combined across ELA/reading and mathematics.
- Small numbers analysis is not used.


## Part A: Academic Growth—Methodology

School Progress, Part A includes all assessments with eligible growth measures (growth measure=STAAR progress measure). In order to receive a STAAR progress measure in 2018, a student must meet ALL of the following criteria within the same content area (ELA/reading or mathematics):

- Has a valid score from the previous year and the current year.
- Has tested in successive grade levels or EOC assessments in the previous year and the current year. Students who took the same grade-level or EOC assessment in the previous year and the current year will not receive a progress measure. Students who take STAAR assessments and have skipped a grade level between the previous year and the current year will receive a progress measure.
- Has taken a STAAR assessment in the previous year and a STAAR assessment in the current year.
- For STAAR reading assessments, has taken assessments in the same language in the previous year and the current year (i.e., English or Spanish).
- For STAAR Algebra I and English II, has taken the assessment for the first time.
- For students taking a STAAR Alternate 2 test in current year, must have taken a STAAR Alternate 2 in the previous year.


## Part A: Academic Growth—Methodology (continued)

The following tables show how districts and campuses earn credit in School Progress: Part A for results that maintained proficiency or met the growth expectations.
STAAR (with and without accommodations)

| Current-Year Performance on STAAR |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prior-Year Performance on STAAR |  | Did Not Meet Grade Level | Approaches Grade Level | Meets Grade Level | Masters Grade Level |
|  | Did Not Meet Grade Level | Met or Exceeded Growth Expectation=1 point, Else=0 points | Met or Exceeded Growth Expectation=1 point, Else= 0.5 point | 1 point | 1 point |
|  | Approaches Grade Level | Met or Exceeded <br> Growth <br> Expectation=1 point, Else $=0$ points | Met or Exceeded <br> Growth <br> Expectation=1 point, Else $=0.5$ point | 1 point | 1 point |
|  | Meets Grade Level | 0 points | 0 points | Met or Exceeded Growth Expectation=1 point, Else=0.5 point | 1 point |
|  | Masters Grade Level | 0 points | 0 points | 0 points | 1 point |

STAAR Alternate 2

| Current-Year Performance on STAAR Alternate 2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Level I: Developing | Level II: Satisfactory | Level III: Accomplished |
|  | Level I: Developing | Met or Exceeded Growth Expectation=1 point, Else=0 points | 1 point | 1 point |
|  | Level II: Satisfactory | 0 points | Met or Exceeded Growth Expectation=1 point, Else $=0.5$ point | 1 point |
|  | Level III: Accomplished | 0 points | 0 points | 1 point |

## Part A: Academic Growth Score

The Part A: Academic Growth score is expressed as a percentage: total points divided by maximum points, rounded to the nearest whole number. For example, 142.5 total points divided by 200 maximum points is $71.25 \%$, which is rounded to $71 \%$.
Example Calculation: Part A: Academic Growth
A campus has 100 grade 4-8 students, all of whom took a reading and mathematics STAAR assessment in the current year and the prior year (denominator $=200$ STAAR progress measures).

| Example Calculation: Part A: Academic Growth |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Points |  |  |  |
| Prior-Year Performance | Current-Year Performance | Growth Expectation Outcome | Total Assessments |
| Did Not Meet | Did Not Meet | Did Not Meet | 20 |
| Approaches | Did Not Meet | Did Not Meet | 15 |
| Masters | Meets | N/A | 14 |
| Total with No Points |  |  | 49 |
| One-Half Point |  |  |  |
| Prior-Year <br> Performance | Current-Year Performance | Growth Expectation Outcome | Total Assessments |
| Did Not Meet | Approaches | Did Not Meet | 7 |
| Approaches | Approaches | Did Not Meet | 7 |
| Meets | Meets | Did Not Meet | 3 |
| Total with One-Half Point |  |  | 17 |
| One Point |  |  |  |
| Prior-Year Performance | Current-Year Performance | Growth Expectation Outcome | Total Assessments |
| Did Not Meet | Did Not Meet | Met or Exceeded Growth Expectation | 23 |
| Approaches | Did Not Meet | Met or Exceeded Growth Expectation | 7 |
| Approaches | Approaches | Met or Exceeded Growth Expectation | 22 |
| Meets | Meets | Met or Exceeded Growth Expectation | 33 |
| Meets | Masters | N/A | 32 |
| Masters | Masters | N/A | 17 |
| Total with One Point |  |  | 134 |

## Example Calculation: Part A: Academic Growth

$$
\frac{(49 \times 0)+(17 \times 0.5)+(134 \times 1)}{200}=\frac{142.5}{200}=71 \%
$$

## School Progress, Part B: Relative Performance

School Progress, Part B: Relative Performance measures the achievement of all students relative to districts or campuses with similar economically disadvantaged percentages.

## Part B: Relative Performance-Assessments Evaluated

School Progress, Part B evaluates STAAR (with and without accommodations) and STAAR Alternate 2 assessment results for grades 3-8 and end-of-course in all subject areas.
Substitute assessments are included in School Progress, Part B at the Meets Grade Level or above standard.

## Part B: Relative Performance-Students Evaluated

All students, including ELs as described below, are evaluated as one group.

## Part B: Relative Performance-Inclusion of English Learners

ELs who are year one in U.S. schools are excluded from accountability performance calculations. Due to changes to the TELPAS, Texas requested a waiver from the U.S. Department of Education to exclude EL students who are year two in U.S. schools from 2018 performance calculations. If granted, ELs who are in their second year in U.S. schools will be included in accountability for 2019 and beyond. If denied, ELs who are in their second year in U.S. schools will be included in accountability for 2018. STAAR Alternate 2 assessment results will be included regardless of an EL's years in U.S. schools.

Unschooled asylees, unschooled refugees, and SIFEs are not included in state accountability until their sixth year of enrollment in U.S. schools.

## Part B: Relative Performance-Minimum Size Criteria and Small Numbers Analysis

- The STAAR component is evaluated if there are 10 or more STAAR assessments, combined across all subjects. Small numbers analysis is not used.
- The College, Career, and Military Readiness (CCMR) component is evaluated if there are 10 or more annual graduates. Small numbers analysis is not used.


## Part B: Relative Performance—Methodology

Elementary and Middle Schools
For elementary and middle schools, School Progress, Part B evaluates the overall student performance on the Student Achievement STAAR component compared to campuses with similar percentages of economically disadvantaged students, as reported in the TSDS PEIMS fall snapshot.

High Schools, K-12 Campuses, and Districts with CCMR Component
For high schools, K-12 campuses, and districts, School Progress, Part B evaluates the average of the Student Achievement STAAR component and the College, Career, and Military Readiness (CCMR) component compared to districts or campuses with similar percentages of economically disadvantaged students, as reported in the TSDS PEIMS fall snapshot.

High Schools, K-12 Campuses, and Districts without CCMR Component
If CCMR outcomes are not available for a high school, $\mathrm{K}-12$, and district, only the Student Achievement STAAR component is used.

## Alternative Education Accountability

Alternative education campuses and alternative education accountability charter schools are not evaluated on School Progress, Part B due to the small number of districts and campuses available for comparison.

## Part B: Relative Performance Score

The Part B: Relative Performance score is either the raw Student Achievement STAAR component score or the average of the raw Student Achievement STAAR and CCMR components, depending upon campus type.

## Example: Part B: Relative Performance

In the example shown below, there were 71 percent of students identified as economically disadvantaged on the district's TSDS PEIMS fall snapshot, and the district earned a 50 on Student Achievement STAAR and CCMR components averaged. In this case, the district would earn a $B$ for Part B: Relative Performance.


Note: The image above is for illustrative purposes only and is only meant to provide a general idea of the methodology used for School Progress, Part B.

## School Progress Domain Rating Calculation

See "Chapter 5—Calculating 2018 Ratings" for the methodology to calculate ratings for Part A: Academic Growth and Part B: Relative Performance. The overall rating for the School Progress domain will be the better of Part A: Academic Growth or Part B: Relative Performance.

