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#### Abstract

This annual report provides information for the 2008-09 school year on grade-level retention in the Texas public school system. Data on retention are provided by student characteristics, including grade level; ethnicity; gender; degree of English proficiency; and economic, at-risk, immigrant, migrant, and overage statuses. Data also are provided by program participation in special education, career and technical education, gifted and talented, and Title I. In addition, student retention and promotion data are reported with data on the performance of students in Grades 3-10 on the Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) reading and mathematics tests.
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## Highlights

- In 2008-09, the state student retention rate for Grades $\mathrm{K}-12$ was 4.0 percent, a decrease of 0.5 percentage points from the previous year. Retention rates declined in every grade, with decreases ranging from 0.1 percentage points in Grade 11 to 2.4 percentage points in Grade 9.
- Across Grades K-6, the retention rate was highest in Grade 1, at 5.6 percent. Across Grades 7-12, the retention rate was highest in Grade 9, at 12.3 percent.
- In Grades 2-5 and 9-12, African American and Hispanic students were over twice as likely to be retained as White students.
- After a year in the same grade, passing rates on the English-Version Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAKS) for students who had been retained improved but did not reach the passing rates for students who had not been retained. For example, 97.2 percent of Grade 3 students who were promoted passed the reading TAKS in spring 2009, whereas 31.4 percent of Grade 3 students who were retained passed the reading TAKS. After repeating the grade, 83.5 percent passed the Grade 3 reading TAKS.
- Of the Grade 3 students who passed the spring 2009 TAKS reading test, 99.4 percent were promoted to Grade 4 . Of the Grade 3 students who failed the TAKS reading test, 33.6 percent were retained in Grade 3 the next year.
- Of the Grade 5 students who passed the spring 2009 TAKS reading and mathematics tests, 99.9 percent were promoted to Grade 6 . Of the Grade 5 students who did not pass the TAKS reading and mathematics tests, 12.8 percent were retained in Grade 5 the next year.
- Of the Grade 8 students who passed the spring 2009 TAKS reading and mathematics tests, 99.8 percent were promoted to Grade 9 . Of the Grade 8 students who did not pass the TAKS reading and mathematics tests, 8.6 percent were retained in Grade 8 the next year.
- According to Student Success Initiative requirements, a student may advance to the next grade level only by meeting standards on the state assessments or by unanimous decision of his or her grade placement committee. In the 2008-09 school year, 91.1 percent of all promoted third-grade students passed the TAKS reading test. Over 6,800 students, or 1.9 percent of promoted third graders, did not pass the TAKS reading test, or were missing results for the test, but were promoted by decisions of grade placement committees.
- In the 2008-09 school year, 83.6 percent of all promoted fifth-grade students passed the TAKS reading and mathematics tests. Nearly 20,000 students, or 5.9 percent of promoted fifth graders, did not pass the TAKS reading and mathematics tests, or were missing results for the tests, but were promoted by decisions of grade placement committees.
- In the 2008-09 school year, 80.8 percent of all promoted eighth-grade students passed the TAKS reading and mathematics tests. Nearly 22,000 students, or 6.5 percent of promoted eighth graders, did not pass the TAKS reading and mathematics tests, or were missing results for the tests, but were promoted by decisions of grade placement committees.


## Promotion Policies in Texas

## Policy History

## State Support for the Student Success Initiative

## Policy History

While consistently requiring that decisions about student promotion be based on academic achievement, Texas policy on promotion and retention has evolved over the past two and a half decades. Legislation passed in 1984 prohibited social promotion, requiring instead that students be promoted only on the basis of academic achievement (Texas Education Code [TEC] §21.721, Grade Requirement for Advancement or Course Credit, 1986). The State Board of Education (SBOE) rules implementing the legislation, Promotions and Alternatives to Social Promotion (Title 19 of the Texas Administrative Code [TAC] §§75.191-75.195, 1985), outlined the grading procedures to be used by districts and guidelines for promotion. The rules included the provisions that no student could repeat the same grade more than once or repeat more than two grade levels during the elementary grades.

In 1987, legislation was enacted to expand TEC §21.557, Compensatory and Remedial Instruction (1988). The legislation provided a definition of students in Grades 7-12 considered to be at risk of dropping out of school and required districts to provide remedial and support programs for these students. The definition of "at-risk" students included students who had not advanced from one grade level to the next in two or more school years.

In 1991, the rule prohibiting retention of students below Grade 1 was amended to allow districts to assign six-year-old students who were not developmentally ready for the first grade to grades deemed appropriate by the schools (19 TAC §75.195(j), 1992 Supplement).

Also in 1991, legislation updated TEC $\$ 21.721$ (1992) to eliminate the prohibition on advancement of students with grade averages below 70. Policies on advancement from one grade level to the next were to be adopted by school districts. Local policies on promotion had to incorporate a variety of factors, including a minimum, yearly grade point average of 70; course grades earned in each subject; performance on the Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS); extenuating circumstances; and the judgments of parents and teachers. Districts were required to consider alternatives to retention, including extended school day, extended school year, specialized tutorial support, peer tutoring, cross-age tutoring, student mentoring, and summer programs.

A retention reduction grant program was enacted in 1993 (TEC §21.562, 1994). A $\$ 5$ million appropriation allowed 54 Texas school districts to pilot extended instructional programs to eliminate retentions in first grade during the 1992-93 school year. The retention reduction grants allowed school districts and campuses to offer programs based on lengthening the school year as alternatives to retention. These programs provided additional instruction to students who needed extra assistance to master the first-grade objectives in the Essential Elements-the state-mandated curriculum in place at that time. The pilots were extended to the second grade in 1994-95.

School districts not receiving retention reduction grants could apply to the commissioner of education for approval to provide extended year programs (TEC §21.563, Optional Extended Year Program, 1994). Optional extended year programs (OEYPs) of up to 45 days in length could be provided to students in Grades K-8 who would otherwise be retained. To fund the programs, school districts could reduce the number of instructional days during the regular school year by five.

In 1995, the Texas Education Code was reviewed and readopted. In the new code, the provisions on promotion, Student Advancement, reiterated that students be promoted only on the basis of academic achievement or demonstrated proficiency in the subject matter of the course or grade level (TEC $\S 28.021,1996$ ). At the same time, the language regulating local promotion and retention policies was repealed. In April 1996, the SBOE rules regulating local policies, including restrictions on the number of times students could be retained in grade, were also repealed. The definition of students at risk of dropping out was carried forward (TEC §29.081, 1996).

The 1995 TEC revisions included a single set of provisions for extended year programs (TEC §29.082, 1996). Commissioner of education rules implementing the OEYP were adopted to be effective in May 1996 (19 TAC $\S 105.1001,1997$ ). Districts were required to promote each student who attended at least 90 percent of the extended year program days, unless the student's parents requested that the student be retained. If the parents requested retention, the student's principal, teacher, and counselor were required to meet with the parents to provide information on the effects of retention on future academic performance, student self-esteem, and high school completion. The commissioner of education was directed to withhold 5 percent of the Foundation School Program compensatory education allotment to finance extended year programs. This increased to about $\$ 50$ million a year the allocation for extended year programs for students in Grades K-8 who were identified as not likely to be promoted. Districts could use portions of their compensatory education allotments or apply for state funds to implement extended year programs. Although districts had to apply for state OEYP funds, they were no longer required to apply for approval to operate OEYPs funded locally.

The promotion policies implemented in Texas public schools in 2002-03 built on the state curriculum and assessment programs that had been developed over many years. In 1984, Texas first adopted a state curriculum, known as the Essential Elements (19 TAC Chapter 75, Subchapters B-D, 1984). Over the years, the rigor of knowledge and skills required of students increased. A revised curriculum, the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS), was adopted by the SBOE and became effective on September 1, 1998 (19 TAC Chapters 110-128, 1998). By state law and SBOE rule, the TEKS in the foundation areas of English language arts and reading, mathematics, science, and social studies are required for use in instruction and statewide assessment. The TEKS have been widely distributed to schools and to the public. Professional development on TEKS implementation in the classroom has been available statewide.

The state testing program known as TAAS was introduced in 1990. When last administered in 2002, the TAAS measured mastery of the state curriculum in reading and mathematics at Grades 3-8 and 10 ; in writing at Grades 4,8 , and 10 ; and in science and social studies at Grade 8. The Grade 10 tests served as an exit-level examination. As was the case under the previous testing program, the Texas Educational Assessment of Minimum Skills (TEAMS), satisfactory performance on the exitlevel examination was a prerequisite to a high school diploma.

In 2002-03, a new, more rigorous state assessment system, the Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS), was introduced. Like the TAAS, the TAKS is aligned with the state curriculum.

State testing procedures allow accommodations for students who need them. Spanish-language versions of the tests are available to assess the progress of students proficient in Spanish but not yet proficient in English. Through 2008-09, Spanish-language TAKS tests were available in Grades 3-6 (TEC $\S 39.023$, 2007). In 2009, the 81st Texas Legislature eliminated the Grade 6 Spanish-language TAKS tests, beginning with the 2009-10 school year (TEC §39.023, 2009). In some circumstances, students are exempted from the standard assessments. The admission, review, and dismissal (ARD) committees responsible for students receiving special education services may specify alternate assessment instruments (TEC $\S 39.023,2009$ ).

Since 1995, Texas statute has stipulated that "a student may be promoted only on the basis of academic achievement or demonstrated proficiency of the subject matter of the course or grade level" (TEC §28.021, 1996). In 1999, specific provisions linking test performance, promotion, and instruction were added (TEC $\S 28.0211,1999$ ). From 2002-03 to 2008-09, students in Grade 3 were required to pass the state reading test to advance to Grade 4 . Students in Grade 5 were required to pass the reading and mathematics tests beginning in 2004-05. Starting in 2007-08, students in Grade 8 were required to pass the reading and mathematics tests. Students are given three opportunities to pass the tests. School districts are required to provide accelerated instruction in the subject areas failed after each test administration. An accelerated instruction group may not have a ratio of more than 10 students for each teacher. For students experiencing difficulties, accelerated instruction will provide the opportunity to engage in more intensive, more targeted, and more supportive reading and mathematics instruction (19 TAC $\S 101.2001,2004)$. The goal is for students to acquire the skills needed to advance to the next grade with their classmates.

If a student fails the test a second time, the district must establish a grade placement committee (GPC) to determine the accelerated instruction the student will receive before the third testing opportunity. Each GPC consists of the principal or a designee, the parent or guardian of the student, and the teacher of the student in the subject of the test the student failed. The GPC may determine the student should take an alternate assessment. A student who fails to perform satisfactorily on the third opportunity is to be retained. A parent or guardian may appeal the retention decision to the GPC. The GPC may decide in favor of advancement if committee members unanimously conclude, based on standards adopted by the local school board, that the student is likely to perform on grade level if given additional accelerated instruction during the next school year.

In 2009, the 81 st Texas Legislature stipulated that students in Grade 5 or Grade 8 who fail the TAKS reading or mathematics test must complete accelerated instruction before they may be promoted to the next grade level (TEC §28.0211, 2009). Districts anticipated difficulty implementing the provision for students who fail the third administration of an SSI test, which occurs during the summer. To help districts and charter schools meet the requirement, the Texas Education Agency developed a waiver allowing promotion of such students to the next grade level prior to the completion of accelerated instruction. A district or charter school applying for the waiver must: identify the intensive instruction each student needs; target the instruction to the TAKS objectives on which each student demonstrated weakness; ensure each student completes the instruction during the first six weeks of the school year; and document that each student has completed the instruction.

## State Support for the Student Success Initiative

## Overview

State education initiatives have provided support in reading and mathematics to prepare students to meet assessment-based promotion requirements. In 1999, the 76th Texas Legislature approved implementation of the Student Success Initiative (SSI), which has three components: professional development, diagnostic and assessment instruments, and accelerated instruction.

## Professional Development

Through the SSI, reading and mathematics academies for teachers were established. The reading academies provided training to kindergarten teachers in 1999-00 and to kindergarten and first-grade teachers in 2000-01. Training of second-grade teachers began in spring 2001, of third-grade teachers in 2002, and of fourth-grade teachers in fall 2003. The training continues to be offered in a small number of education service centers (ESCs) and is also available in electronic format. Teachers can access the materials online through the Vaughn Gross Center for Reading and Language Arts.

Additional professional development opportunities for kindergarten through fourth-grade reading teachers have been supported by the federal Reading First grant program, authorized by the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 2002. The Texas Reading First Initiative, a $\$ 39$ million grant program that ended in August of 2010, required participants to implement scientifically based reading programs and instructional methods to improve reading skills for students in Grades K-3.

Beginning in 2007, middle school English language arts (ELA), mathematics, science, and social studies teachers were invited to participate in the Texas Adolescent Literacy Academies. The academies provide content area teachers with reading strategies for use in general education classrooms. The middle school reading academies are offered through each of the 20 ESCs and will be made available in electronic format during the 2010-11 school year.

Mathematics academies were established in summer 2002 to provide training for fifth- and sixthgrade teachers. In summer 2003, the academies offered training for seventh-grade teachers and, in summer 2010, expanded to include Middle School Students in Texas: Algebra Ready (MSTAR) academies for fifth- through eighth-grade mathematics teachers. MSTAR academies familiarize participants with the Texas Response to Curriculum Focal Points, a document based on the TEKS for mathematics in Grades K-8 that identifies critical areas for mathematics instruction at each grade level. Training in classroom application of the focal points is designed to improve instruction in mathematics and, thereby, reduce the need for student interventions.

In addition to the academies, master teacher certification programs in reading and mathematics were established. Master teachers are designated by their school districts to teach and mentor other teachers on high-need campuses and are eligible to receive year-end stipends through state grants.

The Texas Education Agency and the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board formed a partnership to address requirements and standards for highly qualified mathematics teachers under ESEA. The partnership provides resources to teachers of middle school mathematics, Algebra I, Algebra II, geometry, and precalculus. Targeted professional development for mathematics teachers has included information and training on best practices for teaching algebra and geometry and for teaching mathematics to students participating in bilingual and English as a second language programs.

## Diagnostic and Assessment Instruments

Diagnostic and assessment data are used to identify students struggling with reading or mathematics and to monitor their progress. Resources for reading include early reading instruments for all students in Grades K-2 and the Texas Middle School Fluency Assessment for Grade 7 students who did not pass the Grade 6 TAKS reading test. Resources for mathematics include online diagnostics for Grades 3-8, Algebra I, Algebra II, and geometry. A universal screener that measures algebra readiness in middle school students is also available. Through professional development, teachers are instructed in administering reading and mathematics instruments, interpreting results, and providing graduated interventions for struggling students.

## Accelerated Instruction

Students identified as at risk for reading or mathematics difficulties receive accelerated instruction. In 1999-00, school districts began providing accelerated reading instruction (ARI) to students in kindergarten. In 2003, the 78th Texas Legislature appropriated funds for ARI and accelerated mathematics instruction (AMI) for students in Grades K-5. The ARI/AMI grant program expanded to include Grades K-8 in 2007-08.

Following the 2008-09 school year, the ARI/AMI grant program was eliminated. However, the 81st Texas Legislature appropriated $\$ 44.2$ million for Student Success Initiative grants in 2009-10 to help districts meet the accelerated instruction requirements under TEC $\S 28.006(\mathrm{~g})$ and $\S 28.0211(\mathrm{a}-1)$ and (a-2). Funds for each applicant district were allocated based on the number of students in the district who did not pass the first administration of the 2009 TAKS reading test in Grade 3 and mathematics test in Grade 5. Districts could use the funds to provide accelerated instruction to students in Grades K-12 in the four core content areas: ELA/reading, mathematics, science, and social studies.

In addition to accelerated instruction programs, SSI funds have been used to support intensive reading and mathematics instruction programs (IRI/IMI). Funds were appropriated for IRI/IMI programs in schools that had failed to improve student performance in reading and mathematics. IRI/IMI funding was made available to schools from 2003 through 2008.

# Retention Reporting for 2008-09 

Definitions and Calculations
State Summary
Grade-Level Retention by Grade
Grade-Level Retention by Ethnicity
Grade-Level Retention by Gender
Grade-Level Retention by Economic Status
Grade-Level Retention
by Limited English Proficiency Status
Grade-Level Retention of Special Education Students by Primary Disability

Grade-Level Retention by At-Risk, Immigrant, Migrant, and Overage Student Characteristics

Grade-Level Retention by Career and Technical Education, Gifted and Talented, and Title I Program Participation

## Definitions and Calculations

Grade retention has been defined as requiring a child to repeat a particular grade or delaying entry to kindergarten or first grade. This definition of retention-repetition of a grade or delayed entryapplies primarily to Grades K-6. The same grade level in successive years in high school does not necessarily represent the repetition of a full year's curriculum, as it does in elementary school. Secondary school programs are structured around individual courses. Because passing and failing are determined at the level of the course and credits are awarded for courses completed successfully, the concept of a "grade level" becomes more fluid. Students who fail to earn credit in a single course or take fewer courses than required in one year may be classified at the same grade level in two consecutive years. Practices in Grades 7 and 8 may be like those in elementary school or like those in high school, depending on local school district policies.

Data used in this report on the grade levels of all students in the Texas public school system were drawn from the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) (Texas Education Code [TEC] §29.083, 2009). Data on student characteristics and program participation were also available in PEIMS. Data on Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) performance were provided to the Texas Education Agency (TEA) by a state contractor, Pearson.

Retention rates for the 2008-09 school year were calculated by comparing 2008-09 attendance records to fall 2009 enrollment records. Students who left the Texas public school system for any reason other than graduation were excluded from the total student count. Students new to the Texas public school system in fall 2009 were also excluded. Students who enrolled both years or graduated were included in the total student count. Students found to have been enrolled in the same grade in both years were counted as retained. Students found to have been in a higher grade in fall 2009 than in 2008-09 were counted as promoted. Students reported to have had improbable grade sequences were assigned an "unknown" promotion status. Retention rates were calculated by dividing number of students retained by total student count. Because of the criteria used, student counts in this report differ from those in other agency publications.

Retention rates have been calculated by TEA based on year-to-year progress of individual students since 1994-95. Prior to the 1998-99 school year, the retention calculations included only students who were enrolled on the last Friday in October. Beginning in 1998-99, additional enrollment data for Grades 7-12 were collected by TEA to calculate the secondary school dropout and completion rates. This collection expanded available Grades 7-12 enrollment data beyond students enrolled the last Friday in October to include students enrolled at any time during the fall. The change in the retention calculation allowed more secondary school students to be included and made the calculation of the retention rate more like that of the secondary school dropout and completion rates. Expanded enrollment data were not collected for Grades K-6, so the method of calculating enrollment counts for Grades K-6 was unchanged.

The source for information on limited English proficiency (LEP) status was changed beginning with 2003-04 retention rates. Prior to 2003-04, LEP status was drawn from fall enrollment records.

Beginning in 2003-04, LEP status was drawn from the PEIMS summer data collection; the data collection includes students identified as LEP at any time during the school year. In addition, the determination of LEP students not receiving special education or language services was changed for 2003-04. Prior to 2003-04, LEP students who did not receive bilingual, English as a second language (ESL), or special education services were identified as not receiving services. Beginning in 2003-04, LEP students who did not receive bilingual, ESL, or special education services and those whose parents did not give permission for participation in special language programs were identified as not receiving services.

TAKS is the primary statewide assessment of student performance. TAKS (Accommodated), introduced in spring 2008, is designed for students served in special education programs whose academic achievement and progress can be measured appropriately using the general assessment. TAKS (Accommodated) is not an alternate assessment. It is the TAKS test with format accommodations (larger font, fewer items per page, etc.) and no embedded field-test items. Students who meet the eligibility requirements for specific accommodations, as determined by their admission, review, and dismissal (ARD) committees, may be assessed with TAKS (Accommodated). As with TAKS, TAKS (Accommodated) Spanish-version tests were available in Grades 3-6 in 2009.

Pearson provided TEA with student-level data for English- and, where applicable, Spanishlanguage TAKS in each grade level and subject area assessed. For each subject area test, each student received either a score or a code for the reason no score was reported. The code identified students who were absent, exempted from testing by language proficiency assessment committees, removed from the test for illness or cheating, had parental waivers, or had ARD committee exemptions. Results presented in this report for TAKS are based on TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) combined.

TAKS reading and mathematics results are reported as scale scores. A scale score is derived by converting a student's raw score on a test (the number of questions answered correctly) onto a scale that is common to all versions of that test. The scale score takes into account the difficulty level of the specific set of questions on which it is based and quantifies the student's performance relative to the passing standard or proficiency level for the test. Through 2009, the Texas assessment program used a type of scale known as a horizontal scale, which allows comparison of performance across different test administrations but not across grades.

To meet state legislative requirements (TEC §39.036, 2009), vertical scales were developed in 2009 for English-language TAKS reading and mathematics tests in Grades 3-8 and Spanish-language TAKS reading and mathematics tests in Grades 3-5. With a vertical scale, a student's scale score in one grade can be compared to the student's scale score in another grade, as long as the tests are in the same language (English or Spanish) and subject. This makes it possible to determine the amount of progress the student has made in a given subject. For TAKS assessments that are reported on a vertical scale, each grade and subject will have its own score that indicates passing performance. Grades 9 and 10 were not included in these requirements and remained unchanged from previous years. The 2009 vertical scale scores were not used for accountability purposes in 2008-09 but are presented in this report to compare 2009 and 2010 TAKS results.

Under the Student Success Initiative, students in Grades 3, 5, and 8 were given three opportunities to pass specified TAKS tests. The three testing opportunities applied to the thirdgrade TAKS reading test, between 2002-03 and 2008-09; the fifth-grade TAKS reading and mathematics tests, beginning in 2004-05; and the eighth-grade TAKS reading and mathematics tests, beginning in 2007-08. In cases where answer documents were submitted for students in more than one administration, the score from the latest administration was used. In cases where students had more than one record in an administration, highest scores attained were used.

Some of the analyses in this report required that promotion status and test performance be analyzed jointly. For these analyses, matching student records had to appear in the promotion database and in the test database. Student records in the promotion database were matched to student records in the test database based on social security number, last name, first name, and date of birth. Records that could not be matched were excluded. In some cases, students participated in spring 2009 TAKS testing but were not enrolled in a Texas public school in fall 2009. In other cases, students enrolled in school after spring 2009 TAKS testing, and so had records in the promotion database but not in the test database. Finally, some records failed to match because of errors in reporting student identification data.

In 1991, the 72nd Texas Legislature required the agency to report biennially on students retained in grade (TEC §11.204, 1992). The first report, for the 1991-92 school year, was submitted to the legislature in May 1993 (TEA, 1993). Comprehensive biennial reports to the legislature in 1993, 1995, 1997, and 1999 included sections on retention, disaggregated by grade level. In 2001, the 77th Legislature required that TEA produce an annual, rather than biennial, comprehensive report to the legislature that included information on retention (TEA, 2001b). Senate Bill 702, §9, expanded reporting on retention to include "retention and performance" (TEC §39.182, 2001). In addition, the agency has produced more detailed annual reports on retention since 1995 (TEA, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2001a, 2002a, 2002b, 2004a, 2004b, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008a, 2010).

Because rates for smaller groups tend to be less stable over time, comparisons of rates across ethnic groups can be misleading when one group is small compared to other groups. The Native American student population in Texas is small in number, compared to other ethnic groups. As a result, references in this report to "all ethnic groups" do not include Native American students; instead, they are limited to African American, Asian/Pacific Islander, Hispanic, and White students.

## State Summary

In the 2008-09 school year, 4.0 percent $(177,701)$ of students in kindergarten through Grade 12 were retained (Table 1 ). The rate decreased by 0.5 percentage points from the previous year. The retention rate for females was 3.3 percent, and the rate for males was 4.7 percent. Male students made up 60.2 percent of all students retained.

As in 2007-08, retention rates for African American and Hispanic students were over twice that for White students (Figure 1). In the 2008-09 school year, 2.4 percent of White students were retained, compared to 5.1 percent of both African American and Hispanic students. Retention rates for African American and Hispanic students decreased from the previous year by 0.8 and 0.6 percentage points, respectively. The rate for White students decreased by 0.3 percentage points. Although 61.3 percent of students enrolled in Texas public schools were African American or Hispanic, 77.4 percent of students retained in the public schools were from one of these two ethnic groups.

Figure 1
Grade-Level Retention, by Ethnicity and Economic Status, Texas Public Schools, 2007-08 and 2008-09


Table 1
Grade-Level Retention, by Ethnicity, Economic Status, Gender, and Grade Span, Texas Public Schools, 2007-08 and 2008-09

| Group | 2007-08 |  |  | 2008-09 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All students | Retained | Rate (\%) | All students | Retained | Rate (\%) |
| African American | 608,653 | 35,821 | 5.9 | 616,787 | 31,280 | 5.1 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 149,293 | 2,587 | 1.7 | 159,382 | 2,580 | 1.6 |
| Hispanic | 2,013,773 | 114,248 | 5.7 | 2,089,897 | 106,229 | 5.1 |
| Native American | 14,861 | 598 | 4.0 | 15,338 | 595 | 3.9 |
| White | 1,537,924 | 41,012 | 2.7 | 1,532,116 | 37,017 | 2.4 |
| Economically disadvantaged | 2,281,662 | 127,408 | 5.6 | 2,237,090 | 99,520 | 4.4 |
| Not economically disadvantaged | 2,042,842 | 66,858 | 3.3 | 2,176,430 | 78,181 | 3.6 |
| Female | 2,108,476 | 78,572 | 3.7 | 2,150,878 | 70,779 | 3.3 |
| Male | 2,216,028 | 115,694 | 5.2 | 2,262,642 | 106,922 | 4.7 |
| Grades K-6 | 2,437,172 | 68,792 | 2.8 | 2,490,851 | 64,223 | 2.6 |
| Grades 7-12 | 1,887,332 | 125,474 | 6.6 | 1,922,669 | 113,478 | 5.9 |
| State | 4,324,504 | 194,266 | 4.5 | 4,413,520 | 177,701 | 4.0 |

## Grade-Level Retention <br> by Grade

## Elementary Grades

Across Grades K-6 in 2008-09, the retention rate was much higher in first grade (5.6\%) than in any other grade (Table 2). The lowest rate in the elementary grades was in Grade $6(0.8 \%)$. Compared to 2007-08, the retention rate in each elementary grade decreased by at least 0.2 percentage points (Figure 2).

Figure 2
Grade-Level Retention, Grades K-6, by Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2007-08 and 2008-09


Table 2
Grade-Level Retention, Grades K-6, by Grade, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 Through 2008-09

| Year | K |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) |
| 1999-00 | 7,941 | 2.8 | 19,505 | 6.3 | 9,852 | 3.3 | 6,862 | 2.3 |
| 2000-01 | 9,110 | 3.2 | 19,529 | 6.3 | 11,001 | 3.6 | 7,659 | 2.5 |
| 2001-02 | 9,968 | 3.4 | 20,094 | 6.4 | 11,066 | 3.6 | 7,636 | 2.4 |
| 2002-03 | 11,049 | 3.6 | 20,180 | 6.3 | 11,184 | 3.6 | 8,924 | 2.8 |
| 2003-04 | 11,684 | 3.7 | 21,101 | 6.4 | 11,648 | 3.7 | 8,196 | 2.6 |
| 2004-05 | 12,190 | 3.7 | 21,496 | 6.4 | 11,859 | 3.6 | 10,366 | 3.2 |
| 2005-06 | 12,559 | 3.7 | 22,540 | 6.4 | 12,477 | 3.7 | 9,758 | 2.9 |
| 2006-07 | 12,446 | 3.6 | 23,170 | 6.3 | 12,383 | 3.6 | 9,442 | 2.8 |
| 2007-08 | 11,457 | 3.3 | 21,852 | 5.9 | 12,132 | 3.4 | 8,918 | 2.6 |
| 2008-09 | 11,036 | 3.1 | 20,970 | 5.6 | 11,288 | 3.1 | 8,418 | 2.3 |


| Year | 4 |  | 5 |  | 6 |  | Total K-6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) |
| 1999-00 | 4,014 | 1.3 | 2,938 | 1.0 | 4,906 | 1.7 | 56,018 | 2.7 |
| 2000-01 | 4,405 | 1.4 | 2,789 | 0.9 | 4,824 | 1.6 | 59,317 | 2.8 |
| 2001-02 | 4,043 | 1.3 | 2,591 | 0.8 | 4,414 | 1.4 | 59,812 | 2.8 |
| 2002-03 | 4,843 | 1.5 | 3,109 | 1.0 | 4,563 | 1.4 | 63,852 | 2.9 |
| 2003-04 | 5,147 | 1.6 | 3,225 | 1.0 | 4,795 | 1.5 | 65,796 | 2.9 |
| 2004-05 | 5,630 | 1.8 | 11,159 | 3.5 | 4,901 | 1.5 | 77,601 | 3.4 |
| 2005-06 | 5,665 | 1.8 | 8,891 | 2.7 | 4,066 | 1.3 | 75,956 | 3.3 |
| 2006-07 | 5,351 | 1.6 | 7,288 | 2.2 | 3,816 | 1.2 | 73,896 | 3.1 |
| 2007-08 | 4,505 | 1.3 | 6,746 | 2.0 | 3,182 | 1.0 | 68,792 | 2.8 |
| 2008-09 | 3,984 | 1.1 | 5,735 | 1.7 | 2,792 | 0.8 | 64,223 | 2.6 |

## Grade-Level Retention <br> by Grade

## Secondary Grades

Retention in the secondary grades does not necessarily take the same form as retention in the elementary grades. Whereas a student retained in the elementary grades is likely to repeat a year's curriculum in its entirety, a student retained in the secondary grades may only need to repeat a single course. In Grades 9-12, a student can be classified at the same grade level for two years because one course out of six or seven was not completed successfully. In such a case, the student may be able to "catch up" with his or her peers by making up the required course.

In 2008-09, the overall retention rate for Grades 7-12 was 5.9 percent (Table 3). Across secondary grades, Grade 9 continued to have the highest retention rate ( $12.3 \%$ ), despite dropping 2.4 percentage points from the previous year (Figure 3 and Table 3). The lowest retention rate was in Grade $7(1.3 \%)$. Compared to 2007-08, the retention rate in each secondary grade decreased by at least 0.1 percentage points.

Figure 3
Grade-Level Retention, Grades 7-12, by Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2007-08 and 2008-09


Table 3
Grade-Level Retention, Grades 7-12, by Grade, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 Through 2008-09

| Year | 7 |  | 8 |  | 9 |  | 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) |
| 1999-00 | 8,513 | 2.9 | 6,169 | 2.1 | 58,451 | 17.7 | 19,923 | 7.9 |
| 2000-01 | 7,762 | 2.5 | 6,353 | 2.1 | 58,363 | 17.4 | 21,754 | 8.1 |
| 2001-02 | 6,959 | 2.2 | 5,642 | 1.9 | 57,761 | 16.9 | 22,726 | 8.2 |
| 2002-03 | 7,489 | 2.3 | 5,926 | 1.9 | 57,197 | 16.4 | 24,751 | 8.8 |
| 2003-04 | 7,372 | 2.3 | 6,099 | 1.9 | 58,252 | 16.5 | 24,621 | 8.5 |
| 2004-05 | 7,710 | 2.3 | 5,969 | 1.8 | 58,605 | 16.2 | 25,399 | 8.7 |
| 2005-06 | 7,313 | 2.2 | 5,839 | 1.8 | 60,726 | 16.5 | 26,232 | 8.7 |
| 2006-07 | 5,663 | 1.7 | 4,943 | 1.5 | 57,213 | 15.4 | 25,242 | 8.3 |
| 2007-08 | 5,052 | 1.5 | 6,323 | 1.9 | 54,831 | 14.7 | 22,214 | 7.2 |
| 2008-09 | 4,267 | 1.3 | 5,165 | 1.5 | 45,016 | 12.3 | 21,125 | 6.8 |


|  | 11 |  |  | 12 |  |  | Total 7-12 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | Retained | Rate $(\%)$ |  | Retained | Rate $(\%)$ |  | Retained | Rate (\%) |
| $1999-00$ | 12,806 | 5.8 |  | 9,631 | 4.5 |  | 115,493 | 7.2 |
| $2000-01$ | 13,440 | 5.9 |  | 10,411 | 4.7 |  | 118,083 | 7.1 |
| $2001-02$ | 13,763 | 5.8 |  | 10,677 | 4.6 |  | 117,528 | 6.9 |
| $2002-03$ | 13,643 | 5.6 |  | 11,356 | 4.7 |  | 120,362 | 6.9 |
| $2003-04$ | 13,643 | 5.5 |  | 11,254 | 4.5 |  | 121,241 | 6.8 |
| $2004-05$ | 14,658 | 5.7 |  | 12,018 | 4.9 |  | 124,359 | 6.9 |
| $2005-06$ | 15,982 | 6.1 |  | 16,828 | 6.6 |  | 132,920 | 7.2 |
| $2006-07$ | 15,800 | 5.9 |  | 19,342 | 7.5 |  | 128,203 | 6.9 |
| $2007-08$ | 15,530 | 5.7 |  | 21,524 | 8.0 |  | 125,474 | 6.6 |
| $2008-09$ | 15,855 | 5.6 |  | 22,050 |  | 7.8 | 113,478 | 5.9 |

## Grade-Level Retention <br> by Ethnicity

## Elementary Grades

In 2008-09, African American and Hispanic students had higher retention rates than their White counterparts in all elementary grades except kindergarten (Table 4). In Grades 2-5, African American and Hispanic students were over twice as likely to be retained as White students. Nevertheless, between 2007-08 and 2008-09, rates at the elementary level dropped for all ethnic groups. Grade 1 retention rates for all ethnic groups declined overall between 1999-00 and 2008-09 (Figure 4).

Figure 4
Grade-Level Retention, Grade 1, by Ethnicity, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 Through 2008-09


Table 4
Grade-Level Retention, Grades K-6, by Grade and Ethnicity, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 Through 2008-09

| Year | African American |  | Asian/ Pacific Islander |  | Hispanic |  | Native American |  | White |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) |
| Kindergarten |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 952 | 2.5 | 90 | 1.3 | 3,504 | 2.8 | 30 | 3.4 | 3,365 | 3.1 |
| 2000-01 | 1,099 | 2.9 | 106 | 1.4 | 3,988 | 3.0 | 40 | 3.8 | 3,877 | 3.6 |
| 2001-02 | 1,163 | 3.0 | 119 | 1.5 | 4,476 | 3.2 | 45 | 4.5 | 4,165 | 3.8 |
| 2002-03 | 1,392 | 3.4 | 126 | 1.4 | 5,251 | 3.6 | 44 | 4.3 | 4,236 | 3.8 |
| 2003-04 | 1,410 | 3.4 | 147 | 1.6 | 5,437 | 3.6 | 55 | 5.2 | 4,635 | 4.1 |
| 2004-05 | 1,513 | 3.5 | 148 | 1.5 | 5,684 | 3.6 | 53 | 4.8 | 4,792 | 4.2 |
| 2005-06 | 1,562 | 3.4 | 187 | 1.7 | 5,969 | 3.5 | 56 | 5.0 | 4,785 | 4.1 |
| 2006-07 | 1,525 | 3.3 | 191 | 1.6 | 5,930 | 3.4 | 66 | 5.5 | 4,734 | 4.1 |
| 2007-08 | 1,418 | 3.1 | 210 | 1.8 | 5,470 | 3.1 | 46 | 3.7 | 4,313 | 3.8 |
| 2008-09 | 1,372 | 2.9 | 197 | 1.5 | 5,313 | 2.9 | 46 | 3.6 | 4,108 | 3.6 |
| Grade 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 3,515 | 7.8 | 202 | 2.8 | 10,533 | 7.8 | 50 | 5.6 | 5,205 | 4.3 |
| 2000-01 | 3,379 | 7.7 | 208 | 2.6 | 10,830 | 7.7 | 46 | 4.8 | 5,066 | 4.3 |
| 2001-02 | 3,280 | 7.5 | 208 | 2.4 | 11,606 | 8.0 | 52 | 4.9 | 4,948 | 4.2 |
| 2002-03 | 3,310 | 7.6 | 208 | 2.3 | 11,683 | 7.7 | 72 | 6.9 | 4,907 | 4.2 |
| 2003-04 | 3,513 | 7.8 | 198 | 2.0 | 12,431 | 7.9 | 66 | 6.0 | 4,893 | 4.2 |
| 2004-05 | 3,440 | 7.6 | 216 | 2.2 | 12,900 | 7.8 | 56 | 5.2 | 4,884 | 4.2 |
| 2005-06 | 4,001 | 8.3 | 192 | 1.8 | 13,454 | 7.8 | 72 | 6.2 | 4,821 | 4.1 |
| 2006-07 | 3,935 | 7.9 | 221 | 1.9 | 13,979 | 7.7 | 75 | 6.3 | 4,960 | 4.1 |
| 2007-08 | 3,719 | 7.5 | 235 | 1.8 | 13,338 | 7.1 | 65 | 5.2 | 4,495 | 3.8 |
| 2008-09 | 3,404 | 6.8 | 229 | 1.7 | 12,921 | 6.8 | 74 | 5.5 | 4,342 | 3.7 |
| Grade 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 1,811 | 4.2 | 110 | 1.5 | 5,787 | 4.5 | 22 | 2.5 | 2,122 | 1.7 |
| 2000-01 | 2,081 | 4.6 | 141 | 1.8 | 6,611 | 4.9 | 21 | 2.3 | 2,147 | 1.8 |
| 2001-02 | 2,011 | 4.5 | 122 | 1.4 | 6,684 | 4.8 | 40 | 4.2 | 2,209 | 1.9 |
| 2002-03 | 1,941 | 4.5 | 102 | 1.2 | 6,895 | 4.8 | 36 | 3.4 | 2,210 | 1.9 |
| 2003-04 | 2,098 | 4.9 | 110 | 1.1 | 7,264 | 4.9 | 36 | 3.5 | 2,140 | 1.8 |
| 2004-05 | 2,088 | 4.7 | 148 | 1.4 | 7,464 | 4.8 | 32 | 2.9 | 2,127 | 1.8 |
| 2005-06 | 2,422 | 5.1 | 118 | 1.1 | 7,684 | 4.7 | 33 | 3.1 | 2,220 | 1.9 |
| 2006-07 | 2,178 | 4.6 | 129 | 1.1 | 7,932 | 4.7 | 28 | 2.4 | 2,116 | 1.8 |
| 2007-08 | 2,193 | 4.5 | 158 | 1.3 | 7,570 | 4.2 | 32 | 2.8 | 2,179 | 1.8 |
| 2008-09 | 1,929 | 3.9 | 158 | 1.2 | 7,200 | 3.9 | 40 | 3.2 | 1,961 | 1.7 |
| Grade 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 1,497 | 3.4 | 75 | 1.0 | 3,902 | 3.1 | 11 | 1.3 | 1,377 | 1.1 |
| 2000-01 | 1,662 | 3.7 | 88 | 1.1 | 4,450 | 3.4 | 18 | 2.0 | 1,441 | 1.2 |
| 2001-02 | 1,694 | 3.7 | 88 | 1.0 | 4,573 | 3.3 | 17 | 1.8 | 1,264 | 1.1 |
| 2002-03 | 1,891 | 4.2 | 127 | 1.4 | 5,494 | 3.9 | 17 | 1.8 | 1,395 | 1.2 |
| 2003-04 | 1,680 | 3.8 | 97 | 1.0 | 5,160 | 3.5 | 12 | 1.2 | 1,247 | 1.1 |

Table 4 (continued)
Grade-Level Retention, Grades K-6, by Grade and Ethnicity, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 Through 2008-09

|  | African American |  | Asian/ <br> Pacific Islander |  | Hispanic |  | Native American |  | White |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) |
| 2004-05 | 1,955 | 4.5 | 116 | 1.2 | 6,758 | 4.5 | 23 | 2.3 | 1,514 | 1.3 |
| 2005-06 | 2,146 | 4.6 | 112 | 1.0 | 6,187 | 3.9 | 21 | 1.9 | 1,292 | 1.1 |
| 2006-07 | 1,832 | 3.9 | 120 | 1.1 | 6,056 | 3.7 | 22 | 2.0 | 1,412 | 1.2 |
| 2007-08 | 1,817 | 3.8 | 95 | 0.8 | 5,616 | 3.3 | 18 | 1.6 | 1,372 | 1.2 |
| 2008-09 | 1,555 | 3.1 | 122 | 0.9 | 5,476 | 3.1 | 22 | 1.9 | 1,243 | 1.0 |
| Grade 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 846 | 2.0 | 46 | 0.6 | 2,217 | 1.9 | 6 | 0.7 | 899 | 0.7 |
| 2000-01 | 986 | 2.2 | 46 | 0.6 | 2,423 | 1.9 | 15 | 1.7 | 935 | 0.7 |
| 2001-02 | 899 | 2.0 | 42 | 0.5 | 2,261 | 1.7 | 10 | 1.1 | 831 | 0.7 |
| 2002-03 | 1,013 | 2.2 | 42 | 0.5 | 2,860 | 2.1 | 12 | 1.2 | 916 | 0.8 |
| 2003-04 | 1,102 | 2.5 | 48 | 0.5 | 3,053 | 2.2 | 13 | 1.4 | 931 | 0.8 |
| 2004-05 | 1,171 | 2.7 | 50 | 0.5 | 3,435 | 2.3 | 15 | 1.4 | 959 | 0.8 |
| 2005-06 | 1,311 | 2.9 | 55 | 0.5 | 3,339 | 2.2 | 12 | 1.2 | 948 | 0.8 |
| 2006-07 | 1,133 | 2.4 | 50 | 0.4 | 3,257 | 2.1 | 13 | 1.2 | 898 | 0.8 |
| 2007-08 | 924 | 1.9 | 39 | 0.3 | 2,746 | 1.7 | 12 | 1.1 | 784 | 0.7 |
| 2008-09 | 756 | 1.6 | 54 | 0.4 | 2,448 | 1.4 | 13 | 1.1 | 713 | 0.6 |
| Grade 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 612 | 1.5 | 37 | 0.5 | 1,445 | 1.3 | 8 | 1.0 | 836 | 0.7 |
| 2000-01 | 539 | 1.2 | 41 | 0.5 | 1,358 | 1.1 | 7 | 0.8 | 844 | 0.7 |
| 2001-02 | 473 | 1.0 | 41 | 0.5 | 1,323 | 1.0 | 9 | 1.0 | 745 | 0.6 |
| 2002-03 | 610 | 1.3 | 37 | 0.4 | 1,604 | 1.2 | 14 | 1.4 | 844 | 0.7 |
| 2003-04 | 677 | 1.5 | 50 | 0.6 | 1,636 | 1.2 | 12 | 1.2 | 850 | 0.7 |
| 2004-05 | 2,362 | 5.3 | 115 | 1.2 | 6,857 | 4.8 | 24 | 2.5 | 1,801 | 1.5 |
| 2005-06 | 1,939 | 4.1 | 76 | 0.8 | 5,449 | 3.6 | 28 | 2.5 | 1,399 | 1.2 |
| 2006-07 | 1,450 | 3.1 | 68 | 0.6 | 4,551 | 2.9 | 23 | 2.1 | 1,196 | 1.0 |
| 2007-08 | 1,360 | 2.9 | 88 | 0.7 | 4,058 | 2.5 | 27 | 2.3 | 1,213 | 1.0 |
| 2008-09 | 1,046 | 2.2 | 63 | 0.5 | 3,571 | 2.1 | 22 | 1.9 | 1,033 | 0.9 |
| Grade 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 880 | 2.1 | 41 | 0.5 | 2,694 | 2.4 | 16 | 1.9 | 1,275 | 1.0 |
| 2000-01 | 980 | 2.2 | 33 | 0.4 | 2,522 | 2.1 | 18 | 2.1 | 1,271 | 1.0 |
| 2001-02 | 856 | 1.9 | 37 | 0.5 | 2,286 | 1.8 | 21 | 2.1 | 1,214 | 0.9 |
| 2002-03 | 916 | 2.0 | 35 | 0.4 | 2,385 | 1.8 | 12 | 1.2 | 1,215 | 0.9 |
| 2003-04 | 1,024 | 2.2 | 26 | 0.3 | 2,454 | 1.8 | 7 | 0.7 | 1,284 | 1.0 |
| 2004-05 | 1,130 | 2.4 | 34 | 0.4 | 2,584 | 1.8 | 17 | 1.6 | 1,136 | 0.9 |
| 2005-06 | 997 | 2.1 | 30 | 0.3 | 2,066 | 1.4 | 12 | 1.2 | 961 | 0.8 |
| 2006-07 | 843 | 1.8 | 23 | 0.2 | 2,084 | 1.4 | 11 | 1.0 | 855 | 0.7 |
| 2007-08 | 640 | 1.4 | 27 | 0.2 | 1,753 | 1.1 | 12 | 1.1 | 750 | 0.6 |
| 2008-09 | 540 | 1.1 | 27 | 0.2 | 1,571 | 1.0 | 10 | 0.8 | 644 | 0.5 |

Table 4 (continued)
Grade-Level Retention, Grades K-6, by Grade and Ethnicity, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 Through 2008-09

| Year | African American |  | Asian/ <br> Pacific Islander |  | Hispanic |  | Native American |  | White |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) |
| Total K-6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 10,113 | 3.4 | 601 | 1.2 | 30,082 | 3.5 | 143 | 2.4 | 15,079 | 1.8 |
| 2000-01 | 10,726 | 3.5 | 663 | 1.2 | 32,182 | 3.6 | 165 | 2.6 | 15,581 | 1.8 |
| 2001-02 | 10,376 | 3.4 | 657 | 1.1 | 33,209 | 3.5 | 194 | 2.9 | 15,376 | 1.8 |
| 2002-03 | 11,073 | 3.6 | 677 | 1.1 | 36,172 | 3.7 | 207 | 3.0 | 15,723 | 1.9 |
| 2003-04 | 11,504 | 3.7 | 676 | 1.0 | 37,435 | 3.6 | 201 | 2.8 | 15,980 | 1.9 |
| 2004-05 | 13,659 | 4.4 | 827 | 1.2 | 45,682 | 4.3 | 220 | 3.0 | 17,213 | 2.1 |
| 2005-06 | 14,378 | 4.4 | 770 | 1.1 | 44,148 | 4.0 | 234 | 3.1 | 16,426 | 2.0 |
| 2006-07 | 12,896 | 3.9 | 802 | 1.0 | 43,789 | 3.8 | 238 | 3.0 | 16,171 | 2.0 |
| 2007-08 | 12,071 | 3.6 | 852 | 1.0 | 40,551 | 3.4 | 212 | 2.6 | 15,106 | 1.8 |
| 2008-09 | 10,602 | 3.1 | 850 | 0.9 | 38,500 | 3.1 | 227 | 2.7 | 14,044 | 1.7 |

## Grade-Level Retention

by Ethnicity

## Secondary Grades

In 2008-09, retention rates for African American and Hispanic students were higher than those for Asian/Pacific Islander and White students in all secondary grades (Table 5 and Figure 5). In Grades 9-12, African American students and Hispanic students were over twice as likely to be retained as White students. For all ethnic groups, rates of retention were highest in Grade 9; nevertheless, the rates in Grade 9 were down from the previous year (Table 5). The decreases ranged from 0.2 percentage points for Asian/Pacific Islander students to 3.3 percentage points for African American students. For the period between 1999-00 and 2008-09, retention rates for all ethnic groups decreased in every secondary grade except Grade 12. In Grade 12, retention rates rose for all ethnic groups, with increases ranging from 0.9 percentage points for Asian/Pacific Islander students to 4.7 percentage points for Hispanic students.

Figure 5
Grade-Level Retention, Grade 9, by Ethnicity, Texas Public Schools, 2008-09


Table 5
Grade-Level Retention, Grades 7-12, by Grade and Ethnicity, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 Through 2008-09

| Year | African American |  | Asian/ Pacific Islander |  | Hispanic |  | Native American |  | White |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) |
| Grade 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 1,562 | 3.7 | 51 | 0.7 | 4,459 | 3.9 | 34 | 4.2 | 2,407 | 1.8 |
| 2000-01 | 1,564 | 3.6 | 52 | 0.6 | 3,996 | 3.3 | 29 | 3.3 | 2,121 | 1.6 |
| 2001-02 | 1,376 | 3.0 | 58 | 0.7 | 3,643 | 2.9 | 28 | 3.1 | 1,854 | 1.4 |
| 2002-03 | 1,413 | 3.0 | 48 | 0.6 | 3,900 | 3.0 | 35 | 3.3 | 2,093 | 1.6 |
| 2003-04 | 1,462 | 3.1 | 62 | 0.7 | 3,907 | 2.8 | 26 | 2.5 | 1,915 | 1.5 |
| 2004-05 | 1,583 | 3.3 | 39 | 0.4 | 4,225 | 3.0 | 15 | 1.4 | 1,848 | 1.4 |
| 2005-06 | 1,567 | 3.1 | 38 | 0.4 | 4,082 | 2.8 | 24 | 2.1 | 1,602 | 1.3 |
| 2006-07 | 1,029 | 2.2 | 51 | 0.5 | 3,317 | 2.2 | 22 | 2.0 | 1,244 | 1.0 |
| 2007-08 | 1,009 | 2.1 | 52 | 0.5 | 2,884 | 1.9 | 10 | 0.8 | 1,097 | 0.9 |
| 2008-09 | 773 | 1.6 | 34 | 0.3 | 2,463 | 1.5 | 14 | 1.2 | 983 | 0.8 |
| Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 964 | 2.4 | 70 | 0.9 | 3,384 | 3.1 | 29 | 3.6 | 1,722 | 1.3 |
| 2000-01 | 1,084 | 2.5 | 66 | 0.9 | 3,350 | 2.9 | 19 | 2.3 | 1,834 | 1.4 |
| 2001-02 | 1,068 | 2.4 | 49 | 0.6 | 2,929 | 2.4 | 27 | 3.2 | 1,569 | 1.2 |
| 2002-03 | 1,038 | 2.3 | 58 | 0.7 | 3,099 | 2.5 | 18 | 1.9 | 1,713 | 1.3 |
| 2003-04 | 1,019 | 2.2 | 54 | 0.6 | 3,211 | 2.5 | 14 | 1.3 | 1,801 | 1.4 |
| 2004-05 | 1,113 | 2.4 | 58 | 0.6 | 3,195 | 2.3 | 16 | 1.5 | 1,587 | 1.2 |
| 2005-06 | 1,146 | 2.3 | 56 | 0.6 | 3,184 | 2.2 | 27 | 2.5 | 1,426 | 1.1 |
| 2006-07 | 848 | 1.7 | 66 | 0.6 | 2,800 | 1.9 | 23 | 2.0 | 1,206 | 1.0 |
| 2007-08 | 1,137 | 2.4 | 68 | 0.6 | 3,762 | 2.6 | 13 | 1.2 | 1,343 | 1.1 |
| 2008-09 | 842 | 1.7 | 72 | 0.6 | 3,184 | 2.0 | 24 | 2.0 | 1,043 | 0.9 |
| Grade 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 11,682 | 24.3 | 642 | 7.8 | 32,382 | 25.2 | 166 | 19.6 | 13,579 | 9.4 |
| 2000-01 | 11,967 | 23.9 | 639 | 7.5 | 32,205 | 24.0 | 153 | 17.3 | 13,399 | 9.4 |
| 2001-02 | 11,266 | 21.9 | 595 | 6.7 | 32,665 | 23.5 | 174 | 18.7 | 13,061 | 9.3 |
| 2002-03 | 10,763 | 20.7 | 513 | 5.3 | 33,055 | 22.7 | 152 | 15.1 | 12,714 | 9.0 |
| 2003-04 | 10,734 | 20.3 | 556 | 5.6 | 33,959 | 22.8 | 161 | 15.0 | 12,842 | 9.2 |
| 2004-05 | 10,659 | 19.7 | 647 | 6.4 | 34,873 | 22.3 | 204 | 16.8 | 12,222 | 8.7 |
| 2005-06 | 11,300 | 20.1 | 669 | 6.3 | 36,453 | 22.2 | 213 | 17.3 | 12,091 | 8.8 |
| 2006-07 | 10,836 | 19.2 | 576 | 5.2 | 34,976 | 20.8 | 168 | 13.4 | 10,657 | 7.9 |
| 2007-08 | 10,467 | 18.3 | 661 | 5.6 | 33,363 | 19.3 | 176 | 13.4 | 10,164 | 7.8 |
| 2008-09 | 8,225 | 15.0 | 678 | 5.4 | 27,841 | 16.2 | 145 | 11.6 | 8,127 | 6.4 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 4,183 | 12.1 | 299 | 4.0 | 9,934 | 11.4 | 53 | 8.4 | 5,454 | 4.4 |
| 2000-01 | 4,473 | 12.0 | 343 | 4.3 | 11,093 | 11.7 | 51 | 7.3 | 5,794 | 4.5 |
| 2001-02 | 4,599 | 11.9 | 343 | 4.1 | 11,987 | 11.9 | 64 | 8.5 | 5,733 | 4.5 |
| 2002-03 | 5,025 | 12.4 | 347 | 4.1 | 13,336 | 12.5 | 59 | 7.7 | 5,984 | 4.8 |
| 2003-04 | 4,879 | 11.7 | 301 | 3.2 | 13,413 | 11.9 | 60 | 7.2 | 5,968 | 4.7 |
| 2004-05 | 4,997 | 11.9 | 402 | 4.2 | 13,846 | 12.2 | 81 | 8.8 | 6,073 | 4.9 |

Table 5 (continued)
Grade-Level Retention, Grades 7-12, by Grade and Ethnicity, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 Through 2008-09

| Year | African American |  | Asian/ <br> Pacific Islander |  | Hispanic |  | Native American |  | White |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) |
| 2005-06 | 5,360 | 12.0 | 385 | 3.9 | 14,196 | 11.8 | 79 | 7.7 | 6,212 | 4.9 |
| 2006-07 | 5,041 | 11.2 | 313 | 3.1 | 14,236 | 11.3 | 71 | 7.0 | 5,581 | 4.6 |
| 2007-08 | 4,534 | 10.0 | 310 | 2.8 | 12,365 | 9.5 | 65 | 6.2 | 4,940 | 4.1 |
| 2008-09 | 4,312 | 9.4 | 318 | 2.8 | 11,822 | 8.8 | 79 | 6.9 | 4,594 | 3.9 |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 2,445 | 8.5 | 300 | 4.3 | 6,096 | 8.5 | 32 | 6.1 | 3,933 | 3.5 |
| 2000-01 | 2,670 | 8.9 | 270 | 3.6 | 6,469 | 8.6 | 36 | 6.4 | 3,995 | 3.5 |
| 2001-02 | 2,705 | 8.4 | 265 | 3.4 | 6,830 | 8.4 | 38 | 5.8 | 3,925 | 3.4 |
| 2002-03 | 2,568 | 7.9 | 229 | 2.9 | 7,072 | 8.3 | 34 | 5.2 | 3,740 | 3.2 |
| 2003-04 | 2,627 | 7.7 | 210 | 2.6 | 7,142 | 8.0 | 33 | 4.5 | 3,631 | 3.2 |
| 2004-05 | 2,789 | 7.9 | 254 | 2.8 | 7,815 | 8.2 | 44 | 5.5 | 3,756 | 3.3 |
| 2005-06 | 3,287 | 8.8 | 232 | 2.5 | 8,513 | 8.7 | 56 | 6.5 | 3,894 | 3.4 |
| 2006-07 | 3,116 | 8.1 | 238 | 2.5 | 8,604 | 8.3 | 52 | 5.5 | 3,790 | 3.3 |
| 2007-08 | 2,999 | 7.7 | 238 | 2.3 | 8,670 | 7.9 | 69 | 7.0 | 3,554 | 3.1 |
| 2008-09 | 3,017 | 7.4 | 239 | 2.2 | 9,155 | 7.7 | 43 | 4.3 | 3,401 | 3.0 |
| Grade 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 1,540 | 5.5 | 188 | 2.7 | 4,767 | 6.8 | 27 | 5.2 | 3,109 | 2.8 |
| 2000-01 | 1,653 | 5.7 | 192 | 2.6 | 5,241 | 7.2 | 28 | 4.8 | 3,297 | 3.0 |
| 2001-02 | 1,680 | 5.4 | 224 | 2.9 | 5,402 | 7.0 | 25 | 4.3 | 3,346 | 2.9 |
| 2002-03 | 1,801 | 5.5 | 225 | 2.8 | 5,782 | 6.9 | 33 | 4.8 | 3,515 | 3.0 |
| 2003-04 | 1,790 | 5.2 | 214 | 2.5 | 5,788 | 6.5 | 37 | 4.9 | 3,425 | 2.9 |
| 2004-05 | 1,967 | 5.7 | 209 | 2.5 | 6,305 | 7.1 | 33 | 4.2 | 3,504 | 3.0 |
| 2005-06 | 2,891 | 8.3 | 324 | 3.5 | 9,364 | 10.1 | 47 | 5.6 | 4,202 | 3.6 |
| 2006-07 | 3,077 | 8.9 | 352 | 3.6 | 11,306 | 11.8 | 46 | 5.0 | 4,561 | 4.0 |
| 2007-08 | 3,604 | 9.7 | 406 | 4.0 | 12,653 | 12.1 | 53 | 5.4 | 4,808 | 4.1 |
| 2008-09 | 3,509 | 9.0 | 389 | 3.6 | 13,264 | 11.5 | 63 | 6.3 | 4,825 | 4.2 |
| Total 7-12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 22,376 | 10.1 | 1,550 | 3.5 | 61,022 | 10.5 | 341 | 8.2 | 30,204 | 4.0 |
| 2000-01 | 23,411 | 10.0 | 1,562 | 3.3 | 62,354 | 10.2 | 316 | 7.1 | 30,440 | 4.0 |
| 2001-02 | 22,694 | 9.4 | 1,534 | 3.1 | 63,456 | 9.9 | 356 | 7.6 | 29,488 | 3.9 |
| 2002-03 | 22,608 | 9.1 | 1,420 | 2.7 | 66,244 | 9.8 | 331 | 6.5 | 29,759 | 3.9 |
| 2003-04 | 22,511 | 8.8 | 1,397 | 2.6 | 67,420 | 9.5 | 331 | 6.0 | 29,582 | 3.9 |
| 2004-05 | 23,108 | 8.9 | 1,609 | 2.9 | 70,259 | 9.6 | 393 | 6.8 | 28,990 | 3.9 |
| 2005-06 | 25,551 | 9.4 | 1,704 | 2.9 | 75,792 | 9.9 | 446 | 7.2 | 29,427 | 4.0 |
| 2006-07 | 23,947 | 8.8 | 1,596 | 2.6 | 75,239 | 9.5 | 382 | 6.0 | 27,039 | 3.7 |
| 2007-08 | 23,750 | 8.7 | 1,735 | 2.7 | 73,697 | 9.0 | 386 | 5.8 | 25,906 | 3.6 |
| 2008-09 | 20,678 | 7.5 | 1,730 | 2.5 | 67,729 | 7.9 | 368 | 5.4 | 22,973 | 3.2 |

## Grade-Level Retention <br> by Gender

## Elementary Grades

Across the elementary grades, retention rates were highest for male first graders (6.8\%) and lowest for female sixth graders ( $0.5 \%$ ) in 2008-09 (Figure 6). The retention rate for males was higher than that for females in every elementary grade. In Grade 6, over twice as many males were retained as females (Table 6).

Figure 6
Grade-Level Retention, Grades K-6, by Grade and Gender, Texas Public Schools, 2008-09


Table 6
Grade-Level Retention, Grades K-6, by Grade and Gender, Texas Public Schools, 2008-09

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Grad |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | K |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | 6 |  |
| Gender | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate <br> (\%) | Retained | Rate <br> (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) |
| Female | 3,787 | 2.2 | 7,831 | 4.4 | 4,642 | 2.6 | 3,649 | 2.1 | 1,670 | 1.0 | 2,698 | 1.6 | 877 | 0.5 |
| Male | 7,249 | 3.9 | 13,139 | 6.8 | 6,646 | 3.5 | 4,769 | 2.6 | 2,314 | 1.3 | 3,037 | 1.7 | 1,915 | 1.1 |

## Secondary Grades

As in the elementary grades, males had higher retention rates than females in all secondary grades (Figure 7 and Table 7). The retention rates for males and females were highest in ninth grade ( $14.8 \%$ and $9.5 \%$, respectively) and lowest in seventh grade ( $1.6 \%$ and $0.9 \%$, respectively).

Figure 7
Grade-Level Retention, Grades 7-12, by Grade and Gender, Texas Public Schools, 2008-09


Table 7
Grade-Level Retention, Grades 7-12, by Grade and Gender, Texas Public Schools, 2008-09

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Grade |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7 |  | 8 |  | 9 |  |  |  | 11 |  | 12 |  |
| Gender | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) |
| Female | 1,428 | 0.9 | 2,274 | 1.4 | 16,632 | 9.5 | 8,087 | 5.3 | 6,258 | 4.5 | 10,946 | 7.8 |
| Male | 2,839 | 1.6 | 2,891 | 1.7 | 28,384 | 14.8 | 13,038 | 8.2 | 9,597 | 6.7 | 11,104 | 7.9 |

## Grade-Level Retention <br> by Economic Status

## Elementary Grades

In every elementary grade except kindergarten, students who were economically disadvantaged had a higher retention rate in 2008-09 than students who were not economically disadvantaged (Figure 8). Rates for both economically disadvantaged and non-economically disadvantaged students were highest in Grade 1 ( $6.7 \%$ and 4.2\%, respectively). From 2007-08 to 2008-09, retention rates for students who were economically disadvantaged decreased in all grades (Table 8). Students in Grade 1 who were economically disadvantaged had the largest decrease in retention rate ( 0.9 percentage points).

Figure 8
Grade-Level Retention, Grades K-6, by Grade and Economic Status, Texas Public Schools, 2008-09


Table 8
Grade-Level Retention, Grades K-6, by Grade and Economic Status, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 Through 2008-09

| Year | Economically disadvantaged |  | Not economically disadvantaged |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) |
| Kindergarten |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 4,468 | 3.0 | 3,473 | 2.7 |
| 2000-01 | 5,029 | 3.3 | 4,081 | 3.1 |
| 2001-02 | 5,670 | 3.5 | 4,298 | 3.2 |
| 2002-03 | 6,639 | 3.8 | 4,410 | 3.3 |
| 2003-04 | 7,049 | 3.9 | 4,635 | 3.4 |
| 2004-05 | 7,525 | 3.9 | 4,665 | 3.5 |
| 2005-06 | 7,780 | 3.8 | 4,779 | 3.4 |
| 2006-07 | 7,686 | 3.7 | 4,760 | 3.4 |
| 2007-08 | 7,006 | 3.4 | 4,451 | 3.1 |
| 2008-09 | 5,846 | 3.0 | 5,190 | 3.2 |
| Grade 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 13,749 | 8.0 | 5,756 | 4.2 |
| 2000-01 | 13,483 | 7.9 | 6,046 | 4.3 |
| 2001-02 | 14,397 | 8.1 | 5,697 | 4.1 |
| 2002-03 | 14,767 | 8.0 | 5,413 | 3.9 |
| 2003-04 | 15,617 | 8.1 | 5,484 | 4.0 |
| 2004-05 | 16,186 | 8.1 | 5,310 | 3.9 |
| 2005-06 | 17,047 | 8.1 | 5,493 | 3.9 |
| 2006-07 | 17,758 | 8.1 | 5,412 | 3.7 |
| 2007-08 | 16,678 | 7.6 | 5,174 | 3.5 |
| 2008-09 | 14,109 | 6.7 | 6,861 | 4.2 |
| Grade 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 7,244 | 4.4 | 2,608 | 1.9 |
| 2000-01 | 8,053 | 4.7 | 2,948 | 2.1 |
| 2001-02 | 8,286 | 4.8 | 2,780 | 2.0 |
| 2002-03 | 8,386 | 4.7 | 2,798 | 2.1 |
| 2003-04 | 8,906 | 4.9 | 2,742 | 2.0 |
| 2004-05 | 9,247 | 4.8 | 2,612 | 1.9 |
| 2005-06 | 9,631 | 4.9 | 2,846 | 2.1 |
| 2006-07 | 9,707 | 4.7 | 2,676 | 1.9 |
| 2007-08 | 9,397 | 4.4 | 2,735 | 1.9 |
| 2008-09 | 7,830 | 3.8 | 3,458 | 2.2 |
| Grade 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 5,113 | 3.1 | 1,749 | 1.3 |
| 2000-01 | 5,656 | 3.4 | 2,003 | 1.4 |
| 2001-02 | 5,859 | 3.4 | 1,777 | 1.3 |
| 2002-03 | 7,009 | 4.0 | 1,915 | 1.4 |
| 2003-04 | 6,395 | 3.6 | 1,801 | 1.3 |
| 2004-05 | 8,388 | 4.5 | 1,978 | 1.5 |
| 2005-06 | 7,815 | 4.0 | 1,943 | 1.4 |
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Table 8 (continued)
Grade-Level Retention, Grades K-6, by Grade and Economic Status, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 Through 2008-09

| Year | Economically disadvantaged |  | Not economically disadvantaged |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) |
| 2006-07 | 7,514 | 3.8 | 1,928 | 1.4 |
| 2007-08 | 7,091 | 3.5 | 1,827 | 1.2 |
| 2008-09 | 5,929 | 2.9 | 2,489 | 1.5 |
| Grade 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 2,927 | 1.9 | 1,087 | 0.8 |
| 2000-01 | 3,172 | 2.0 | 1,233 | 0.9 |
| 2001-02 | 2,977 | 1.8 | 1,066 | 0.7 |
| 2002-03 | 3,727 | 2.1 | 1,116 | 0.8 |
| 2003-04 | 3,889 | 2.2 | 1,258 | 0.9 |
| 2004-05 | 4,393 | 2.4 | 1,237 | 0.9 |
| 2005-06 | 4,361 | 2.4 | 1,304 | 0.9 |
| 2006-07 | 4,163 | 2.2 | 1,188 | 0.8 |
| 2007-08 | 3,475 | 1.8 | 1,030 | 0.7 |
| 2008-09 | 2,676 | 1.4 | 1,308 | 0.8 |
| Grade 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 1,993 | 1.3 | 945 | 0.7 |
| 2000-01 | 1,827 | 1.2 | 962 | 0.7 |
| 2001-02 | 1,790 | 1.1 | 801 | 0.6 |
| 2002-03 | 2,197 | 1.3 | 912 | 0.6 |
| 2003-04 | 2,280 | 1.3 | 945 | 0.7 |
| 2004-05 | 8,880 | 5.0 | 2,279 | 1.6 |
| 2005-06 | 6,980 | 3.7 | 1,911 | 1.4 |
| 2006-07 | 5,749 | 3.1 | 1,539 | 1.1 |
| 2007-08 | 5,203 | 2.7 | 1,543 | 1.0 |
| 2008-09 | 3,943 | 2.1 | 1,792 | 1.1 |
| Grade 6 |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 3,470 | 2.4 | 1,436 | 1.0 |
| 2000-01 | 3,359 | 2.3 | 1,465 | 1.0 |
| 2001-02 | 3,079 | 2.0 | 1,335 | 0.9 |
| 2002-03 | 3,299 | 2.0 | 1,264 | 0.8 |
| 2003-04 | 3,513 | 2.0 | 1,282 | 0.9 |
| 2004-05 | 3,666 | 2.1 | 1,235 | 0.8 |
| 2005-06 | 3,017 | 1.7 | 1,049 | 0.7 |
| 2006-07 | 2,768 | 1.5 | 1,048 | 0.7 |
| 2007-08 | 2,231 | 1.3 | 861 | 0.6 |
| 2008-09 | 1,708 | 1.0 | 1,084 | 0.7 |
| Total K-6 |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 38,964 | 3.5 | 17,054 | 1.7 |
| 2000-01 | 40,579 | 3.6 | 18,738 | 1.9 |
| 2001-02 | 42,058 | 3.6 | 17,754 | 1.8 |
| 2002-03 | 46,024 | 3.8 | 17,828 | 1.8 |
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Table 8 (continued)
Grade-Level Retention, Grades K-6, by Grade and Economic Status, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 Through 2008-09

|  | Economically <br> disadvantaged |  |  | Not economically <br> disadvantaged |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) |  |
| $2003-04$ | 47,649 | 3.8 | 18,147 | 1.9 |  |
| $2004-05$ | 58,285 | 4.5 | 19,316 | 2.0 |  |
| $2005-06$ | 56,631 | 4.2 | 19,325 | 2.0 |  |
| $2006-07$ | 55,345 | 4.0 | 18,551 | 1.9 |  |
| $2007-08$ | 51,171 | 3.6 | 17,621 | 1.7 |  |
| $2008-09$ | 42,041 | 3.1 | 22,182 | 2.0 |  |

## Grade-Level Retention <br> by Economic Status

## Secondary Grades

In each secondary grade, students who were economically disadvantaged had a higher retention rate in 2008-09 than students who were not economically disadvantaged (Figure 9). The rate for each economic group was highest in Grade 9. Although Grade 9 students who were economically disadvantaged had the highest retention rate each year between 1999-00 and 2008-09, they also had the greatest rate decrease ( 10.3 percentage points) over the entire period (Table 9). Retention rates for both students who were economically disadvantaged and those who were not declined between 1999-00 and 2008-09 in every secondary grade except Grade 12. In Grade 12, rates increased by 3.5 percentage points for economically disadvantaged students and by 2.7 percentage points for students who were not economically disadvantaged.

Figure 9
Grade-Level Retention, Grades 7-12, by Grade and Economic Status, Texas Public Schools, 2008-09


Table 9
Grade-Level Retention, Grades 7-12, by Grade and Economic Status, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 Through 2008-09

| Year | Economically disadvantaged |  | Not economically disadvantaged |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) |
| Grade 7 |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 5,448 | 4.0 | 3,065 | 1.9 |
| 2000-01 | 5,079 | 3.6 | 2,683 | 1.6 |
| 2001-02 | 4,689 | 3.1 | 2,270 | 1.4 |
| 2002-03 | 5,060 | 3.2 | 2,429 | 1.5 |
| 2003-04 | 5,105 | 3.1 | 2,267 | 1.4 |
| 2004-05 | 5,625 | 3.2 | 2,085 | 1.3 |
| 2005-06 | 5,311 | 3.0 | 2,002 | 1.3 |
| 2006-07 | 4,009 | 2.3 | 1,654 | 1.1 |
| 2007-08 | 3,559 | 2.0 | 1,493 | 1.0 |
| 2008-09 | 2,346 | 1.4 | 1,921 | 1.1 |
| Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 3,588 | 2.9 | 2,581 | 1.6 |
| 2000-01 | 3,707 | 2.8 | 2,646 | 1.6 |
| 2001-02 | 3,425 | 2.5 | 2,217 | 1.3 |
| 2002-03 | 3,769 | 2.6 | 2,157 | 1.3 |
| 2003-04 | 3,886 | 2.5 | 2,213 | 1.3 |
| 2004-05 | 3,940 | 2.4 | 2,029 | 1.3 |
| 2005-06 | 3,904 | 2.3 | 1,935 | 1.2 |
| 2006-07 | 3,209 | 1.9 | 1,734 | 1.1 |
| 2007-08 | 4,287 | 2.6 | 2,036 | 1.3 |
| 2008-09 | 2,921 | 1.7 | 2,244 | 1.3 |
| Grade 9 |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 30,803 | 23.8 | 27,648 | 13.8 |
| 2000-01 | 30,769 | 22.7 | 27,594 | 13.7 |
| 2001-02 | 32,447 | 22.4 | 25,314 | 12.9 |
| 2002-03 | 33,096 | 21.5 | 24,101 | 12.3 |
| 2003-04 | 34,089 | 21.4 | 24,163 | 12.5 |
| 2004-05 | 36,087 | 21.1 | 22,518 | 11.8 |
| 2005-06 | 38,122 | 21.1 | 22,604 | 12.0 |
| 2006-07 | 36,268 | 19.8 | 20,945 | 11.1 |
| 2007-08 | 35,007 | 18.9 | 19,824 | 10.5 |
| 2008-09 | 22,994 | 13.5 | 22,022 | 11.2 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 9,063 | 10.7 | 10,860 | 6.4 |
| 2000-01 | 10,074 | 10.9 | 11,680 | 6.6 |
| 2001-02 | 11,411 | 11.4 | 11,315 | 6.4 |
| 2002-03 | 13,170 | 12.1 | 11,581 | 6.7 |
| 2003-04 | 13,358 | 11.5 | 11,263 | 6.5 |
| 2004-05 | 14,317 | 11.8 | 11,082 | 6.5 |

continues

Table 9 (continued)
Grade-Level Retention, Grades 7-12, by Grade and Economic Status, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 Through 2008-09

| Year | Economically disadvantaged |  | Not economically disadvantaged |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) |
| 2005-06 | 15,007 | 11.6 | 11,225 | 6.5 |
| 2006-07 | 14,640 | 10.9 | 10,602 | 6.2 |
| 2007-08 | 12,899 | 9.5 | 9,315 | 5.4 |
| 2008-09 | 10,219 | 7.6 | 10,906 | 6.2 |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 5,306 | 8.2 | 7,500 | 4.9 |
| 2000-01 | 5,542 | 8.0 | 7,898 | 5.0 |
| 2001-02 | 6,178 | 8.1 | 7,585 | 4.7 |
| 2002-03 | 6,486 | 7.9 | 7,157 | 4.4 |
| 2003-04 | 6,697 | 7.6 | 6,946 | 4.4 |
| 2004-05 | 7,646 | 7.9 | 7,012 | 4.4 |
| 2005-06 | 8,762 | 8.6 | 7,220 | 4.5 |
| 2006-07 | 8,566 | 8.1 | 7,234 | 4.5 |
| 2007-08 | 8,681 | 7.8 | 6,849 | 4.2 |
| 2008-09 | 7,795 | 6.7 | 8,060 | 4.8 |
| Grade 12 |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 3,910 | 6.8 | 5,721 | 3.6 |
| 2000-01 | 4,171 | 6.9 | 6,240 | 3.9 |
| 2001-02 | 4,458 | 6.7 | 6,219 | 3.8 |
| 2002-03 | 5,000 | 6.6 | 6,356 | 3.8 |
| 2003-04 | 5,196 | 6.4 | 6,058 | 3.6 |
| 2004-05 | 6,030 | 7.0 | 5,988 | 3.7 |
| 2005-06 | 9,145 | 10.1 | 7,683 | 4.7 |
| 2006-07 | 10,688 | 11.7 | 8,654 | 5.2 |
| 2007-08 | 11,804 | 11.9 | 9,720 | 5.7 |
| 2008-09 | 11,204 | 10.3 | 10,846 | 6.3 |
| Total 7-12 |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 58,118 | 9.7 | 57,375 | 5.7 |
| 2000-01 | 59,342 | 9.4 | 58,741 | 5.7 |
| 2001-02 | 62,608 | 9.3 | 54,920 | 5.3 |
| 2002-03 | 66,581 | 9.2 | 53,781 | 5.2 |
| 2003-04 | 68,331 | 8.9 | 52,910 | 5.2 |
| 2004-05 | 73,645 | 9.1 | 50,714 | 5.1 |
| 2005-06 | 80,251 | 9.4 | 52,669 | 5.3 |
| 2006-07 | 77,380 | 9.0 | 50,823 | 5.1 |
| 2007-08 | 76,237 | 8.7 | 49,237 | 4.9 |
| 2008-09 | 57,479 | 6.6 | 55,999 | 5.3 |

## Grade-Level Retention <br> by Limited English Proficiency Status

## Elementary Grades

Reading and language difficulties have been shown to be highly correlated with retention in the elementary grades (Kaczala, 1991; Marcon, 1993). Texas students with limited English proficiency (LEP) learn English at the same time they learn reading and other language arts skills. Depending on grade level and program availability, most LEP students are enrolled in bilingual or English as a second language (ESL) programs. LEP students participating in special education receive bilingual or ESL services as part of their special education programs. Although parents can request that a child not receive special language services, in 2008-09, almost 94 percent of all LEP students in the elementary grades participated in bilingual or ESL programs.

In the elementary grades, LEP students overall had higher rates of retention than non-LEP students in all grades except kindergarten (Table 10). Retention rates in 2008-09 for LEP students receiving bilingual or special education services ( $3.5 \%$ and $4.7 \%$, respectively) were higher than the rate for LEP students who were not receiving services (3.3\%) (Figure 10).

Figure 10
Grade-Level Retention, Grades K-6, by Limited English Proficiency (LEP) Status and Service Received, Texas Public Schools, 2007-08 and 2008-09


Note. ESL = English as a second language.

Table 10
Grade-Level Retention, Grades K-6, by Grade, Limited English Proficiency (LEP) Status, and Service Received, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 Through 2008-09

| Year | Services received by retained LEP students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | LEP students |  | Non-LEP <br> students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bilingual |  | ESL ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Special education |  | No services ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) |
| Kindergarten |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 687 | 1.6 | 420 | 3.1 | 20 | 6.6 | 52 | 1.7 | 1,179 | 2.0 | 6,762 | 3.1 |
| 2000-01 | 787 | 1.8 | 485 | 3.4 | 32 | 8.8 | 91 | 2.3 | 1,395 | 2.2 | 7,715 | 3.4 |
| 2001-02 | 876 | 1.8 | 536 | 3.3 | 30 | 8.3 | 88 | 2.1 | 1,530 | 2.2 | 8,438 | 3.7 |
| 2002-03 | 1,089 | 2.2 | 649 | 3.7 | 43 | 8.7 | 81 | 2.0 | 1,862 | 2.6 | 9,187 | 3.9 |
| 2003-04 | 1,194 | 2.3 | 627 | 3.8 | 110 | 12.3 | 68 | 1.9 | 2,545 | 3.1 | 9,139 | 3.9 |
| 2004-05 | 1,238 | 2.2 | 642 | 3.7 | 125 | 13.1 | 71 | 2.0 | 2,643 | 3.1 | 9,547 | 4.0 |
| 2005-06 | 1,295 | 2.2 | 741 | 4.0 | 146 | 14.6 | 69 | 2.0 | 2,882 | 3.1 | 9,677 | 3.9 |
| 2006-07 | 1,274 | 2.0 | 639 | 3.3 | 110 | 11.5 | 81 | 2.1 | 2,686 | 2.8 | 9,760 | 3.9 |
| 2007-08 | 1,246 | 1.9 | 598 | 3.1 | 135 | 13.9 | 83 | 2.0 | 2,636 | 2.7 | 8,821 | 3.5 |
| 2008-09 | 1,226 | 1.8 | 575 | 2.8 | 115 | 12.5 | 68 | 1.8 | 2,433 | 2.4 | 8,603 | 3.4 |
| Grade 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 3,075 | 6.8 | 1,141 | 7.4 | 49 | 9.0 | 283 | 6.7 | 4,548 | 7.0 | 14,957 | 6.2 |
| 2000-01 | 3,139 | 6.6 | 1,164 | 7.2 | 63 | 10.4 | 328 | 6.6 | 4,694 | 6.8 | 14,835 | 6.1 |
| 2001-02 | 3,610 | 7.2 | 1,201 | 6.9 | 71 | 10.5 | 352 | 7.1 | 5,234 | 7.2 | 14,860 | 6.1 |
| 2002-03 | 3,700 | 7.1 | 1,228 | 6.4 | 73 | 10.9 | 325 | 6.4 | 5,326 | 6.9 | 14,854 | 6.1 |
| 2003-04 | 3,817 | 7.0 | 1,363 | 7.2 | 166 | 13.5 | 332 | 7.2 | 7,019 | 8.0 | 14,082 | 5.8 |
| 2004-05 | 4,076 | 7.3 | 1,255 | 6.5 | 196 | 15.2 | 328 | 6.7 | 7,147 | 8.0 | 14,349 | 5.8 |
| 2005-06 | 4,123 | 7.0 | 1,296 | 6.5 | 185 | 15.6 | 310 | 6.3 | 7,387 | 7.9 | 15,153 | 5.9 |
| 2006-07 | 4,300 | 6.7 | 1,202 | 5.7 | 161 | 14.1 | 378 | 7.6 | 7,321 | 7.4 | 15,849 | 6.0 |
| 2007-08 | 4,270 | 6.3 | 1,195 | 5.5 | 170 | 13.8 | 312 | 6.0 | 7,155 | 6.9 | 14,697 | 5.5 |
| 2008-09 | 4,185 | 6.0 | 1,149 | 5.2 | 133 | 12.4 | 361 | 6.7 | 6,861 | 6.5 | 14,109 | 5.3 |
| Grade 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 1,991 | 4.8 | 591 | 4.1 | 35 | 5.3 | 135 | 3.2 | 2,752 | 4.5 | 7,100 | 2.9 |
| 2000-01 | 2,231 | 5.1 | 784 | 5.0 | 38 | 4.9 | 185 | 3.7 | 3,238 | 5.0 | 7,763 | 3.2 |
| 2001-02 | 2,356 | 5.1 | 801 | 4.7 | 28 | 3.6 | 208 | 4.1 | 3,393 | 4.9 | 7,673 | 3.2 |
| 2002-03 | 2,468 | 5.2 | 830 | 4.6 | 37 | 4.5 | 169 | 3.4 | 3,504 | 4.9 | 7,680 | 3.2 |
| 2003-04 | 2,511 | 5.1 | 963 | 5.2 | 75 | 5.8 | 174 | 3.9 | 4,529 | 5.6 | 7,119 | 3.0 |
| 2004-05 | 2,631 | 5.0 | 878 | 4.6 | 78 | 5.7 | 192 | 3.9 | 4,613 | 5.4 | 7,246 | 3.0 |
| 2005-06 | 2,717 | 5.0 | 863 | 4.4 | 67 | 5.0 | 187 | 3.6 | 4,718 | 5.4 | 7,759 | 3.1 |
| 2006-07 | 2,831 | 4.9 | 844 | 4.1 | 82 | 6.4 | 235 | 4.4 | 4,708 | 5.2 | 7,675 | 3.0 |
| 2007-08 | 2,734 | 4.4 | 751 | 3.6 | 85 | 6.9 | 192 | 3.6 | 4,530 | 4.7 | 7,602 | 2.9 |
| 2008-09 | 2,734 | 4.2 | 751 | 3.4 | 62 | 5.3 | 178 | 3.3 | 4,336 | 4.3 | 6,952 | 2.6 |
| Grade 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 1,393 | 3.8 | 409 | 3.2 | 21 | 2.5 | 96 | 2.5 | 1,919 | 3.6 | 4,943 | 2.0 |
| 2000-01 | 1,551 | 4.1 | 457 | 3.2 | 30 | 3.2 | 136 | 3.0 | 2,174 | 3.8 | 5,485 | 2.2 |
| 2001-02 | 1,685 | 4.1 | 525 | 3.3 | 30 | 3.0 | 122 | 2.6 | 2,362 | 3.8 | 5,274 | 2.1 |
| 2002-03 | 2,173 | 5.0 | 713 | 4.1 | 19 | 2.0 | 153 | 3.3 | 3,058 | 4.6 | 5,866 | 2.4 |

${ }^{a}$ English as a second language. Includes LEP students whose parents did not give permission for participation in special language programs and those whose services received are unknown.

Table 10 (continued)
Grade-Level Retention, Grades K-6, by Grade, Limited English Proficiency (LEP) Status, and Service Received, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 Through 2008-09

| Year | Services received by retained LEP students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | LEP students |  | Non-LEP <br> students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bilingual |  | ESLa |  | Special education |  | No services ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) |
| 2003-04 | 1,880 | 4.4 | 759 | 4.3 | 56 | 3.6 | 122 | 3.0 | 3,400 | 4.6 | 4,796 | 2.0 |
| 2004-05 | 2,763 | 6.1 | 859 | 4.8 | 52 | 3.2 | 154 | 3.7 | 4,524 | 5.9 | 5,842 | 2.4 |
| 2005-06 | 2,421 | 4.9 | 782 | 4.2 | 54 | 3.4 | 177 | 3.9 | 4,146 | 5.1 | 5,612 | 2.2 |
| 2006-07 | 2,355 | 4.5 | 767 | 4.0 | 55 | 3.7 | 136 | 2.8 | 3,850 | 4.6 | 5,592 | 2.2 |
| 2007-08 | 2,074 | 3.7 | 696 | 3.5 | 63 | 4.7 | 156 | 3.1 | 3,474 | 3.9 | 5,444 | 2.1 |
| 2008-09 | 2,067 | 3.4 | 636 | 3.0 | 37 | 2.9 | 168 | 3.1 | 3,373 | 3.6 | 5,045 | 1.9 |
| Grade 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 708 | 2.8 | 318 | 2.6 | 21 | 2.4 | 36 | 1.1 | 1,083 | 2.6 | 2,931 | 1.1 |
| 2000-01 | 708 | 2.7 | 285 | 2.4 | 19 | 1.9 | 86 | 2.8 | 1,098 | 2.6 | 3,307 | 1.3 |
| 2001-02 | 691 | 2.5 | 248 | 2.0 | 8 | 0.8 | 69 | 2.3 | 1,016 | 2.3 | 3,027 | 1.1 |
| 2002-03 | 933 | 3.1 | 328 | 2.4 | 11 | 1.0 | 73 | 2.4 | 1,345 | 2.8 | 3,498 | 1.3 |
| 2003-04 | 927 | 3.1 | 331 | 2.6 | 23 | 1.5 | 57 | 2.7 | 1,732 | 3.3 | 3,415 | 1.3 |
| 2004-05 | 1,072 | 3.5 | 365 | 3.1 | 38 | 2.3 | 47 | 2.5 | 1,945 | 3.5 | 3,685 | 1.4 |
| 2005-06 | 1,042 | 3.2 | 382 | 3.0 | 35 | 2.2 | 54 | 2.4 | 1,949 | 3.4 | 3,716 | 1.4 |
| 2006-07 | 1,048 | 2.9 | 306 | 2.2 | 26 | 1.7 | 52 | 2.3 | 1,763 | 3.0 | 3,588 | 1.3 |
| 2007-08 | 936 | 2.3 | 283 | 1.7 | 17 | 1.1 | 66 | 2.0 | 1,578 | 2.3 | 2,927 | 1.1 |
| 2008-09 | 816 | 1.8 | 257 | 1.4 | 15 | 1.2 | 57 | 1.5 | 1,394 | 1.9 | 2,590 | 0.9 |
| Grade 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 298 | 1.6 | 203 | 1.8 | 38 | 3.6 | 29 | 1.0 | 568 | 1.7 | 2,370 | 0.9 |
| 2000-01 | 285 | 1.5 | 177 | 1.7 | 45 | 4.3 | 28 | 1.0 | 535 | 1.6 | 2,254 | 0.8 |
| 2001-02 | 301 | 1.5 | 152 | 1.5 | 29 | 2.5 | 23 | 0.9 | 505 | 1.5 | 2,086 | 0.8 |
| 2002-03 | 360 | 1.7 | 218 | 2.0 | 18 | 1.5 | 37 | 1.5 | 633 | 1.8 | 2,476 | 0.9 |
| 2003-04 | 357 | 1.6 | 242 | 2.0 | 33 | 2.0 | 26 | 1.4 | 828 | 1.9 | 2,397 | 0.9 |
| 2004-05 | 1,694 | 7.3 | 1,073 | 9.6 | 37 | 2.1 | 124 | 7.7 | 3,534 | 8.0 | 7,625 | 2.8 |
| 2005-06 | 1,396 | 5.5 | 815 | 6.3 | 33 | 2.0 | 114 | 6.5 | 2,873 | 5.9 | 6,018 | 2.2 |
| 2006-07 | 1,289 | 4.8 | 713 | 5.5 | 42 | 2.7 | 93 | 5.5 | 2,474 | 5.2 | 4,814 | 1.7 |
| 2007-08 | 1,273 | 4.4 | 489 | 3.9 | 38 | 2.4 | 70 | 3.8 | 2,202 | 4.5 | 4,544 | 1.6 |
| 2008-09 | 1,174 | 3.7 | 470 | 3.5 | 23 | 1.7 | 66 | 2.9 | 1,976 | 3.8 | 3,759 | 1.3 |
| Grade 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 65 | 1.5 | 698 | 3.4 | 32 | 2.4 | 72 | 2.6 | 867 | 3.0 | 4,039 | 1.5 |
| 2000-01 | 52 | 1.3 | 602 | 3.2 | 15 | 1.0 | 55 | 2.3 | 724 | 2.7 | 4,100 | 1.5 |
| 2001-02 | 44 | 1.1 | 478 | 2.6 | 20 | 1.4 | 64 | 2.7 | 606 | 2.3 | 3,808 | 1.3 |
| 2002-03 | 52 | 1.3 | 469 | 2.6 | 28 | 2.0 | 78 | 3.2 | 627 | 2.4 | 3,936 | 1.3 |
| 2003-04 | 49 | 1.3 | 586 | 2.6 | 48 | 2.7 | 43 | 2.6 | 916 | 2.6 | 3,879 | 1.3 |
| 2004-05 | 61 | 1.5 | 657 | 2.6 | 41 | 2.1 | 68 | 3.8 | 1,039 | 2.7 | 3,862 | 1.4 |
| 2005-06 | 44 | 1.2 | 511 | 2.3 | 39 | 2.0 | 31 | 2.4 | 822 | 2.4 | 3,244 | 1.1 |
| 2006-07 | 49 | 1.1 | 463 | 2.0 | 39 | 2.1 | 31 | 2.5 | 723 | 2.1 | 3,093 | 1.1 |

aEnglish as a second language. Includes LEP students whose parents did not give permission for participation in special language programs and those whose services received are unknown.
continues

Table 10 (continued)
Grade-Level Retention, Grades K-6, by Grade, Limited English Proficiency (LEP) Status, and Service Received, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 Through 2008-09

| Year | Services received by retained LEP students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | LEP students |  | Non-LEP <br> students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bilingual |  | ESLa |  | Special education |  | No services ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) |
| 2007-08 | 48 | 1.0 | 445 | 1.6 | 29 | 1.8 | 26 | 1.6 | 688 | 1.8 | 2,494 | 0.9 |
| 2008-09 | 44 | 0.8 | 363 | 1.3 | 16 | 1.2 | 27 | 1.7 | 572 | 1.4 | 2,220 | 0.7 |
| Total K-6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 8,217 | 3.8 | 3,780 | 3.8 | 216 | 3.9 | 703 | 2.9 | 12,916 | 3.8 | 43,102 | 2.5 |
| 2000-01 | 8,753 | 4.0 | 3,954 | 3.9 | 242 | 3.9 | 909 | 3.4 | 13,858 | 3.9 | 45,459 | 2.6 |
| 2001-02 | 9,563 | 4.0 | 3,941 | 3.7 | 216 | 3.4 | 926 | 3.5 | 14,646 | 3.9 | 45,166 | 2.5 |
| 2002-03 | 10,775 | 4.3 | 4,435 | 3.9 | 229 | 3.5 | 916 | 3.4 | 16,355 | 4.1 | 47,497 | 2.6 |
| 2003-04 | 10,735 | 4.2 | 4,871 | 4.1 | 511 | 5.1 | 822 | 3.7 | 20,969 | 4.6 | 44,827 | 2.5 |
| 2004-05 | 13,535 | 5.1 | 5,729 | 4.7 | 567 | 5.4 | 984 | 4.3 | 25,445 | 5.3 | 52,156 | 2.9 |
| 2005-06 | 13,038 | 4.6 | 5,390 | 4.3 | 559 | 5.5 | 942 | 4.0 | 24,777 | 5.0 | 51,179 | 2.8 |
| 2006-07 | 13,146 | 4.3 | 4,934 | 3.8 | 515 | 5.2 | 1,006 | 4.1 | 23,525 | 4.6 | 50,371 | 2.7 |
| 2007-08 | 12,581 | 3.8 | 4,457 | 3.2 | 537 | 5.6 | 905 | 3.4 | 22,263 | 4.1 | 46,529 | 2.5 |
| 2008-09 | 12,246 | 3.5 | 4,201 | 2.9 | 401 | 4.7 | 925 | 3.3 | 20,945 | 3.7 | 43,278 | 2.3 |

${ }^{a}$ English as a second language. Includes LEP students whose parents did not give permission for participation in special language programs and those whose services received are unknown.

## Grade-Level Retention <br> by Limited English Proficiency Status

## Secondary Grades

In 2008-09, the retention rate for LEP students in the secondary grades decreased from the previous year by 1.7 percentage points to 12.5 percent (Table 11). Between 2007-08 and 2008-09, rates decreased from 0.2 to 2.8 percentage points in all grades except Grade 11, which saw a 0.5 -percentage-point increase.

The retention rate for students not identified as LEP in 2008-09 (5.4\%) decreased by 0.7 percentage points from the previous year. Rates for non-LEP students decreased in all grades. The difference in retention rates between students who were LEP and students who were not fell from 8.1 percentage points in 2007-08 to 7.1 percentage points in 2008-09.

Ninety percent of LEP students in secondary school were served in bilingual or ESL programs. The retention rates for secondary school bilingual students and ESL students in 2008-09 were 0.8 percent and 10.6 percent, respectively (Figure 11).

Figure 11
Grade-Level Retention, Grades 7-12, by Limited English Proficiency (LEP) Status and Service Received, Texas Public Schools, 2007-08 and 2008-09


Note. ESL = English as a second language.

Table 11
Grade-Level Retention, Grades 7-12, by Grade, Limited English Proficiency (LEP) Status, and Service Received, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 Through 2008-09

| Year | Services received by retained LEP students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | LEP students |  | Non-LEP students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bilingual |  | ESL ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Special education |  | No services ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained R | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained Rate (\%) |  | Retained Rate (\%) |  |
| Grade 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 13 | 2.9 | 936 | 4.8 | - | 3.5 | 123 | 4.9 | 1,120 | 4.7 | 7,393 | 2.7 |
| 2000-01 |  | 1.2 | 788 | 3.9 | - | 2.7 | 98 | 4.2 | 926 | 3.8 | 6,836 | 2.4 |
| 2001-02 | 6 | 63.5 | 760 | 3.8 | 33 | 2.1 | 96 | 4.8 | 895 | 3.7 | 6,064 | 2.1 |
| 2002-03 | 8 | 4.2 | 706 | 3.6 | 22 | 1.5 | 90 | 4.6 | 826 | 3.6 | 6,663 | 2.2 |
| 2003-04 | 23 | 10.6 | 740 | 3.8 | 43 | 2.4 | 66 | 5.6 | 1,171 | 4.1 | 6,201 | 2.1 |
| 2004-05 | - | 3.3 | 860 | 4.0 | 69 | 3.4 | 86 | 5.8 | 1,339 | 4.3 | 6,371 | 2.2 |
| 2005-06 | 15 | - 8.9 | 872 | 3.7 | 83 | 4.2 | 72 | 4.6 | 1,369 | 4.0 | 5,944 | 2.0 |
| 2006-07 | - | 3.0 | 607 | 2.8 | 83 | 4.3 | 43 | 4.0 | 948 | 3.4 | 4,715 | 1.6 |
| 2007-08 | - | 0.5 | 665 | 2.6 | 62 | 3.3 | 33 | 2.5 | 968 | 3.0 | 4,084 | 1.3 |
| 2008-09 | - | 1.2 | 465 | 1.7 | 49 | 3.1 | 26 | 1.7 | 722 | 2.1 | 3,545 | 1.2 |
| Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 |  | 2.7 | 600 | 3.6 | 50 | 4.1 | 72 | 2.9 | 729 | 3.5 | 5,440 | 2.0 |
| 2000-01 | - | 2.0 | 615 | 3.6 | - | 3.4 | 63 | 2.8 | 729 | 3.4 | 5,624 | 2.0 |
| 2001-02 | 6 | $6 \quad 6.5$ | 533 | 3.0 | 47 | 3.2 | 47 | 2.8 | 633 | 3.0 | 5,009 | 1.8 |
| 2002-03 | 8 | 8.6 .7 | 577 | 3.3 | 44 | 2.9 | 58 | 3.3 | 687 | 3.2 | 5,239 | 1.8 |
| 2003-04 | - | 4.5 | 596 | 3.3 | 66 | 3.6 | 47 | 4.0 | 941 | 3.5 | 5,158 | 1.8 |
| 2004-05 | - | 2.6 | 510 | 2.8 | 59 | 2.8 | 43 | 3.3 | 864 | 3.2 | 5,105 | 1.7 |
| 2005-06 | - | 3.4 | 608 | 3.0 | 89 | 4.3 | 45 | 3.2 | 978 | 3.4 | 4,861 | 1.6 |
| 2006-07 | 0 | - 0.0 | 564 | 2.5 | 92 | 4.5 | 36 | 2.5 | 898 | 3.1 | 4,045 | 1.3 |
| 2007-08 | - | 1.8 | 892 | 4.5 | 91 | 4.5 | 47 | 4.6 | 1,278 | 5.0 | 5,045 | 1.7 |
| 2008-09 | 0 | D 0.0 | 768 | 3.5 | 54 | 3.2 | 32 | 2.7 | 1,076 | 3.9 | 4,089 | 1.3 |
| Grade 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 7 | 78.9 | 5,751 | 30.2 | 318 | 27.4 | 952 | 28.9 | 7,028 | 29.9 | 51,423 | 16.8 |
| 2000-01 | 6 | - 20.7 | 5,887 | 29.2 | 345 | 24.9 | 818 | 28.3 | 7,056 | 28.8 | 51,307 | 16.5 |
| 2001-02 | 8 | 832.0 | 6,200 | 28.7 | 402 | 25.7 | 767 | 32.0 | 7,377 | 28.8 | 50,384 | 16.0 |
| 2002-03 | - | 18.2 | 6,188 | 27.3 | - | 24.0 | 634 | 27.0 | 7,200 | 27.1 | 49,997 | 15.5 |
| 2003-04 | - | 16.7 | 5,949 | 26.9 | 636 | 33.1 | 399 | 26.1 | 9,521 | 31.6 | 48,731 | 15.1 |
| 2004-05 | - | 10.0 | 5,957 | 27.4 | 735 | 32.2 | 480 | 29.7 | 10,080 | 30.9 | 48,525 | 14.8 |
| 2005-06 | 0 | - 0.0 | 6,106 | 26.3 | 790 | 33.5 | 492 | 28.7 | 10,097 | 29.9 | 50,629 | 15.1 |
| 2006-07 | - | 50.0 | 6,064 | 25.2 | 772 | 31.6 | 488 | 26.5 | 9,478 | 29.0 | 47,735 | 14.1 |
| 2007-08 | - | 16.7 | 6,161 | 24.1 | 811 | 32.5 | 445 | 25.0 | 9,667 | 28.0 | 45,164 | 13.3 |
| 2008-09 | 0 | D 0.0 | 4,714 | 20.4 | 611 | 29.7 | 287 | 23.5 | 7,742 | 25.2 | 37,274 | 11.1 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | - | 14.3 | 1,519 | 13.3 | - | 13.4 | 344 | 13.4 | 1,965 | 13.3 | 17,958 | 7.5 |
| 2000-01 | - | 12.5 | 1,739 | 14.5 | - | 14.1 | 307 | 14.1 | 2,174 | 14.4 | 19,580 | 7.7 |
| 2001-02 | 0 | - 0.0 | 2,028 | 15.1 | 151 | 14.3 | 293 | 14.8 | 2,472 | 15.0 | 20,254 | 7.8 |

Note. A dash (-) indicates data are not reported to protect student anonymity.
${ }^{a}$ English as a second language. Includes LEP students whose parents did not give permission for participation in special language programs and those whose services received are unknown.
continues

Table 11 (continued)
Grade-Level Retention, Grades 7-12, by Grade, Limited English Proficiency (LEP) Status, and Service Received, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 Through 2008-09

| Year | Services received by retained LEP students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | LEP students |  | Non-LEP <br> students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bilingual |  | ESLa |  | Special education |  | No services ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained Rate (\%) |  | Retained Rate (\%) |  |
| 2002-03 | - | 11.1 | 2,252 | 15.1 | - | 13.9 | 291 | 16.4 | 2,695 | 15.2 | 22,056 | 8.3 |
| 2003-04 | 0 | 0.0 | 2,044 | 14.1 | 220 | 17.9 | 196 | 15.5 | 3,099 | 16.5 | 21,522 | 7.9 |
| 2004-05 | - | 33.3 | 1,984 | 14.6 | 243 | 17.0 | 183 | 16.1 | 3,106 | 16.0 | 22,293 | 8.2 |
| 2005-06 | - | 14.3 | 1,845 | 13.6 | 243 | 17.3 | 154 | 15.7 | 2,931 | 15.4 | 23,301 | 8.2 |
| 2006-07 | 0 | 0.0 | 1,835 | 13.0 | 269 | 17.8 | 175 | 17.4 | 2,769 | 15.1 | 22,473 | 7.9 |
| 2007-08 | - | 20.0 | 1,719 | 11.1 | 244 | 14.9 | 139 | 11.1 | 2,544 | 12.7 | 19,670 | 6.8 |
| 2008-09 | 0 | 0.0 | 1,708 | 10.5 | 213 | 16.4 | 129 | 12.0 | 2,547 | 12.5 | 18,578 | 6.4 |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 0 | 0.0 | 826 | 11.9 | 61 | 11.4 | 183 | 10.5 | 1,070 | 11.6 | 11,736 | 5.6 |
| 2000-01 | 0 | 0.0 | 810 | 11.6 | 85 | 12.1 | 183 | 10.8 | 1,078 | 11.5 | 12,362 | 5.7 |
| 2001-02 | 0 | 0.0 | 887 | 11.7 | 81 | 10.6 | 177 | 12.8 | 1,145 | 11.7 | 12,618 | 5.5 |
| 2002-03 | - | 28.6 | 896 | 10.3 | - | 9.6 | 161 | 12.1 | 1,135 | 10.5 | 12,508 | 5.4 |
| 2003-04 | - | 30.0 | 961 | 9.2 | 130 | 14.1 | 110 | 9.2 | 1,514 | 11.1 | 12,129 | 5.2 |
| 2004-05 | - | 40.0 | 1,080 | 10.7 | 111 | 9.9 | 108 | 11.1 | 1,729 | 12.0 | 12,929 | 5.4 |
| 2005-06 | - | 66.7 | 1,164 | 11.4 | 167 | 14.7 | 117 | 13.0 | 1,796 | 12.6 | 14,186 | 5.8 |
| 2006-07 | 0 | 0.0 | 1,161 | 11.4 | 150 | 13.2 | 95 | 12.8 | 1,687 | 13.0 | 14,113 | 5.5 |
| 2007-08 | 0 | 0.0 | 1,232 | 11.3 | 143 | 11.5 | 106 | 14.2 | 1,713 | 12.4 | 13,817 | 5.3 |
| 2008-09 | 0 | 0.0 | 1,355 | 11.4 | 156 | 13.4 | 88 | 11.3 | 1,928 | 12.9 | 13,927 | 5.2 |
| Grade 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 0 | 0.0 | 496 | 12.2 | 53 | 10.5 | 113 | 7.7 | 662 | 10.9 | 8,969 | 4.3 |
| 2000-01 | 0 | 0.0 | 513 | 13.7 | 105 | 16.5 | 101 | 8.4 | 719 | 12.9 | 9,692 | 4.5 |
| 2001-02 | 0 | 0.0 | 580 | 14.4 | 111 | 14.5 | 105 | 9.1 | 796 | 13.4 | 9,881 | 4.4 |
| 2002-03 | - | 75.0 | 627 | 13.5 | - | 15.4 | 145 | 12.0 | 902 | 13.5 | 10,454 | 4.4 |
| 2003-04 | - | 16.7 | 772 | 12.9 | 127 | 15.2 | 72 | 7.7 | 1,134 | 13.4 | 10,120 | 4.2 |
| 2004-05 | 0 | 0.0 | 957 | 15.5 | 164 | 15.4 | 95 | 10.2 | 1,414 | 13.6 | 10,604 | 4.5 |
| 2005-06 | 0 | 0.0 | 1,644 | 25.6 | 214 | 19.6 | 160 | 23.0 | 2,300 | 22.6 | 14,528 | 6.0 |
| 2006-07 | - | 33.3 | 2,065 | 31.3 | 240 | 20.0 | 161 | 27.0 | 2,767 | 30.4 | 16,575 | 6.7 |
| 2007-08 | 0 | 0.0 | 2,508 | 31.9 | 287 | 21.7 | 165 | 28.2 | 3,260 | 31.3 | 18,264 | 7.1 |
| 2008-09 | 0 | 0.0 | 2,603 | 29.8 | 215 | 18.8 | 161 | 28.2 | 3,330 | 29.6 | 18,720 | 6.9 |
| Total 7-12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 28 | 3.8 | 10,128 | 13.0 | 631 | 11.4 | 1,787 | 12.7 | 12,574 | 12.8 | 102,919 | 6.8 |
| 2000-01 | 13 | 2.9 | 10,352 | 12.9 | 747 | 11.6 | 1,570 | 12.5 | 12,682 | 12.7 | 105,401 | 6.8 |
| 2001-02 | 20 | 6.4 | 10,988 | 13.0 | 825 | 11.5 | 1,485 | 14.0 | 13,318 | 13.0 | 104,210 | 6.5 |
| 2002-03 | 24 | 7.1 | 11,246 | 12.8 | 796 | 11.0 | 1,379 | 13.2 | 13,445 | 12.7 | 106,917 | 6.5 |
| 2003-04 | 32 | 9.5 | 11,062 | 12.2 | 1,222 | 14.2 | 890 | 12.2 | 17,380 | 13.8 | 103,861 | 6.3 |
| 2004-05 | 11 | 4.9 | 11,348 | 12.4 | 1,381 | 13.8 | 995 | 13.3 | 18,532 | 13.7 | 105,827 | 6.3 |
| 2005-06 | 23 | 8.1 | 12,239 | 12.6 | 1,586 | 15.7 | 1,040 | 14.3 | 19,471 | 13.9 | 113,449 | 6.6 |
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Table 11 (continued)
Grade-Level Retention, Grades 7-12, by Grade, Limited English Proficiency (LEP) Status, and Service Received, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 Through 2008-09

|  | Services received by retained LEP students |  |  |  |  |  | LEP students |  | Non-LEP <br> students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bilingual | ESL ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Special education |  | No services ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | Retained Rate (\%) | Retained Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | (\%) | Retained | (\%) |
| 2006-07 | 83.5 | 12,296 12.4 | 1,606 | 15.6 | 998 | 15.0 | 18,547 | 14.3 | 109,656 | 6.3 |
| 2007-08 | 51.6 | 13,177 12.6 | 1,638 | 15.5 | 935 | 14.0 | 19,430 | 14.2 | 106,044 | 6.1 |
| 2008-09 | 0.8 | 11,613 10.6 | 1,298 | 14.6 | 723 | 11.4 | 17,345 | 12.5 | 96,133 | 5.4 |

Note. A dash (-) indicates data are not reported to protect student anonymity.
${ }^{a}$ English as a second language. blncludes LEP students whose parents did not give permission for participation in special language programs and those whose services received are unknown.

## Grade-Level Retention <br> of Special Education Students by Primary Disability

## Elementary Grades

Each student receiving special education services has an individualized education program that is developed by a local admission, review, and dismissal (ARD) committee and that specifies goals and objectives for the year. The student progresses to the next grade level whenever the goals and objectives are met. Retention and promotion policies and practices for students with disabling conditions vary across Texas districts.

ARDs assign each special education student a primary disability from 1 of 13 categories of disability. For most elementary special education students in 2008-09 (84.8\%), the primary disability was in 1 of 5 categories: learning disability; speech impairment; other health impairment, such as attention deficit disorder; autism; and mental retardation (Table 12 on page 46). The same five categories accounted for most elementary special education students who were retained ( $87.8 \%$ ).

In 2008-09, retention rates for special education students varied widely based on primary disability and grade. The results that follow are based on the five most common primary disabilities.

In kindergarten, students with other health impairments had the highest retention rate (15.4\%) among students with one of the five most common disabilities (Table 12 on page 46). In Grades 1-3, retention rates were highest for students with speech impairments. In Grades 4-6, retention rates were highest for students with mental retardation. In Grades K-4, students with autism had the lowest or next to lowest retention rates. In Grades 5 and 6, students with speech impairments and students with learning disabilities had the lowest or next to lowest rates.

In Grade 1, retention rates were highest for students with speech impairments (12.6\%) and learning disabilities ( $8.7 \%$ ) (Figure 12). Retention rates for both groups decreased markedly from the lower to the higher elementary grades, and the difference in rates between the two groups decreased from 3.9 percentage points in Grade 1 to just 0.1 percentage points by Grade 6 .

Figure 12
Grade-Level Retention of Special Education Students With Learning Disabilities and With Speech Impairment as Primary Disabilities, Grades K-6, by Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2008-09


Table 12
Grade-Level Retention of Special Education Students, Grades K-6, by Grade and Primary Disability, Texas Public Schools, 2005-06 Through 2008-09

| Year | Learning disability |  |  | Speech impairment |  |  | Other health impairment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Students | Rate (\%) | Retained | Students | Rate (\%) | Retained | Students | Rate (\%) |
| Kindergarten |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 235 | 1,581 | 14.9 | 2,181 | 19,445 | 11.2 | 342 | 2,137 | 16.0 |
| 2006-07 | 227 | 1,418 | 16.0 | 2,102 | 18,215 | 11.5 | 339 | 2,077 | 16.3 |
| 2007-08 | 146 | 1,092 | 13.4 | 1,813 | 16,673 | 10.9 | 335 | 2,029 | 16.5 |
| 2008-09 | 131 | 886 | 14.8 | 1,714 | 15,631 | 11.0 | 324 | 2,101 | 15.4 |
| Grade 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 499 | 5,639 | 8.8 | 2,342 | 18,279 | 12.8 | 244 | 2,961 | 8.2 |
| 2006-07 | 443 | 4,808 | 9.2 | 2,337 | 17,531 | 13.3 | 262 | 2,928 | 8.9 |
| 2007-08 | 406 | 3,943 | 10.3 | 2,190 | 16,540 | 13.2 | 232 | 2,624 | 8.8 |
| 2008-09 | 318 | 3,638 | 8.7 | 1,970 | 15,637 | 12.6 | 214 | 2,714 | 7.9 |
| Grade 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 344 | 10,477 | 3.3 | 823 | 13,633 | 6.0 | 131 | 3,760 | 3.5 |
| 2006-07 | 333 | 9,292 | 3.6 | 802 | 13,434 | 6.0 | 145 | 3,525 | 4.1 |
| 2007-08 | 310 | 7,822 | 4.0 | 694 | 12,734 | 5.4 | 155 | 3,283 | 4.7 |
| 2008-09 | 239 | 7,097 | 3.4 | 634 | 12,182 | 5.2 | 132 | 3,226 | 4.1 |
| Grade 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 258 | 17,539 | 1.5 | 398 | 9,730 | 4.1 | 90 | 4,834 | 1.9 |
| 2006-07 | 276 | 15,651 | 1.8 | 421 | 9,755 | 4.3 | 98 | 4,587 | 2.1 |
| 2007-08 | 382 | 13,494 | 2.8 | 367 | 9,487 | 3.9 | 131 | 4,113 | 3.2 |
| 2008-09 | 264 | 12,955 | 2.0 | 338 | 9,077 | 3.7 | 86 | 4,042 | 2.1 |
| Grade 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 167 | 21,639 | 0.8 | 128 | 6,463 | 2.0 | 99 | 5,707 | 1.7 |
| 2006-07 | 146 | 19,346 | 0.8 | 113 | 6,471 | 1.7 | 84 | 5,421 | 1.5 |
| 2007-08 | 177 | 17,228 | 1.0 | 94 | 6,397 | 1.5 | 69 | 4,846 | 1.4 |
| 2008-09 | 122 | 15,673 | 0.8 | 63 | 6,220 | 1.0 | 54 | 4,393 | 1.2 |
| Grade 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 231 | 25,090 | 0.9 | 143 | 3,917 | 3.7 | 99 | 6,462 | 1.5 |
| 2006-07 | 183 | 22,665 | 0.8 | 108 | 3,800 | 2.8 | 107 | 6,017 | 1.8 |
| 2007-08 | 389 | 20,184 | 1.9 | 87 | 3,776 | 2.3 | 166 | 5,497 | 3.0 |
| 2008-09 | 206 | 19,066 | 1.1 | 69 | 3,758 | 1.8 | 102 | 5,086 | 2.0 |
| Grade 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 320 | 26,477 | 1.2 | 9 | 1,882 | 0.5 | 125 | 6,330 | 2.0 |
| 2006-07 | 281 | 24,237 | 1.2 | 20 | 2,044 | 1.0 | 98 | 6,263 | 1.6 |
| 2007-08 | 244 | 21,822 | 1.1 | 15 | 1,821 | 0.8 | 123 | 5,751 | 2.1 |
| 2008-09 | 176 | 19,614 | 0.9 | 16 | 1,906 | 0.8 | 64 | 5,199 | 1.2 |
| Grades K-6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 2,054 | 108,442 | 1.9 | 6,024 | 73,349 | 8.2 | 1,130 | 32,191 | 3.5 |
| 2006-07 | 1,889 | 97,417 | 1.9 | 5,903 | 71,250 | 8.3 | 1,133 | 30,818 | 3.7 |
| 2007-08 | 2,054 | 85,585 | 2.4 | 5,260 | 67,428 | 7.8 | 1,211 | 28,143 | 4.3 |
| 2008-09 | 1,456 | 78,929 | 1.8 | 4,804 | 64,411 | 7.5 | 976 | 26,761 | 3.6 |

Note. Primary disabilities are listed in order of prevalence among all Grade K-6 students for the most recent school year shown. A dash (-) indicates data are not reported to protect student anonymity. When the number of students retained is not reported, the total number of students is presented in intervals of 50 to provide a general idea of the number of students in the group while maintaining student anonymity. In a group of <150, for example, the number of students is between 100 and 149.

Table 12 (continued)
Grade-Level Retention of Special Education Students, Grades K-6, by Grade and Primary Disability, Texas Public Schools, 2005-06 Through 2008-09

| Year | Autism |  |  | Mental retardation |  |  | Emotional disturbance |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Students | Rate (\%) | Retained | Students | Rate (\%) | Retained | Students | Rate (\%) |
| Kindergarten |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 201 | 1,646 | 12.2 | 186 | 1,257 | 14.8 | 46 | 514 | 8.9 |
| 2006-07 | 274 | 1,957 | 14.0 | 190 | 1,314 | 14.5 | 54 | 460 | 11.7 |
| 2007-08 | 287 | 2,251 | 12.7 | 175 | 1,305 | 13.4 | 43 | 448 | 9.6 |
| 2008-09 | 302 | 2,637 | 11.5 | 200 | 1,373 | 14.6 | 45 | 412 | 10.9 |
| Grade 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 89 | 1,650 | 5.4 | 101 | 1,621 | 6.2 | 69 | 1,123 | 6.1 |
| 2006-07 | 113 | 1,927 | 5.9 | 115 | 1,642 | 7.0 | 76 | 1,079 | 7.0 |
| 2007-08 | 116 | 2,315 | 5.0 | 111 | 1,812 | 6.1 | 63 | 944 | 6.7 |
| 2008-09 | 104 | 2,610 | 4.0 | 112 | 1,882 | 6.0 | 55 | 914 | 6.0 |
| Grade 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 51 | 1,633 | 3.1 | 66 | 1,689 | 3.9 | 42 | 1,656 | 2.5 |
| 2006-07 | 48 | 1,834 | 2.6 | 82 | 1,776 | 4.6 | 55 | 1,541 | 3.6 |
| 2007-08 | 73 | 2,136 | 3.4 | 104 | 1,899 | 5.5 | 53 | 1,429 | 3.7 |
| 2008-09 | 80 | 2,520 | 3.2 | 80 | 2,215 | 3.6 | 53 | 1,343 | 3.9 |
| Grade 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 21 | 1,544 | 1.4 | 35 | 1,811 | 1.9 | 49 | 2,269 | 2.2 |
| 2006-07 | 19 | 1,782 | 1.1 | 43 | 1,850 | 2.3 | 37 | 2,145 | 1.7 |
| 2007-08 | 28 | 2,062 | 1.4 | 43 | 1,978 | 2.2 | 44 | 1,980 | 2.2 |
| 2008-09 | 26 | 2,348 | 1.1 | 35 | 2,175 | 1.6 | 27 | 1,780 | 1.5 |
| Grade 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 25 | 1,468 | 1.7 | 49 | 2,007 | 2.4 | 28 | 2,810 | 1.0 |
| 2006-07 | 20 | 1,691 | 1.2 | 56 | 1,921 | 2.9 | 30 | 2,609 | 1.1 |
| 2007-08 | 25 | 1,989 | 1.3 | 44 | 1,998 | 2.2 | 27 | 2,476 | 1.1 |
| 2008-09 | 23 | 2,267 | 1.0 | 33 | 2,278 | 1.4 | 31 | 2,212 | 1.4 |
| Grade 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 52 | 1,447 | 3.6 | 126 | 2,154 | 5.8 | 44 | 3,418 | 1.3 |
| 2006-07 | 48 | 1,587 | 3.0 | 118 | 2,139 | 5.5 | 44 | 3,131 | 1.4 |
| 2007-08 | 58 | 1,827 | 3.2 | 90 | 2,123 | 4.2 | 57 | 2,804 | 2.0 |
| 2008-09 | 50 | 2,102 | 2.4 | 88 | 2,212 | 4.0 | 53 | 2,734 | 1.9 |
| Grade 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 35 | 1,321 | 2.6 | 106 | 2,409 | 4.4 | 93 | 3,765 | 2.5 |
| 2006-07 | 38 | 1,503 | 2.5 | 101 | 2,188 | 4.6 | 70 | 3,559 | 2.0 |
| 2007-08 | 36 | 1,708 | 2.1 | 105 | 2,198 | 4.8 | 59 | 3,115 | 1.9 |
| 2008-09 | 40 | 1,909 | 2.1 | 70 | 2,303 | 3.0 | 63 | 2,870 | 2.2 |
| Grades K-6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 474 | 10,709 | 4.4 | 669 | 12,948 | 5.2 | 371 | 15,555 | 2.4 |
| 2006-07 | 560 | 12,281 | 4.6 | 705 | 12,830 | 5.5 | 366 | 14,524 | 2.5 |
| 2007-08 | 623 | 14,288 | 4.4 | 672 | 13,313 | 5.0 | 346 | 13,196 | 2.6 |
| 2008-09 | 625 | 16,393 | 3.8 | 618 | 14,438 | 4.3 | 327 | 12,265 | 2.7 |

Note. Primary disabilities are listed in order of prevalence among all Grade K-6 students for the most recent school year shown. A dash (-) indicates data are not reported to protect student anonymity. When the number of students retained is not reported, the total number of students is presented in intervals of 50 to provide a general idea of the number of students in the group while maintaining student anonymity. In a group of <150, for example, the number of students is between 100 and 149

Table 12 (continued)
Grade-Level Retention of Special Education Students, Grades K-6, by Grade and Primary Disability, Texas Public Schools, 2005-06 Through 2008-09

| Year | Auditory impairment |  |  | Orthopedic impairment |  |  | Visual impairment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Students | Rate (\%) | Retained | Students | Rate (\%) | Retained | Students | Rate (\%) |
| Kindergarten |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 24 | 239 | 10.0 | 47 | 350 | 13.4 | 34 | 252 | 13.5 |
| 2006-07 | 38 | 254 | 15.0 | 52 | 352 | 14.8 | 40 | 275 | 14.5 |
| 2007-08 | 35 | 288 | 12.2 | 64 | 346 | 18.5 | 31 | 271 | 11.4 |
| 2008-09 | 38 | 299 | 12.7 | 42 | 299 | 14.0 | 43 | 282 | 15.2 |
| Grade 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 28 | 301 | 9.3 | 27 | 379 | 7.1 | 16 | 263 | 6.1 |
| 2006-07 | 24 | 321 | 7.5 | 27 | 375 | 7.2 | 20 | 264 | 7.6 |
| 2007-08 | 31 | 338 | 9.2 | 35 | 359 | 9.7 | 27 | 279 | 9.7 |
| 2008-09 | 22 | 329 | 6.7 | 25 | 327 | 7.6 | 31 | 282 | 11.0 |
| Grade 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 11 | 354 | 3.1 | 13 | 367 | 3.5 | 11 | 225 | 4.9 |
| 2006-07 | 7 | 346 | 2.0 | 15 | 348 | 4.3 | 13 | 264 | 4.9 |
| 2007-08 | 15 | 334 | 4.5 | 19 | 356 | 5.3 | 20 | 252 | 7.9 |
| 2008-09 | 22 | 369 | 6.0 | 13 | 338 | 3.8 | 14 | 271 | 5.2 |
| Grade 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 9 | 371 | 2.4 | 5 | 386 | 1.3 | - | <250 | 1.8 |
| 2006-07 | 13 | 389 | 3.3 | 13 | 338 | 3.8 | 6 | 233 | 2.6 |
| 2007-08 | 20 | 400 | 5.0 | 6 | 353 | 1.7 | - | <300 | 2.2 |
| 2008-09 | 11 | 371 | 3.0 | - | <350 | 0.9 | 5 | 241 | 2.1 |
| Grade 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | - | <400 | 1.7 | 13 | 377 | 3.4 | - | <250 | 1.3 |
| 2006-07 | 8 | 383 | 2.1 | 7 | 364 | 1.9 | - | <250 | 1.4 |
| 2007-08 | - | <450 | 1.7 | 7 | 322 | 2.2 | - | <250 | 0.8 |
| 2008-09 | - | <450 | 1.5 | 6 | 334 | 1.8 | - | <300 | 1.6 |
| Grade 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | - | <400 | 1.0 | 10 | 381 | 2.6 | 14 | 247 | 5.7 |
| 2006-07 | - | <400 | 1.6 | 18 | 346 | 5.2 | 7 | 236 | 3.0 |
| 2007-08 | 19 | 387 | 4.9 | 21 | 364 | 5.8 | 6 | 218 | 2.8 |
| 2008-09 | 9 | 446 | 2.0 | - | <350 | 1.5 | 8 | 235 | 3.4 |
| Grade 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 8 | 438 | 1.8 | 12 | 427 | 2.8 | 6 | 233 | 2.6 |
| 2006-07 | - | <450 | 0.5 | 15 | 356 | 4.2 | - | <250 | 1.7 |
| 2007-08 | - | <400 | 0.8 | 8 | 333 | 2.4 | 6 | 229 | 2.6 |
| 2008-09 | - | <400 | 1.0 | 7 | 344 | 2.0 | - | <250 | 2.2 |
| Grades K-6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 90 | 2,460 | 3.7 | 127 | 2,667 | 4.8 | 88 | 1,670 | 5.3 |
| 2006-07 | 98 | 2,493 | 3.9 | 147 | 2,479 | 5.9 | 93 | 1,727 | 5.4 |
| 2007-08 | 130 | 2,554 | 5.1 | 160 | 2,433 | 6.6 | 98 | 1,759 | 5.6 |
| 2008-09 | 112 | 2,606 | 4.3 | 101 | 2,298 | 4.4 | 110 | 1,788 | 6.2 |

Note. Primary disabilities are listed in order of prevalence among all Grade K-6 students for the most recent school year shown. A dash (-) indicates data are not reported to protect student anonymity. When the number of students retained is not reported, the total number of students is presented in intervals of 50 to provide a general idea of the number of students in the group while maintaining student anonymity. In a group of <150, for example, the number of students is between 100 and 149.

Table 12 (continued)
Grade-Level Retention of Special Education Students, Grades K-6, by Grade and Primary Disability, Texas Public Schools, 2005-06 Through 2008-09

| Year | Traumatic brain injury |  |  | Noncategorical early childhood |  |  | Deaf-blind |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Students | Rate (\%) | Retained | Students | Rate (\%) | Retained | Students | Rate (\%) |
| Kindergarten |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 12 | 56 | 21.4 | 83 | 255 | 32.5 | 0 | 3 | 0.0 |
| 2006-07 | 10 | 59 | 16.9 | - | <300 | 28.2 | - | $<50$ | 10.0 |
| 2007-08 | 9 | 50 | 18.0 | - | <300 | 29.8 | - | <50 | 26.7 |
| 2008-09 | 10 | 58 | 17.2 | 85 | 271 | 31.4 | - | <50 | 14.3 |
| Grade 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 7 | 60 | 11.7 | - | <50 | 28.6 | - | <50 | 12.5 |
| 2006-07 | - | <100 | 4.9 | - | <50 | 11.1 | - | <50 | 10.0 |
| 2007-08 | 5 | 71 | 7.0 | - | <50 | 20.0 | 0 | 15 | 0.0 |
| 2008-09 | 0 | 63 | 0.0 | - | <50 | 16.7 | 0 | 9 | 0.0 |
| Grade 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 5 | 72 | 6.9 | - | <50 | 50.0 | - | <50 | 15.4 |
| 2006-07 | - | <100 | 2.9 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 | - | <50 | 16.7 |
| 2007-08 | 5 | 72 | 6.9 | - | <50 | 33.3 | - | <50 | 7.7 |
| 2008-09 | - | <100 | 5.5 | - | <50 | 50.0 | 0 | 15 | 0.0 |
| Grade 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 0 | 95 | 0.0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 11 | 0.0 |
| 2006-07 | - | <100 | 4.8 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | - | <50 | 7.7 |
| 2007-08 | - | <100 | 2.6 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 13 | 0.0 |
| 2008-09 | - | <100 | 2.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 11 | 0.0 |
| Grade 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | - | <150 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 14 | 0.0 |
| 2006-07 | - | <150 | 3.8 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 10 | 0.0 |
| 2007-08 | - | <150 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | - | <50 | 10.0 |
| 2008-09 | - | <100 | 2.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 10 | 0.0 |
| Grade 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | - | <150 | 2.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | - | <50 | 44.4 |
| 2006-07 | - | <150 | 3.8 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | - | <50 | 10.5 |
| 2007-08 | - | <150 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 11 | 0.0 |
| 2008-09 | - | <150 | 2.9 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | - | <50 | 22.2 |
| Grade 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | - | <150 | 3.2 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | - | <50 | 16.7 |
| 2006-07 | 5 | 115 | 4.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | - | <50 | 20.0 |
| 2007-08 | 6 | 110 | 5.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | - | <50 | 14.3 |
| 2008-09 | - | <150 | 2.5 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 10 | 0.0 |
| Grades K-6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 31 | 609 | 5.1 | 86 | 265 | 32.5 | 8 | 64 | 12.5 |
| 2006-07 | 32 | 600 | 5.3 | 77 | 287 | 26.8 | 8 | 79 | 10.1 |
| 2007-08 | 30 | 596 | 5.0 | 89 | 300 | 29.7 | 9 | 98 | 9.2 |
| 2008-09 | 24 | 583 | 4.1 | 87 | 281 | 31.0 | - | <100 | 5.1 |

Note. Primary disabilities are listed in order of prevalence among all Grade K-6 students for the most recent school year shown. A dash (-) indicates data are not reported to protect student anonymity. When the number of students retained is not reported, the total number of students is presented in intervals of 50 to provide a general idea of the number of students in the group while maintaining student anonymity. In a group of <150, for example, the number of students is between 100 and 149

Table 12 (continued)
Grade-Level Retention of Special Education Students, Grades K-6, by Grade
and Primary Disability, Texas Public Schools, 2005-06 Through 2008-09

| Year | Developmental delay |  |  | All special education |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Students | Rate (\%) | Retained | Students | Rate (\%) |
| Kindergarten |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | - | <50 | 50.0 | 3,519 | 29,593 | 11.9 |
| 2006-07 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 | 3,515 | 28,520 | 12.3 |
| 2007-08 | - | <50 | 50.0 | 3,135 | 26,767 | 11.7 |
| 2008-09 | - | <50 | 50.0 | 3,040 | 25,854 | 11.8 |
| Grade 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | - | <50 | 50.0 | 3,585 | 35,004 | 10.2 |
| 2006-07 | 0 | 3 | 0.0 | 3,578 | 33,658 | 10.6 |
| 2007-08 | 0 | 3 | 0.0 | 3,335 | 31,617 | 10.5 |
| 2008-09 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 2,950 | 30,438 | 9.7 |
| Grade 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | - | <50 | 100 | 1,636 | 36,885 | 4.4 |
| 2006-07 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 | 1,627 | 35,518 | 4.6 |
| 2007-08 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 | 1,546 | 33,104 | 4.7 |
| 2008-09 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1,342 | 32,180 | 4.2 |
| Grade 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 968 | 41,866 | 2.3 |
| 2006-07 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1,057 | 40,014 | 2.6 |
| 2007-08 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 | 1,098 | 36,993 | 3.0 |
| 2008-09 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 851 | 36,038 | 2.4 |
| Grade 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 568 | 44,054 | 1.3 |
| 2006-07 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 548 | 41,631 | 1.3 |
| 2007-08 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 491 | 38,584 | 1.3 |
| 2008-09 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 363 | 36,687 | 1.0 |
| Grade 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 832 | 46,267 | 1.8 |
| 2006-07 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 769 | 43,500 | 1.8 |
| 2007-08 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 962 | 39,890 | 2.4 |
| 2008-09 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 645 | 38,611 | 1.7 |
| Grade 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 767 | 46,009 | 1.7 |
| 2006-07 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 689 | 43,920 | 1.6 |
| 2007-08 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 651 | 39,734 | 1.6 |
| 2008-09 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 470 | 37,092 | 1.3 |
| Grades K-6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | - | <50 | 50.0 | 11,875 | 279,678 | 4.2 |
| 2006-07 | 0 | 9 | 0.0 | 11,783 | 266,761 | 4.4 |
| 2007-08 | - | <50 | 21.4 | 11,218 | 246,689 | 4.5 |
| 2008-09 | - | <50 | 25.0 | 9,661 | 236,900 | 4.1 |

Note. Primary disabilities are listed in order of prevalence among all Grade K-6 students for the most recent school year shown. A dash (-) indicates data are not reported to protect student anonymity. When the number of students retained is not reported, the total number of students is presented in intervals of 50 to provide a general idea of the number of students in the group while maintaining student anonymity. In a group of <150, for example, the number of students is between 100 and 149.

## Grade-Level Retention of Special Education Students by Primary Disability

## Secondary Grades

In 2008-09, most secondary special education students overall ( $92.5 \%$ ), as well as most secondary special education students who were retained ( $94.4 \%$ ), were assigned a primary disability from 1 of 5 categories of disability: learning disability; other health impairment, such as attention deficit disorder; emotional disturbance; mental retardation; and autism (Table 13 on page 54).

As in the elementary grades, 2008-09 retention rates for special education students in the secondary grades varied widely based on primary disability and grade. The results that follow are based on the five most common primary disabilities.

In Grades 8 and 12, retention rates among students with one of the five most common disabilities were highest for those with mental retardation. In Grades $7,9,10$, and 11 , students with emotional disturbance had the highest retention rates. In Grade 7, retention rates were lowest for students with autism and students with learning disabilities. In Grades 8 and 12, retention rates were lowest for students with learning disabilities. In Grades 9,10 , and 11, retention rates were lowest or next to lowest for students with autism and students with mental retardation. In each year between 2005-06 and 2008-09, approximately one in five ninth graders receiving special education services was retained.

In Grade 7, the 2008-09 retention rate for students with emotional disturbance (3.0\%) was 1.4 percentage points higher than the retention rate for students with learning disabilities (1.6\%) (Figure 13). Retention rates for both groups were highest in Grade 9 and declined in each subsequent grade. In Grade 12, students with emotional disturbance were retained at nearly three times the rate for students with learning disabilities.

Figure 13
Grade-Level Retention of Special Education Students With Emotional Disturbance and With Learning Disabilities as Primary Disabilities, Grades 7-12, by Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2008-09


Table 13
Grade-Level Retention of Special Education Students, Grades 7-12, by Grade and Primary Disability, Texas Public Schools, 2005-06 Through 2008-09

| Year | Learning disability |  |  | Other health impairment |  |  | Emotional disturbance |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Students | Rate (\%) | Retained | Students | Rate (\%) | Retained | Students | Rate (\%) |
| Grade 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 564 | 26,864 | 2.1 | 151 | 5,892 | 2.6 | 151 | 4,177 | 3.6 |
| 2006-07 | 502 | 25,187 | 2.0 | 122 | 5,923 | 2.1 | 113 | 3,750 | 3.0 |
| 2007-08 | 455 | 23,343 | 1.9 | 143 | 5,984 | 2.4 | 114 | 3,596 | 3.2 |
| 2008-09 | 346 | 21,169 | 1.6 | 100 | 5,484 | 1.8 | 96 | 3,215 | 3.0 |
| Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 424 | 25,876 | 1.6 | 148 | 5,250 | 2.8 | 133 | 4,239 | 3.1 |
| 2006-07 | 393 | 25,304 | 1.6 | 165 | 5,464 | 3.0 | 102 | 3,984 | 2.6 |
| 2007-08 | 518 | 23,497 | 2.2 | 186 | 5,549 | 3.4 | 138 | 3,634 | 3.8 |
| 2008-09 | 352 | 22,336 | 1.6 | 139 | 5,668 | 2.5 | 80 | 3,460 | 2.3 |
| Grade 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 6,745 | 29,514 | 22.9 | 1,152 | 5,465 | 21.1 | 1,750 | 5,242 | 33.4 |
| 2006-07 | 6,499 | 28,743 | 22.6 | 1,126 | 5,545 | 20.3 | 1,628 | 5,129 | 31.7 |
| 2007-08 | 6,466 | 27,663 | 23.4 | 1,247 | 5,707 | 21.9 | 1,452 | 4,761 | 30.5 |
| 2008-09 | 5,448 | 26,038 | 20.9 | 1,064 | 5,891 | 18.1 | 1,279 | 4,367 | 29.3 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 2,870 | 22,680 | 12.7 | 485 | 3,902 | 12.4 | 698 | 3,453 | 20.2 |
| 2006-07 | 2,678 | 21,478 | 12.5 | 473 | 4,000 | 11.8 | 660 | 3,248 | 20.3 |
| 2007-08 | 2,413 | 20,530 | 11.8 | 442 | 4,057 | 10.9 | 571 | 3,061 | 18.7 |
| 2008-09 | 2,294 | 19,696 | 11.6 | 462 | 4,121 | 11.2 | 538 | 2,860 | 18.8 |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 1,747 | 19,359 | 9.0 | 315 | 3,254 | 9.7 | 390 | 2,314 | 16.9 |
| 2006-07 | 1,715 | 18,736 | 9.2 | 325 | 3,201 | 10.2 | 355 | 2,365 | 15.0 |
| 2007-08 | 1,687 | 17,844 | 9.5 | 284 | 3,440 | 8.3 | 353 | 2,290 | 15.4 |
| 2008-09 | 1,641 | 17,729 | 9.3 | 282 | 3,463 | 8.1 | 382 | 2,295 | 16.6 |
| Grade 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 1,029 | 20,042 | 5.1 | 387 | 3,046 | 12.7 | 256 | 2,304 | 11.1 |
| 2006-07 | 992 | 19,561 | 5.1 | 449 | 3,408 | 13.2 | 273 | 2,229 | 12.2 |
| 2007-08 | 925 | 18,841 | 4.9 | 477 | 3,429 | 13.9 | 251 | 2,314 | 10.8 |
| 2008-09 | 773 | 18,148 | 4.3 | 524 | 3,732 | 14.0 | 265 | 2,209 | 12.0 |
| Grades 7-12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 13,379 | 144,335 | 9.3 | 2,638 | 26,809 | 9.8 | 3,378 | 21,729 | 15.5 |
| 2006-07 | 12,779 | 139,009 | 9.2 | 2,660 | 27,541 | 9.7 | 3,131 | 20,705 | 15.1 |
| 2007-08 | 12,464 | 131,718 | 9.5 | 2,779 | 28,166 | 9.9 | 2,879 | 19,656 | 14.6 |
| 2008-09 | 10,854 | 125,116 | 8.7 | 2,571 | 28,359 | 9.1 | 2,640 | 18,406 | 14.3 |

Note. Primary disabilities are listed in order of prevalence among all Grade $7-12$ students for the most recent school year shown. A dash (-) indicates data are not reported to protect student anonymity. When the number of students retained is not reported, the total number of students is presented in intervals of 50 to provide a general idea of the number of students in the group while maintaining student anonymity. In a group of <150, for example, the number of students is between 100 and 149.

Table 13 (continued)
Grade-Level Retention of Special Education Students, Grades 7-12, by Grade and Primary Disability, Texas Public Schools, 2005-06 Through 2008-09

| Year | Mental retardation |  |  | Autism |  |  | Auditory impairment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Students | Rate (\%) | Retained | Students | Rate (\%) | Retained | Students | Rate (\%) |
| Grade 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 82 | 2,373 | 3.5 | 21 | 1,222 | 1.7 | - | <450 | 0.7 |
| 2006-07 | 91 | 2,440 | 3.7 | 28 | 1,376 | 2.0 | - | <450 | 1.0 |
| 2007-08 | 80 | 2,238 | 3.6 | 31 | 1,589 | 2.0 | 6 | 459 | 1.3 |
| 2008-09 | 64 | 2,315 | 2.8 | 29 | 1,801 | 1.6 | 5 | 393 | 1.3 |
| Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 331 | 2,607 | 12.7 | 133 | 1,173 | 11.3 | - | <450 | 1.7 |
| 2006-07 | 291 | 2,562 | 11.4 | 117 | 1,339 | 8.7 | - | <400 | 1.8 |
| 2007-08 | 254 | 2,655 | 9.6 | 92 | 1,495 | 6.2 | 9 | 423 | 2.1 |
| 2008-09 | 195 | 2,486 | 7.8 | 103 | 1,693 | 6.1 | 11 | 450 | 2.4 |
| Grade 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 365 | 2,820 | 12.9 | 78 | 1,028 | 7.6 | 42 | 413 | 10.2 |
| 2006-07 | 340 | 2,696 | 12.6 | 76 | 1,181 | 6.4 | 57 | 427 | 13.3 |
| 2007-08 | 347 | 2,672 | 13.0 | 82 | 1,329 | 6.2 | 68 | 430 | 15.8 |
| 2008-09 | 286 | 2,754 | 10.4 | 101 | 1,528 | 6.6 | 42 | 475 | 8.8 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 182 | 2,540 | 7.2 | 46 | 788 | 5.8 | 13 | 355 | 3.7 |
| 2006-07 | 161 | 2,421 | 6.7 | 34 | 973 | 3.5 | 22 | 351 | 6.3 |
| 2007-08 | 129 | 2,325 | 5.5 | 39 | 1,117 | 3.5 | 20 | 381 | 5.2 |
| 2008-09 | 144 | 2,333 | 6.2 | 46 | 1,288 | 3.6 | 17 | 343 | 5.0 |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 426 | 2,734 | 15.6 | 61 | 694 | 8.8 | 22 | 302 | 7.3 |
| 2006-07 | 290 | 2,693 | 10.8 | 70 | 830 | 8.4 | 23 | 353 | 6.5 |
| 2007-08 | 190 | 2,491 | 7.6 | 47 | 1,033 | 4.5 | 15 | 350 | 4.3 |
| 2008-09 | 146 | 2,432 | 6.0 | 52 | 1,171 | 4.4 | 11 | 371 | 3.0 |
| Grade 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 1,822 | 3,872 | 47.1 | 419 | 853 | 49.1 | 34 | 323 | 10.5 |
| 2006-07 | 2,088 | 4,156 | 50.2 | 499 | 1,047 | 47.7 | 36 | 334 | 10.8 |
| 2007-08 | 2,453 | 4,554 | 53.9 | 652 | 1,297 | 50.3 | 46 | 376 | 12.2 |
| 2008-09 | 2,640 | 4,752 | 55.6 | 803 | 1,618 | 49.6 | 57 | 400 | 14.3 |
| Grades 7-12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 3,208 | 16,946 | 18.9 | 758 | 5,758 | 13.2 | 121 | 2,208 | 5.5 |
| 2006-07 | 3,261 | 16,968 | 19.2 | 824 | 6,746 | 12.2 | 149 | 2,271 | 6.6 |
| 2007-08 | 3,453 | 16,935 | 20.4 | 943 | 7,860 | 12.0 | 164 | 2,419 | 6.8 |
| 2008-09 | 3,475 | 17,072 | 20.4 | 1,134 | 9,099 | 12.5 | 143 | 2,432 | 5.9 |

Note. Primary disabilities are listed in order of prevalence among all Grade $7-12$ students for the most recent school year shown. A dash (-) indicates data are not reported to protect student anonymity. When the number of students retained is not reported, the total number of students is presented in intervals of 50 to provide a general idea of the number of students in the group while maintaining student anonymity. In a group of <150, for example, the number of students is between 100 and 149.

Table 13 (continued)
Grade-Level Retention of Special Education Students, Grades 7-12, by Grade and Primary Disability, Texas Public Schools, 2005-06 Through 2008-09

| Year | Speech impairment |  |  | Orthopedic impairment |  |  | Visual impairment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Students | Rate (\%) | Retained | Students | Rate (\%) | Retained | Students | Rate (\%) |
| Grade 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 19 | 1,176 | 1.6 | - | <400 | 1.1 | - | <250 | 1.3 |
| 2006-07 | 24 | 1,080 | 2.2 | 10 | 404 | 2.5 | - | <250 | 0.5 |
| 2007-08 | 15 | 1,076 | 1.4 | - | <350 | 1.2 | - | <250 | 1.3 |
| 2008-09 | 7 | 981 | 0.7 | - | <350 | 1.0 | - | <250 | 1.7 |
| Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 7 | 629 | 1.1 | 31 | 373 | 8.3 | 19 | 198 | 9.6 |
| 2006-07 | 9 | 621 | 1.4 | 24 | 364 | 6.6 | - | <250 | 3.4 |
| 2007-08 | 10 | 576 | 1.7 | 30 | 407 | 7.4 | 10 | 220 | 4.5 |
| 2008-09 | 7 | 553 | 1.3 | 17 | 334 | 5.1 | - | <250 | 4.0 |
| Grade 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 65 | 410 | 15.9 | 55 | 398 | 13.8 | 29 | 246 | 11.8 |
| 2006-07 | 63 | 383 | 16.4 | 53 | 362 | 14.6 | 21 | 203 | 10.3 |
| 2007-08 | 57 | 350 | 16.3 | 40 | 370 | 10.8 | 20 | 224 | 8.9 |
| 2008-09 | 39 | 309 | 12.6 | 36 | 394 | 9.1 | 24 | 234 | 10.3 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 15 | 261 | 5.7 | - | <350 | 5.2 | - | <200 | 5.3 |
| 2006-07 | 20 | 230 | 8.7 | 18 | 334 | 5.4 | 10 | 201 | 5.0 |
| 2007-08 | 13 | 194 | 6.7 | - | <300 | 3.8 | 5 | 179 | 2.8 |
| 2008-09 | 12 | 177 | 6.8 | 16 | 311 | 5.1 | 12 | 211 | 5.7 |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 14 | 184 | 7.6 | 37 | 352 | 10.5 | 13 | 191 | 6.8 |
| 2006-07 | 11 | 181 | 6.1 | 21 | 337 | 6.2 | 10 | 179 | 5.6 |
| 2007-08 | 9 | 145 | 6.2 | 20 | 310 | 6.5 | - | <250 | 1.9 |
| 2008-09 | 8 | 137 | 5.8 | - | <300 | 3.6 | 10 | 180 | 5.6 |
| Grade 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 23 | 150 | 15.3 | 148 | 442 | 33.5 | 59 | 240 | 24.6 |
| 2006-07 | 16 | 142 | 11.3 | 154 | 460 | 33.5 | 66 | 229 | 28.8 |
| 2007-08 | 18 | 141 | 12.8 | 167 | 464 | 36.0 | 66 | 241 | 27.4 |
| 2008-09 | 8 | 118 | 6.8 | 174 | 459 | 37.9 | 69 | 264 | 26.1 |
| Grades 7-12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 143 | 2,810 | 5.1 | 293 | 2,267 | 12.9 | 133 | 1,290 | 10.3 |
| 2006-07 | 143 | 2,637 | 5.4 | 280 | 2,261 | 12.4 | 116 | 1,258 | 9.2 |
| 2007-08 | 122 | 2,482 | 4.9 | 272 | 2,174 | 12.5 | 108 | 1,300 | 8.3 |
| 2008-09 | 81 | 2,275 | 3.6 | 256 | 2,087 | 12.3 | 128 | 1,341 | 9.5 |

Note. Primary disabilities are listed in order of prevalence among all Grade 7-12 students for the most recent school year shown. A dash (-) indicates data are not reported to protect student anonymity. When the number of students retained is not reported, the total number of students is presented in intervals of 50 to provide a general idea of the number of students in the group while maintaining student anonymity. In a group of <150, for example, the number of students is between 100 and 149.

Table 13 (continued)
Grade-Level Retention of Special Education Students, Grades 7-12, by Grade and Primary Disability, Texas Public Schools, 2005-06 Through 2008-09

| Year | Traumatic brain injury |  |  | Deaf-blind |  |  | Developmental Delay |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Students | Rate (\%) | Retained | Students | Rate (\%) | Retained | Students | Rate (\%) |
| Grade 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | - | <150 | 0.7 | - | <50 | 7.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 2006-07 | 0 | 123 | 0.0 | 0 | 4 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 2007-08 | - | <150 | 1.7 | 0 | 6 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 2008-09 | - | <150 | 1.8 | 0 | 12 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | - | <150 | 6.7 | - | <50 | 27.3 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 2006-07 | - | <150 | 5.0 | - | <50 | 31.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 2007-08 | - | <150 | 3.8 | - | <50 | 22.2 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| 2008-09 | - | <150 | 3.5 | - | <50 | 20.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Grade 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 20 | 148 | 13.5 | - | <50 | 14.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 2006-07 | 13 | 126 | 10.3 | 0 | 11 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 2007-08 | 22 | 157 | 14.0 | - | <50 | 10.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 2008-09 | 18 | 164 | 11.0 | 0 | 9 | 0.0 | - | <50 | 50.0 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 12 | 131 | 9.2 | 0 | 12 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 2006-07 | - | <150 | 3.2 | - | <50 | 7.7 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 2007-08 | 8 | 111 | 7.2 | - | <50 | 10.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 2008-09 | 8 | 142 | 5.6 | - | <50 | 11.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 16 | 137 | 11.7 | 0 | 9 | 0.0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 2006-07 | 8 | 135 | 5.9 | - | <50 | 7.7 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 2007-08 | 7 | 139 | 5.0 | - | <50 | 23.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 2008-09 | 10 | 127 | 7.9 | - | <50 | 9.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Grade 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 41 | 158 | 25.9 | - | <50 | 36.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 2006-07 | 55 | 194 | 28.4 | 6 | 13 | 46.2 | - | <50 | 100 |
| 2007-08 | 62 | 200 | 31.0 | 13 | 21 | 61.9 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 2008-09 | 70 | 211 | 33.2 | - | <50 | 61.9 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Grades 7-12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 97 | 817 | 11.9 | 10 | 71 | 14.1 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| 2006-07 | 87 | 842 | 10.3 | 13 | 70 | 18.6 | - | <50 | 100 |
| 2007-08 | 106 | 853 | 12.4 | 20 | 69 | 29.0 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| 2008-09 | 112 | 871 | 12.9 | 16 | 67 | 23.9 | - | <50 | 50.0 |

Note. Primary disabilities are listed in order of prevalence among all Grade $7-12$ students for the most recent school year shown. A dash (-) indicates data are not reported to protect student anonymity. When the number of students retained is not reported, the total number of students is presented in intervals of 50 to provide a general idea of the number of students in the group while maintaining student anonymity. In a group of <150, for example, the number of students is between 100 and 149

Table 13 (continued)
Grade-Level Retention of Special Education Students, Grades 7-12, by Grade and Primary Disability, Texas Public Schools, 2005-06 Through 2008-09

| Year | Noncategorical early childhood |  |  | All special education |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Students | Rate (\%) | Retained | Students | Rate (\%) |
| Grade 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1,068 | 44,896 | 2.4 |
| 2006-07 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 969 | 43,185 | 2.2 |
| 2007-08 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 899 | 40,830 | 2.2 |
| 2008-09 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 687 | 37,855 | 1.8 |
| Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1,283 | 42,677 | 3.0 |
| 2006-07 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1,177 | 42,497 | 2.8 |
| 2007-08 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1,333 | 40,385 | 3.3 |
| 2008-09 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 960 | 39,061 | 2.5 |
| Grade 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 10,599 | 47,079 | 22.5 |
| 2006-07 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 10,191 | 46,292 | 22.0 |
| 2007-08 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 10,035 | 45,055 | 22.3 |
| 2008-09 | - | <50 | 100 | 8,514 | 43,431 | 19.6 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4,478 | 35,556 | 12.6 |
| 2006-07 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4,193 | 34,274 | 12.2 |
| 2007-08 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3,754 | 33,180 | 11.3 |
| 2008-09 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3,630 | 32,254 | 11.3 |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3,108 | 30,202 | 10.3 |
| 2006-07 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2,901 | 29,683 | 9.8 |
| 2007-08 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2,673 | 28,765 | 9.3 |
| 2008-09 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2,610 | 28,725 | 9.1 |
| Grade 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4,315 | 32,261 | 13.4 |
| 2006-07 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4,741 | 32,487 | 14.6 |
| 2007-08 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5,244 | 32,534 | 16.1 |
| 2008-09 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5,495 | 32,686 | 16.8 |
| Grades 7-12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 24,851 | 232,671 | 10.7 |
| 2006-07 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 24,172 | 228,418 | 10.6 |
| 2007-08 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 23,938 | 220,749 | 10.8 |
| 2008-09 | - | <50 | 100 | 21,896 | 214,012 | 10.2 |

Note. Primary disabilities are listed in order of prevalence among all Grade 7-12 students for the most recent school year shown. A dash (-) indicates data are not reported to protect student anonymity. When the number of students retained is not reported, the total number of students is presented in intervals of 50 to provide a general idea of the number of students in the group while maintaining student anonymity. In a group of <150, for example, the number of students is between 100 and 149.

## Grade-Level Retention <br> by At-Risk, Immigrant, Migrant, and Overage Student Characteristics

## Elementary Grades

A student under the age of 21 is identified as at risk of dropping out of school if his or her circumstances fit 1 of 13 categories defined by TEC §29.081 (2009). The categories include unsatisfactory scores on readiness tests or assessment instruments, pregnancy, and grade-level retention in a previous year. Immigrant status applies to individuals, ages 3 through 21, who were not born in the United States and who have not attended more than three years of school in the United States (TEA, 2008b). Migrant status applies to students between the ages of 3 and 21, who have changed school districts at least once in the preceding 36 months because of seasonal or temporary farm or fishing work. A student is considered overage for grade if his or her age on September 1 is higher than the grade level plus five years. For example, first graders older than six years of age are classified as overage.

From 1999-00 through 2008-09, retention rates in each elementary grade were higher for at-risk students than for the state overall (Table 14). In 2008-09, the retention rate for at-risk students was highest in first grade (7.6\%), followed by second grade (4.3\%) (Figure 14).

Figure 14
Grade-Level Retention, Grades K-6, by Grade and At-Risk Student Characteristic, Texas Public Schools, 2008-09


Table 14
Grade-Level Retention, Grades K-6, by Grade and by At-Risk, Immigrant, Migrant, and Overage Student Characteristics, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 Through 2008-09

| Year | At-Risk |  | Immigrant |  | Migrant |  | Overage |  | State |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) |
| Kindergarten |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 2,552 | 3.1 | n/a ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | n/a | 155 | 3.6 | 99 | 0.8 | 7,941 | 2.8 |
| 2000-01 | 2,787 | 3.3 | 137 | 1.9 | 168 | 3.8 | 90 | 0.6 | 9,110 | 3.2 |
| 2001-02 | 3,537 | 3.7 | 171 | 2.0 | 278 | 4.1 | 101 | 0.6 | 9,968 | 3.4 |
| 2002-03 | 4,190 | 4.0 | 186 | 2.0 | 347 | 5.0 | 121 | 0.7 | 11,049 | 3.6 |
| 2003-04 | 4,638 | 4.2 | 224 | 2.3 | 324 | 4.9 | 101 | 0.6 | 11,684 | 3.7 |
| 2004-05 | 4,880 | 4.2 | 201 | 2.1 | 254 | 4.9 | 119 | 0.6 | 12,190 | 3.7 |
| 2005-06 | 5,012 | 3.9 | 231 | 2.5 | 179 | 4.6 | 124 | 0.6 | 12,559 | 3.7 |
| 2006-07 | 5,588 | 3.9 | 190 | 2.3 | 160 | 5.6 | 98 | 0.5 | 12,446 | 3.6 |
| 2007-08 | 5,285 | 3.6 | 130 | 1.8 | 123 | 4.7 | 75 | 0.4 | 11,457 | 3.3 |
| 2008-09 | 5,323 | 3.5 | 130 | 2.0 | 124 | 4.9 | 81 | 0.5 | 11,036 | 3.1 |
| Grade 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 9,871 | 8.8 | n/a | n/a | 444 | 8.3 | 724 | 2.2 | 19,505 | 6.3 |
| 2000-01 | 10,040 | 8.6 | 654 | 6.8 | 428 | 8.1 | 748 | 2.2 | 19,529 | 6.3 |
| 2001-02 | 11,847 | 8.5 | 730 | 6.7 | 816 | 11.0 | 770 | 2.2 | 20,094 | 6.4 |
| 2002-03 | 12,151 | 8.2 | 685 | 5.9 | 761 | 9.8 | 721 | 1.9 | 20,180 | 6.3 |
| 2003-04 | 13,250 | 8.6 | 679 | 5.8 | 750 | 9.8 | 732 | 1.9 | 21,101 | 6.4 |
| 2004-05 | 13,729 | 8.8 | 692 | 5.7 | 621 | 10.2 | 752 | 1.9 | 21,496 | 6.4 |
| 2005-06 | 14,286 | 8.5 | 682 | 5.7 | 449 | 10.4 | 728 | 1.7 | 22,540 | 6.4 |
| 2006-07 | 14,582 | 8.3 | 592 | 5.3 | 333 | 10.4 | 782 | 1.8 | 23,170 | 6.3 |
| 2007-08 | 14,428 | 7.8 | 512 | 5.3 | 322 | 11.0 | 717 | 1.6 | 21,852 | 5.9 |
| 2008-09 | 13,982 | 7.6 | 413 | 4.8 | 350 | 11.9 | 546 | 1.3 | 20,970 | 5.6 |
| Grade 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 5,517 | 5.0 | n/a | n/a | 242 | 4.5 | 905 | 2.2 | 9,852 | 3.3 |
| 2000-01 | 6,266 | 5.6 | 457 | 5.3 | 272 | 4.9 | 1,128 | 2.6 | 11,001 | 3.6 |
| 2001-02 | 6,878 | 5.3 | 485 | 5.0 | 429 | 5.9 | 1,190 | 2.6 | 11,066 | 3.6 |
| 2002-03 | 7,265 | 5.1 | 523 | 5.0 | 459 | 6.2 | 1,163 | 2.5 | 11,184 | 3.6 |
| 2003-04 | 7,821 | 5.3 | 458 | 4.5 | 496 | 6.7 | 1,146 | 2.4 | 11,648 | 3.7 |
| 2004-05 | 8,083 | 5.3 | 456 | 4.5 | 372 | 6.1 | 1,204 | 2.4 | 11,859 | 3.6 |
| 2005-06 | 8,288 | 5.1 | 457 | 4.6 | 269 | 6.2 | 1,199 | 2.3 | 12,477 | 3.7 |
| 2006-07 | 8,512 | 5.0 | 383 | 4.4 | 227 | 7.3 | 1,208 | 2.2 | 12,383 | 3.6 |
| 2007-08 | 8,564 | 4.7 | 288 | 3.5 | 156 | 5.5 | 1,105 | 2.0 | 12,132 | 3.4 |
| 2008-09 | 8,022 | 4.3 | 258 | 3.6 | 176 | 6.4 | 886 | 1.6 | 11,288 | 3.1 |
| Grade 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 3,630 | 3.5 | n/a | n/a | 170 | 3.3 | 1,055 | 2.4 | 6,862 | 2.3 |
| 2000-01 | 3,995 | 3.7 | 277 | 4.3 | 179 | 3.3 | 1,101 | 2.3 | 7,659 | 2.5 |
| 2001-02 | 4,218 | 3.5 | 273 | 3.6 | 262 | 3.5 | 1,154 | 2.2 | 7,636 | 2.4 |
| 2002-03 | 5,723 | 4.3 | 296 | 3.6 | 344 | 4.6 | 1,574 | 3.0 | 8,924 | 2.8 |
| 2003-04 | 5,182 | 3.8 | 236 | 3.0 | 319 | 4.5 | 1,334 | 2.4 | 8,196 | 2.6 |

Note. Students may be counted in more than one category.
${ }^{a}$ Not applicable. Retention rates for immigrant students were not calculated until school year 2000-01.

Table 14 (continued)
Grade-Level Retention, Grades K-6, by Grade and by At-Risk, Immigrant, Migrant, and Overage Student Characteristics, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 Through 2008-09

| Year | At-Risk |  | Immigrant |  | Migrant |  | Overage |  | State |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) |
| 2004-05 | 7,062 | 4.9 | 362 | 4.6 | 365 | 6.1 | 1,691 | 3.0 | 10,366 | 3.2 |
| 2005-06 | 6,577 | 4.2 | 270 | 3.9 | 251 | 5.6 | 1,476 | 2.5 | 9,758 | 2.9 |
| 2006-07 | 6,259 | 4.0 | 220 | 3.3 | 166 | 5.4 | 1,388 | 2.3 | 9,442 | 2.8 |
| 2007-08 | 6,000 | 3.6 | 178 | 2.9 | 115 | 4.1 | 1,387 | 2.2 | 8,918 | 2.6 |
| 2008-09 | 5,814 | 3.3 | 139 | 2.5 | 126 | 4.5 | 1,157 | 1.8 | 8,418 | 2.3 |
| Grade 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 2,316 | 2.3 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}^{\text {a }}$ | n/a | 94 | 1.9 | 760 | 1.7 | 4,014 | 1.3 |
| 2000-01 | 2,565 | 2.4 | 184 | 3.2 | 77 | 1.5 | 837 | 1.7 | 4,405 | 1.4 |
| 2001-02 | 2,248 | 2.2 | 183 | 2.7 | 137 | 2.0 | 832 | 1.6 | 4,043 | 1.3 |
| 2002-03 | 2,804 | 2.7 | 193 | 2.6 | 192 | 2.6 | 1,065 | 1.9 | 4,843 | 1.5 |
| 2003-04 | 2,732 | 2.7 | 232 | 3.4 | 196 | 2.7 | 1,010 | 1.8 | 5,147 | 1.6 |
| 2004-05 | 2,969 | 3.0 | 230 | 3.3 | 155 | 2.7 | 1,150 | 1.9 | 5,630 | 1.8 |
| 2005-06 | 3,283 | 2.8 | 194 | 3.2 | 119 | 2.8 | 1,158 | 1.9 | 5,665 | 1.8 |
| 2006-07 | 3,218 | 2.6 | 148 | 2.7 | 103 | 3.2 | 1,066 | 1.7 | 5,351 | 1.6 |
| 2007-08 | 2,650 | 2.1 | 103 | 1.8 | 67 | 2.4 | 936 | 1.4 | 4,505 | 1.3 |
| 2008-09 | 2,513 | 1.8 | 81 | 1.6 | 49 | 1.8 | 673 | 1.0 | 3,984 | 1.1 |
| Grade 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 1,513 | 1.5 | n/a | n/a | 57 | 1.2 | 710 | 1.5 | 2,938 | 1.0 |
| 2000-01 | 1,392 | 1.4 | 107 | 2.0 | 60 | 1.2 | 588 | 1.2 | 2,789 | 0.9 |
| 2001-02 | 1,282 | 1.3 | 111 | 1.7 | 86 | 1.3 | 620 | 1.2 | 2,591 | 0.8 |
| 2002-03 | 1,626 | 1.7 | 129 | 1.9 | 82 | 1.1 | 695 | 1.3 | 3,109 | 1.0 |
| 2003-04 | 1,782 | 1.6 | 103 | 1.6 | 100 | 1.4 | 690 | 1.2 | 3,225 | 1.0 |
| 2004-05 | 7,650 | 7.0 | 363 | 5.7 | 370 | 6.3 | 2,293 | 3.9 | 11,159 | 3.5 |
| 2005-06 | 6,235 | 4.9 | 251 | 4.2 | 231 | 5.3 | 1,801 | 2.6 | 8,891 | 2.7 |
| 2006-07 | 4,978 | 4.0 | 223 | 4.1 | 126 | 4.0 | 1,483 | 2.2 | 7,288 | 2.2 |
| 2007-08 | 4,439 | 3.5 | 167 | 3.3 | 90 | 3.1 | 1,314 | 1.9 | 6,746 | 2.0 |
| 2008-09 | 4,041 | 3.0 | 137 | 2.8 | 52 | 1.9 | 1,179 | 1.7 | 5,735 | 1.7 |
| Grade 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 2,501 | 2.5 | n/a | n/a | 122 | 2.5 | 1,602 | 3.2 | 4,906 | 1.7 |
| 2000-01 | 2,347 | 2.3 | 123 | 2.3 | 100 | 1.9 | 1,506 | 2.9 | 4,824 | 1.6 |
| 2001-02 | 2,166 | 2.5 | 116 | 1.9 | 138 | 2.0 | 1,420 | 2.7 | 4,414 | 1.4 |
| 2002-03 | 2,182 | 2.6 | 129 | 1.9 | 154 | 2.1 | 1,401 | 2.5 | 4,563 | 1.4 |
| 2003-04 | 2,709 | 2.3 | 89 | 1.4 | 182 | 2.5 | 1,527 | 2.6 | 4,795 | 1.5 |
| 2004-05 | 2,933 | 2.2 | 109 | 1.7 | 129 | 2.1 | 1,715 | 2.7 | 4,901 | 1.5 |
| 2005-06 | 2,325 | 1.8 | 97 | 1.7 | 61 | 1.4 | 1,617 | 2.5 | 4,066 | 1.3 |
| 2006-07 | 2,122 | 1.7 | 69 | 1.3 | 50 | 1.6 | 1,575 | 2.2 | 3,816 | 1.2 |
| 2007-08 | 1,669 | 1.4 | 78 | 1.6 | 40 | 1.4 | 1,305 | 1.8 | 3,182 | 1.0 |
| 2008-09 | 1,542 | 1.2 | 37 | 0.8 | 47 | 1.7 | 1,081 | 1.5 | 2,792 | 0.8 |

Note. Students may be counted in more than one category.
aNot applicable. Retention rates for immigrant students were not calculated until school year 2000-01.

Table 14 (continued)
Grade-Level Retention, Grades K-6, by Grade and by At-Risk, Immigrant, Migrant, and Overage Student Characteristics, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 Through 2008-09

| Year | At-Risk |  | Immigrant |  | Migrant |  | Overage |  | State |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) |
| Total K-6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 27,900 | 3.9 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}^{\text {a }}$ | n/a | 1,284 | 3.7 | 5,855 | 2.2 | 56,018 | 2.7 |
| 2000-01 | 29,392 | 4.0 | 1,939 | 4.0 | 1,284 | 3.5 | 5,998 | 2.1 | 59,317 | 2.8 |
| 2001-02 | 32,176 | 4.2 | 2,069 | 3.7 | 2,146 | 4.3 | 6,087 | 2.0 | 59,812 | 2.8 |
| 2002-03 | 35,941 | 4.4 | 2,141 | 3.6 | 2,339 | 4.5 | 6,740 | 2.1 | 63,852 | 2.9 |
| 2003-04 | 38,114 | 4.3 | 2,021 | 3.4 | 2,367 | 4.7 | 6,540 | 2.0 | 65,796 | 2.9 |
| 2004-05 | 47,306 | 5.2 | 2,413 | 4.1 | 2,266 | 5.5 | 8,924 | 2.6 | 77,601 | 3.4 |
| 2005-06 | 46,006 | 4.7 | 2,182 | 3.9 | 1,559 | 5.2 | 8,103 | 2.2 | 75,956 | 3.3 |
| 2006-07 | 45,259 | 4.5 | 1,825 | 3.6 | 1,165 | 5.3 | 7,600 | 2.0 | 73,896 | 3.1 |
| 2007-08 | 43,035 | 4.1 | 1,456 | 3.1 | 913 | 4.6 | 6,839 | 1.8 | 68,792 | 2.8 |
| 2008-09 | 41,237 | 3.8 | 1,195 | 2.8 | 924 | 4.8 | 5,603 | 1.4 | 64,223 | 2.6 |

Note. Students may be counted in more than one category.
aNot applicable. Retention rates for immigrant students were not calculated until school year 2000-01.

## Grade-Level Retention <br> by At-Risk, Immigrant, Migrant, and Overage Student Characteristics

## Secondary Grades

From 1999-00 through 2008-09, retention rates in the secondary grades were higher for at-risk students than for the state overall (Table 15). In 2008-09, overage students, or students who were older than their grade-level peers, had the highest or second highest retention rate in each secondary grade. Overage students also were retained at a rate higher than the state rate at every grade level (Figure 15).

Figure 15
Grade-Level Retention, Grades 7-12, by Grade and Overage Student Characteristic, Texas Public Schools, 2008-09


Table 15
Grade-Level Retention, Grades 7-12, by Grade and by At-Risk, Immigrant, Migrant, and Overage Student Characteristics, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 Through 2008-09

| Year | At-Risk |  | Immigrant |  | Migrant |  | Overage |  | State |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) |
| Grade 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 4,130 | 4.3 | n/a ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | n/a | 185 | 3.9 | 3,163 | 5.3 | 8,513 | 2.9 |
| 2000-01 | 3,794 | 3.7 | 137 | 2.5 | 159 | 3.1 | 2,821 | 4.9 | 7,762 | 2.5 |
| 2001-02 | 3,760 | 3.8 | 166 | 2.6 | 281 | 4.0 | 2,527 | 4.4 | 6,959 | 2.2 |
| 2002-03 | 3,977 | 4.3 | 166 | 2.5 | 252 | 3.5 | 2,628 | 4.5 | 7,489 | 2.3 |
| 2003-04 | 4,147 | 3.8 | 165 | 2.6 | 272 | 3.7 | 2,725 | 4.4 | 7,372 | 2.3 |
| 2004-05 | 4,665 | 3.7 | 141 | 2.3 | 231 | 3.8 | 2,889 | 4.5 | 7,710 | 2.3 |
| 2005-06 | 4,416 | 3.2 | 134 | 2.3 | 191 | 4.0 | 2,821 | 4.1 | 7,313 | 2.2 |
| 2006-07 | 3,237 | 2.6 | 110 | 2.0 | 162 | 4.8 | 2,435 | 3.5 | 5,663 | 1.7 |
| 2007-08 | 2,902 | 2.3 | 88 | 1.7 | 122 | 4.0 | 2,342 | 3.1 | 5,052 | 1.5 |
| 2008-09 | 2,363 | 1.8 | 54 | 1.2 | 110 | 3.6 | 1,958 | 2.6 | 4,267 | 1.3 |
| Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 2,800 | 2.8 | n/a | n/a | 143 | 3.0 | 2,503 | 4.0 | 6,169 | 2.1 |
| 2000-01 | 2,861 | 2.7 | 98 | 1.9 | 141 | 2.9 | 2,396 | 3.9 | 6,353 | 2.1 |
| 2001-02 | 2,747 | 2.8 | 116 | 1.9 | 223 | 3.4 | 2,121 | 3.6 | 5,642 | 1.9 |
| 2002-03 | 2,971 | 3.2 | 145 | 2.2 | 196 | 2.9 | 2,150 | 3.6 | 5,926 | 1.9 |
| 2003-04 | 3,321 | 2.8 | 131 | 2.1 | 222 | 3.3 | 2,107 | 3.5 | 6,099 | 1.9 |
| 2004-05 | 3,263 | 2.6 | 118 | 1.9 | 152 | 2.6 | 2,158 | 3.4 | 5,969 | 1.8 |
| 2005-06 | 3,357 | 2.3 | 88 | 1.6 | 160 | 3.5 | 2,120 | 3.2 | 5,839 | 1.8 |
| 2006-07 | 2,723 | 1.9 | 103 | 2.0 | 115 | 3.3 | 1,907 | 2.8 | 4,943 | 1.5 |
| 2007-08 | 3,764 | 2.8 | 147 | 3.0 | 118 | 4.0 | 2,372 | 3.4 | 6,323 | 1.9 |
| 2008-09 | 3,139 | 2.3 | 102 | 2.1 | 99 | 3.3 | 2,060 | 2.6 | 5,165 | 1.5 |
| Grade 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 29,542 | 24.1 | n/a | n/a | 1,411 | 25.3 | 30,895 | 29.2 | 58,451 | 17.7 |
| 2000-01 | 28,788 | 22.4 | 1,869 | 25.1 | 1,296 | 23.1 | 30,352 | 29.1 | 58,363 | 17.4 |
| 2001-02 | 31,636 | 24.1 | 2,279 | 26.9 | 1,962 | 26.0 | 28,622 | 28.2 | 57,761 | 16.9 |
| 2002-03 | 31,307 | 23.8 | 2,249 | 23.7 | 2,034 | 25.8 | 27,905 | 27.7 | 57,197 | 16.4 |
| 2003-04 | 32,990 | 24.0 | 2,231 | 24.9 | 1,909 | 24.4 | 27,814 | 27.9 | 58,252 | 16.5 |
| 2004-05 | 35,278 | 22.7 | 2,184 | 24.9 | 1,509 | 23.2 | 27,934 | 27.8 | 58,605 | 16.2 |
| 2005-06 | 37,246 | 22.1 | 2,037 | 24.2 | 1,326 | 25.2 | 28,945 | 27.8 | 60,726 | 16.5 |
| 2006-07 | 35,628 | 20.4 | 1,585 | 21.6 | 1,037 | 25.9 | 28,544 | 27.0 | 57,213 | 15.4 |
| 2007-08 | 33,656 | 19.7 | 1,417 | 20.2 | 964 | 25.8 | 27,926 | 26.0 | 54,831 | 14.7 |
| 2008-09 | 26,788 | 16.7 | 1,145 | 16.4 | 844 | 24.4 | 25,005 | 23.3 | 45,016 | 12.3 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 10,347 | 11.0 | n/a | n/a | 425 | 11.5 | 10,521 | 15.0 | 19,923 | 7.8 |
| 2000-01 | 10,886 | 10.6 | 489 | 11.8 | 415 | 10.7 | 11,090 | 15.7 | 21,754 | 8.1 |
| 2001-02 | 12,829 | 12.3 | 606 | 12.7 | 711 | 14.0 | 11,278 | 16.0 | 22,726 | 8.2 |
| 2002-03 | 14,400 | 13.7 | 704 | 12.6 | 767 | 14.1 | 11,895 | 16.8 | 24,751 | 8.8 |
| 2003-04 | 14,782 | 12.5 | 588 | 10.9 | 717 | 12.8 | 11,751 | 16.8 | 24,621 | 8.5 |

Note. Students may be counted in more than one category
aNot applicable. Retention rates for immigrant students were not calculated until school year 2000-01.

Table 15 (continued)
Grade-Level Retention, Grades 7-12, by Grade and by At-Risk, Immigrant, Migrant, and Overage Student Characteristics, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 Through 2008-09

| Year | At-Risk |  | Immigrant |  | Migrant |  | Overage |  | State |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) |
| 2004-05 | 15,487 | 12.9 | 591 | 11.0 | 632 | 13.4 | 11,509 | 17.0 | 25,399 | 8.7 |
| 2005-06 | 16,631 | 12.4 | 526 | 10.6 | 462 | 12.5 | 11,726 | 16.9 | 26,232 | 8.7 |
| 2006-07 | 16,173 | 11.9 | 433 | 9.5 | 351 | 12.0 | 11,369 | 16.2 | 25,242 | 8.3 |
| 2007-08 | 14,374 | 10.4 | 345 | 7.6 | 284 | 11.1 | 10,441 | 14.8 | 22,214 | 7.2 |
| 2008-09 | 13,581 | 9.7 | 344 | 7.9 | 266 | 10.2 | 10,043 | 14.1 | 21,125 | 6.8 |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 6,593 | 8.2 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}^{\text {a }}$ | n/a | 233 | 7.6 | 5,939 | 11.8 | 12,806 | 5.8 |
| 2000-01 | 6,760 | 7.9 | 220 | 8.2 | 237 | 7.7 | 6,355 | 12.5 | 13,440 | 5.9 |
| 2001-02 | 7,681 | 8.9 | 305 | 9.8 | 394 | 9.7 | 6,261 | 12.2 | 13,763 | 5.8 |
| 2002-03 | 7,576 | 9.0 | 304 | 8.3 | 368 | 8.9 | 6,291 | 12.6 | 13,643 | 5.6 |
| 2003-04 | 8,241 | 7.5 | 267 | 7.3 | 330 | 7.7 | 5,992 | 12.4 | 13,643 | 5.5 |
| 2004-05 | 9,201 | 7.5 | 306 | 8.1 | 315 | 8.2 | 5,956 | 12.6 | 14,658 | 5.7 |
| 2005-06 | 10,493 | 7.6 | 282 | 8.3 | 291 | 9.6 | 6,446 | 13.5 | 15,982 | 6.1 |
| 2006-07 | 10,678 | 8.2 | 244 | 7.9 | 296 | 12.3 | 6,051 | 12.7 | 15,800 | 5.9 |
| 2007-08 | 10,643 | 8.0 | 246 | 7.5 | 257 | 11.1 | 6,160 | 12.3 | 15,530 | 5.7 |
| 2008-09 | 10,862 | 7.9 | 244 | 7.2 | 215 | 9.2 | 6,536 | 12.3 | 15,855 | 5.6 |
| Grade 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 4,440 | 5.9 | n/a | n/a | 168 | 5.9 | 4,549 | 9.4 | 9,631 | 4.5 |
| 2000-01 | 4,995 | 5.9 | 126 | 7.3 | 157 | 5.5 | 4,936 | 10.0 | 10,411 | 4.7 |
| 2001-02 | 5,550 | 6.8 | 146 | 7.7 | 247 | 6.7 | 5,088 | 9.9 | 10,677 | 4.6 |
| 2002-03 | 5,995 | 6.9 | 197 | 8.4 | 283 | 7.1 | 5,177 | 10.0 | 11,356 | 4.7 |
| 2003-04 | 6,284 | 5.9 | 229 | 9.7 | 261 | 6.2 | 5,334 | 10.4 | 11,254 | 4.5 |
| 2004-05 | 7,026 | 6.9 | 249 | 11.1 | 239 | 6.8 | 5,303 | 11.2 | 12,018 | 4.9 |
| 2005-06 | 11,148 | 10.1 | 372 | 17.4 | 324 | 12.1 | 7,077 | 15.0 | 16,828 | 6.6 |
| 2006-07 | 13,346 | 11.5 | 417 | 22.3 | 297 | 14.3 | 8,472 | 17.6 | 19,342 | 7.5 |
| 2007-08 | 15,251 | 12.8 | 504 | 24.1 | 288 | 13.5 | 10,058 | 20.0 | 21,524 | 8.0 |
| 2008-09 | 15,683 | 12.5 | 498 | 21.6 | 317 | 13.3 | 11,163 | 20.1 | 22,050 | 7.8 |
| Total 7-12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 57,852 | 10.2 | n/a | n/a | 2,565 | 10.4 | 57,570 | 14.5 | 115,493 | 7.2 |
| 2000-01 | 58,084 | 9.5 | 2,939 | 11.1 | 2,405 | 9.5 | 57,950 | 14.7 | 118,083 | 7.1 |
| 2001-02 | 64,203 | 10.7 | 3,618 | 11.8 | 3,818 | 11.3 | 55,897 | 14.3 | 117,528 | 6.9 |
| 2002-03 | 66,226 | 11.2 | 3,765 | 10.9 | 3,900 | 11.0 | 56,046 | 14.3 | 120,362 | 6.9 |
| 2003-04 | 69,765 | 10.0 | 3,611 | 11.0 | 3,711 | 10.3 | 55,723 | 14.3 | 121,241 | 6.8 |
| 2004-05 | 74,920 | 9.9 | 3,589 | 11.1 | 3,078 | 10.0 | 55,749 | 14.3 | 124,359 | 6.9 |
| 2005-06 | 83,291 | 10.0 | 3,439 | 11.5 | 2,754 | 11.5 | 59,135 | 14.7 | 132,920 | 7.2 |
| 2006-07 | 81,785 | 9.9 | 2,892 | 10.5 | 2,258 | 12.4 | 58,778 | 14.3 | 128,203 | 6.9 |
| 2007-08 | 80,590 | 9.8 | 2,747 | 10.2 | 2,033 | 12.1 | 59,299 | 14.0 | 125,474 | 6.6 |
| 2008-09 | 72,416 | 8.7 | 2,387 | 9.0 | 1,851 | 11.0 | 56,765 | 12.8 | 113,478 | 5.9 |

Note. Students may be counted in more than one category.
${ }^{a}$ Not applicable. Retention rates for immigrant students were not calculated until school year 2000-01.

## Grade-Level Retention <br> by Career and Technical Education, Gifted and Talented, and Title I Program Participation

## Elementary Grades

Instructional programs are designed to meet students' different educational needs. Gifted and talented programs offer eligible students a range of learning experiences that lead to advanced performance. Title I is the largest federal aid program for elementary and secondary schools. The goal of Title $I$ is to improve teaching and learning for at-risk students attending schools with high percentages of economically disadvantaged students. Students counted as Title I students may be participating in specific Title I-funded programs, or they may be enrolled in campuses that receive school-wide Title I assistance. A coherent sequence of study in career and technical education programs is offered only in Grades 9-12.

In most years between 1999-00 and 2008-09, students receiving Title I services had retention rates equal to, or higher than, those for students overall in all elementary grades except kindergarten (Table 16). In 2008-09, retention rates for students receiving Title I services and for students overall were highest in Grade 1 and lowest in Grade 6 (Figure 16).

Figure 16
Grade-Level Retention, Grades K-6, by Grade and Title I Program Participation, Texas Public Schools, 2008-09


Table 16
Grade-Level Retention, Grades K-6, by Grade and by Gifted and Talented and Title I Program Participation, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 Through 2008-09

| Year | Gifted and talented |  | Title I |  | State |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) |
| Kindergarten |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 0 | 0.0 | 4,058 | 2.4 | 7,941 | 2.8 |
| 2000-01 | - | 0.5 | 4,551 | 2.7 | 9,110 | 3.2 |
| 2001-02 | 13 | 0.2 | 5,091 | 2.8 | 9,968 | 3.4 |
| 2002-03 | 5 | 0.1 | 6,002 | 3.1 | 11,049 | 3.6 |
| 2003-04 | 11 | 0.1 | 6,483 | 3.2 | 11,684 | 3.7 |
| 2004-05 | 6 | 0.1 | 6,989 | 3.2 | 12,190 | 3.7 |
| 2005-06 | 13 | 0.1 | 9,389 | 3.7 | 12,559 | 3.7 |
| 2006-07 | 15 | 0.2 | 9,253 | 3.6 | 12,446 | 3.6 |
| 2007-08 | 9 | 0.1 | 8,701 | 3.3 | 11,457 | 3.3 |
| 2008-09 | 16 | 0.1 | 8,436 | 3.1 | 11,036 | 3.1 |
| Grade 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 30 | 0.3 | 12,250 | 6.5 | 19,505 | 6.3 |
| 2000-01 | 30 | 0.3 | 11,962 | 6.3 | 19,529 | 6.3 |
| 2001-02 | 37 | 0.3 | 12,793 | 6.6 | 20,094 | 6.4 |
| 2002-03 | 30 | 0.2 | 13,348 | 6.6 | 20,180 | 6.3 |
| 2003-04 | 29 | 0.2 | 14,476 | 6.7 | 21,101 | 6.4 |
| 2004-05 | 24 | 0.2 | 15,099 | 6.6 | 21,496 | 6.4 |
| 2005-06 | 21 | 0.1 | 19,583 | 7.5 | 22,540 | 6.4 |
| 2006-07 | 13 | 0.1 | 20,067 | 7.4 | 23,170 | 6.3 |
| 2007-08 | 20 | 0.1 | 19,156 | 6.9 | 21,852 | 5.9 |
| 2008-09 | 25 | 0.2 | 18,625 | 6.6 | 20,970 | 5.6 |
| Grade 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 21 | 0.1 | 6,568 | 3.6 | 9,852 | 3.3 |
| 2000-01 | 20 | 0.1 | 7,400 | 3.9 | 11,001 | 3.6 |
| 2001-02 | 24 | 0.1 | 7,478 | 3.9 | 11,066 | 3.6 |
| 2002-03 | 23 | 0.1 | 7,711 | 3.9 | 11,184 | 3.6 |
| 2003-04 | 36 | 0.2 | 8,444 | 4.1 | 11,648 | 3.7 |
| 2004-05 | 20 | 0.1 | 8,708 | 4.0 | 11,859 | 3.6 |
| 2005-06 | 24 | 0.1 | 11,060 | 4.5 | 12,477 | 3.7 |
| 2006-07 | 26 | 0.1 | 11,017 | 4.3 | 12,383 | 3.6 |
| 2007-08 | 16 | 0.1 | 10,858 | 4.1 | 12,132 | 3.4 |
| 2008-09 | 16 | 0.1 | 10,201 | 3.7 | 11,288 | 3.1 |
| Grade 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 22 | 0.1 | 4,637 | 2.6 | 6,862 | 2.3 |
| 2000-01 | 24 | 0.1 | 5,112 | 2.8 | 7,659 | 2.5 |
| 2001-02 | 17 | 0.1 | 5,170 | 2.7 | 7,636 | 2.4 |
| 2002-03 | 23 | 0.1 | 6,326 | 3.2 | 8,924 | 2.8 |
| 2003-04 | 13 | 0.1 | 6,023 | 2.9 | 8,196 | 2.6 |
| 2004-05 | 25 | 0.1 | 7,820 | 3.7 | 10,366 | 3.2 |

Note. Students may be counted in more than one category. A dash (-) indicates data are not reported to protect student anonymity.

Table 16 (continued)
Grade-Level Retention, Grades K-6, by Grade and by Gifted and Talented and Title I Program Participation, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 Through 2008-09

| Year | Gifted and talented |  | Title I |  | State |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) |
| 2005-06 | 24 | 0.1 | 8,793 | 3.6 | 9,758 | 2.9 |
| 2006-07 | 15 | 0.1 | 8,467 | 3.4 | 9,442 | 2.8 |
| 2007-08 | 21 | 0.1 | 8,075 | 3.2 | 8,918 | 2.6 |
| 2008-09 | 14 | 0.1 | 7,674 | 2.9 | 8,418 | 2.3 |
| Grade 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 12 | <0.1 | 2,555 | 1.5 | 4,014 | 1.3 |
| 2000-01 | - | 0.1 | 2,811 | 1.6 | 4,405 | 1.4 |
| 2001-02 | 25 | 0.1 | 2,561 | 1.4 | 4,043 | 1.3 |
| 2002-03 | 30 | 0.1 | 3,344 | 1.7 | 4,843 | 1.5 |
| 2003-04 | 18 | 0.1 | 3,635 | 1.8 | 5,147 | 1.6 |
| 2004-05 | 17 | 0.1 | 4,119 | 2.0 | 5,630 | 1.8 |
| 2005-06 | 18 | 0.1 | 5,010 | 2.2 | 5,665 | 1.8 |
| 2006-07 | 19 | 0.1 | 4,697 | 2.0 | 5,351 | 1.6 |
| 2007-08 | 17 | 0.1 | 4,018 | 1.6 | 4,505 | 1.3 |
| 2008-09 | 11 | <0.1 | 3,568 | 1.4 | 3,984 | 1.1 |
| Grade 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 19 | 0.1 | 1,707 | 1.0 | 2,938 | 1.0 |
| 2000-01 | 18 | 0.1 | 1,593 | 0.9 | 2,789 | 0.9 |
| 2001-02 | 12 | <0.1 | 1,535 | 0.9 | 2,591 | 0.8 |
| 2002-03 | 26 | 0.1 | 1,989 | 1.1 | 3,109 | 1.0 |
| 2003-04 | 11 | <0.1 | 2,112 | 1.1 | 3,225 | 1.0 |
| 2004-05 | 61 | 0.2 | 8,456 | 4.1 | 11,159 | 3.5 |
| 2005-06 | 21 | 0.1 | 7,882 | 3.3 | 8,891 | 2.7 |
| 2006-07 | 25 | 0.1 | 6,359 | 2.7 | 7,288 | 2.2 |
| 2007-08 | 14 | <0.1 | 5,917 | 2.4 | 6,746 | 2.0 |
| 2008-09 | 12 | <0.1 | 5,124 | 2.1 | 5,735 | 1.7 |
| Grade 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 28 | 0.1 | 2,126 | 1.6 | 4,906 | 1.7 |
| 2000-01 | 43 | 0.1 | 2,118 | 1.5 | 4,824 | 1.6 |
| 2001-02 | 16 | $<0.1$ | 1,990 | 1.4 | 4,414 | 1.4 |
| 2002-03 | 20 | 0.1 | 2,259 | 1.4 | 4,563 | 1.4 |
| 2003-04 | 24 | 0.1 | 2,579 | 1.5 | 4,795 | 1.5 |
| 2004-05 | 17 | 0.1 | 2,836 | 1.5 | 4,901 | 1.5 |
| 2005-06 | 27 | 0.1 | 3,067 | 1.5 | 4,066 | 1.3 |
| 2006-07 | 26 | 0.1 | 2,826 | 1.4 | 3,816 | 1.2 |
| 2007-08 | 20 | 0.1 | 2,330 | 1.1 | 3,182 | 1.0 |
| 2008-09 | 21 | 0.1 | 2,050 | 1.0 | 2,792 | 0.8 |
| Total K-6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 132 | 0.1 | 33,901 | 2.8 | 56,018 | 2.7 |
| 2000-01 | 157 | 0.1 | 35,547 | 2.9 | 59,317 | 2.8 |

Note. Students may be counted in more than one category. A dash (-) indicates data are not reported to protect student anonymity.

Table 16 (continued)
Grade-Level Retention, Grades K-6, by Grade and by Gifted and Talented and Title I Program
Participation, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 Through 2008-09

| Year | Gifted and talented |  | Title I |  | State |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) |
| 2001-02 | 144 | 0.1 | 36,618 | 2.9 | 59,812 | 2.8 |
| 2002-03 | 157 | 0.1 | 40,979 | 3.1 | 63,852 | 2.9 |
| 2003-04 | 142 | 0.1 | 43,752 | 3.1 | 65,796 | 2.9 |
| 2004-05 | 170 | 0.1 | 54,027 | 3.7 | 77,601 | 3.4 |
| 2005-06 | 148 | 0.1 | 64,784 | 3.9 | 75,956 | 3.3 |
| 2006-07 | 139 | 0.1 | 62,686 | 3.7 | 73,896 | 3.1 |
| 2007-08 | 117 | 0.1 | 59,055 | 3.4 | 68,792 | 2.8 |
| 2008-09 | 115 | 0.1 | 55,678 | 3.1 | 64,223 | 2.6 |

Note. Students may be counted in more than one category. A dash (-) indicates data are not reported to protect student anonymity.

## Grade-Level Retention <br> by Career and Technical Education, Gifted and Talented, and Title I Program Participation

## Secondary Grades

In addition to the instructional programs available in the elementary grades, students in Grades 9-12 can participate in career and technical education (CTE). CTE programs offer a range of training that helps students gain employment in high-skilled, high-wage jobs and advance to postsecondary education.

In 2008-09, students receiving Title I services had retention rates higher than those for students overall in all secondary grades (Table 17). In Grades 9-12, by contrast, students participating in CTE programs had retention rates lower than those for students overall (Figure 17).

Figure 17
Grade-Level Retention, Grades 9-12, by Grade and Career and Technical Education Program Participation, Texas Public Schools, 2008-09


Table 17
Grade-Level Retention, Grades 7-12, by Grade and by Career and Technical Education, Gifted and Talented, and Title I Program Participation, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 Through 2008-09

| Year | Career and technical education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Gifted and talented |  | Title I |  | State |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) |
| Grade 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 34 | 2.0 | 128 | 0.4 | 3,213 | 2.8 | 8,513 | 2.9 |
| 2000-01 | 63 | 2.6 | 92 | 0.3 | 2,924 | 2.4 | 7,762 | 2.5 |
| 2001-02 | 45 | 1.8 | 86 | 0.2 | 2,904 | 2.3 | 6,959 | 2.2 |
| 2002-03 | 18 | 1.1 | 75 | 0.2 | 3,296 | 2.4 | 7,489 | 2.3 |
| 2003-04 | 12 | 0.8 | 67 | 0.2 | 3,422 | 2.2 | 7,372 | 2.3 |
| 2004-05 | 9 | 0.6 | 77 | 0.2 | 3,811 | 2.3 | 7,710 | 2.3 |
| 2005-06 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}^{\text {b }}$ | n/a | 45 | 0.1 | 5,067 | 2.6 | 7,313 | 2.2 |
| 2006-07 | n/a | n/a | 52 | 0.1 | 3,931 | 2.1 | 5,663 | 1.7 |
| 2007-08 | n/a | n/a | 41 | 0.1 | 3,483 | 1.8 | 5,052 | 1.5 |
| 2008-09 | n/a | n/a | 38 | 0.1 | 2,926 | 1.5 | 4,267 | 1.3 |
| Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 23 | 0.7 | 91 | 0.3 | 2,192 | 2.0 | 6,169 | 2.1 |
| 2000-01 | 55 | 1.4 | 86 | 0.2 | 2,237 | 1.9 | 6,353 | 2.1 |
| 2001-02 | 53 | 1.2 | 97 | 0.3 | 2,163 | 1.8 | 5,642 | 1.9 |
| 2002-03 | 33 | 1.2 | 67 | 0.2 | 2,431 | 1.8 | 5,926 | 1.9 |
| 2003-04 | 23 | 0.7 | 68 | 0.2 | 2,605 | 1.8 | 6,099 | 1.9 |
| 2004-05 | 27 | 0.9 | 63 | 0.2 | 2,640 | 1.6 | 5,969 | 1.8 |
| 2005-06 | n/a | n/a | 45 | 0.1 | 3,821 | 2.0 | 5,839 | 1.8 |
| 2006-07 | n/a | n/a | 33 | 0.1 | 3,306 | 1.7 | 4,943 | 1.5 |
| 2007-08 | n/a | n/a | 38 | 0.1 | 4,349 | 2.3 | 6,323 | 1.9 |
| 2008-09 | n/a | n/a | 31 | 0.1 | 3,672 | 1.9 | 5,165 | 1.5 |
| Grade 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 5,211 | 12.2 | 751 | 2.4 | 14,666 | 17.9 | 58,451 | 17.7 |
| 2000-01 | 5,889 | 11.6 | 712 | 2.2 | 14,612 | 16.7 | 58,363 | 17.4 |
| 2001-02 | 6,646 | 12.3 | 846 | 2.6 | 15,661 | 17.9 | 57,761 | 16.9 |
| 2002-03 | 7,994 | 11.9 | 574 | 1.8 | 17,756 | 17.5 | 57,197 | 16.4 |
| 2003-04 | 9,059 | 12.5 | 600 | 1.9 | 21,089 | 17.7 | 58,252 | 16.5 |
| 2004-05 | 10,358 | 12.9 | 596 | 1.9 | 22,236 | 16.9 | 58,605 | 16.2 |
| 2005-06 | 9,346 | 12.1 | 706 | 2.1 | 32,769 | 20.7 | 60,726 | 16.5 |
| 2006-07 | 6,742 | 9.9 | 602 | 1.8 | 31,655 | 19.8 | 57,213 | 15.4 |
| 2007-08 | 5,454 | 8.8 | 575 | 1.7 | 28,887 | 18.4 | 54,831 | 14.7 |
| 2008-09 | 5,803 | 7.8 | 488 | 1.4 | 24,052 | 16.2 | 45,016 | 12.3 |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 3,082 | 5.5 | 316 | 1.1 | 5,103 | 8.4 | 19,923 | 7.8 |
| 2000-01 | 4,149 | 5.9 | 330 | 1.1 | 5,794 | 8.8 | 21,754 | 8.1 |

Note. Students may be counted in more than one category.
${ }^{\text {a Data reflect students participating in career and technical education programs; students enrolled in career and technical education courses only are }}$ excluded. ${ }^{\text {bNot applicable. Beginning with the 2005-06 school year, data reflect students in Grades 9-12 only who are participating in career and technical }}$ education programs.

Table 17 (continued)
Grade-Level Retention, Grades 7-12, by Grade and by Career and Technical Education, Gifted and Talented, and Title I Program Participation, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 Through 2008-09

| Year | Career and technical education ${ }^{2}$ |  | Gifted and talented |  | Title I |  | State |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) |
| 2001-02 | 4,485 | 6.0 | 411 | 1.3 | 6,451 | 9.2 | 22,726 | 8.2 |
| 2002-03 | 5,860 | 6.7 | 304 | 1.0 | 7,823 | 10.0 | 24,751 | 8.8 |
| 2003-04 | 6,179 | 6.5 | 257 | 0.9 | 8,752 | 9.2 | 24,621 | 8.5 |
| 2004-05 | 6,926 | 7.0 | 353 | 1.2 | 9,465 | 9.3 | 25,399 | 8.7 |
| 2005-06 | 6,976 | 6.8 | 370 | 1.2 | 13,102 | 11.0 | 26,232 | 8.7 |
| 2006-07 | 5,405 | 5.7 | 381 | 1.2 | 12,609 | 10.5 | 25,242 | 8.3 |
| 2007-08 | 4,223 | 4.8 | 302 | 1.0 | 9,882 | 8.4 | 22,214 | 7.2 |
| 2008-09 | 4,339 | 4.6 | 239 | 0.7 | 8,894 | 7.6 | 21,125 | 6.8 |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 2,942 | 4.2 | 253 | 0.9 | 2,935 | 5.7 | 12,806 | 5.8 |
| 2000-01 | 3,339 | 4.0 | 211 | 0.8 | 3,160 | 5.8 | 13,440 | 5.9 |
| 2001-02 | 3,535 | 3.9 | 266 | 0.9 | 3,403 | 5.9 | 13,763 | 5.8 |
| 2002-03 | 3,693 | 3.7 | 161 | 0.6 | 3,893 | 5.9 | 13,643 | 5.6 |
| 2003-04 | 3,807 | 3.7 | 163 | 0.6 | 4,646 | 5.9 | 13,643 | 5.5 |
| 2004-05 | 4,149 | 3.8 | 188 | 0.7 | 5,177 | 5.9 | 14,658 | 5.7 |
| 2005-06 | 4,645 | 4.2 | 200 | 0.7 | 8,356 | 8.4 | 15,982 | 6.1 |
| 2006-07 | 4,035 | 3.7 | 269 | 0.9 | 7,984 | 7.7 | 15,800 | 5.9 |
| 2007-08 | 3,691 | 3.5 | 255 | 0.9 | 7,357 | 7.1 | 15,530 | 5.7 |
| 2008-09 | 3,663 | 3.3 | 182 | 0.6 | 8,450 | 7.8 | 15,855 | 5.6 |
| Grade 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 2,060 | 2.7 | 146 | 0.6 | 2,331 | 4.6 | 9,631 | 4.5 |
| 2000-01 | 2,566 | 2.9 | 161 | 0.6 | 2,653 | 4.9 | 10,411 | 4.7 |
| 2001-02 | 2,747 | 2.9 | 165 | 0.6 | 2,576 | 4.6 | 10,677 | 4.6 |
| 2002-03 | 3,105 | 2.8 | 149 | 0.6 | 3,144 | 4.7 | 11,356 | 4.7 |
| 2003-04 | 3,096 | 2.6 | 99 | 0.4 | 3,700 | 4.6 | 11,254 | 4.5 |
| 2004-05 | 3,439 | 2.8 | 110 | 0.4 | 4,420 | 5.2 | 12,018 | 4.9 |
| 2005-06 | 5,144 | 4.2 | 213 | 0.8 | 8,563 | 8.9 | 16,828 | 6.6 |
| 2006-07 | 5,475 | 4.6 | 203 | 0.7 | 9,958 | 10.3 | 19,342 | 7.5 |
| 2007-08 | 5,614 | 4.6 | 196 | 0.7 | 10,391 | 10.3 | 21,524 | 8.0 |
| 2008-09 | 5,635 | 4.5 | 157 | 0.5 | 10,856 | 10.3 | 22,050 | 7.8 |
| Total 7-12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 13,352 | 5.3 | 1,685 | 0.9 | 30,440 | 6.5 | 115,493 | 7.2 |
| 2000-01 | 16,061 | 5.3 | 1,592 | 0.9 | 31,380 | 6.3 | 118,083 | 7.1 |
| 2001-02 | 17,511 | 5.4 | 1,871 | 1.0 | 33,158 | 6.4 | 117,528 | 6.9 |
| 2002-03 | 20,703 | 5.6 | 1,330 | 0.7 | 38,343 | 6.6 | 120,362 | 6.9 |
| 2003-04 | 22,176 | 5.6 | 1,254 | 0.7 | 44,214 | 6.6 | 121,241 | 6.8 |
| 2004-05 | 24,908 | 6.0 | 1,387 | 0.7 | 47,749 | 6.5 | 124,359 | 6.9 |

Note. Students may be counted in more than one category.
aData reflect students participating in career and technical education programs; students enrolled in career and technical education courses only are excluded. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Not applicable. Beginning with the 2005-06 school year, data reflect students in Grades 9-12 only who are participating in career and technical education programs.

Table 17 (continued)
Grade-Level Retention, Grades 7-12, by Grade and by Career and Technical Education, Gifted and Talented, and Title I Program Participation, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 Through 2008-09

| Year | Career and technical education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Gifted and talented |  | Title I |  | State |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) | Retained | Rate (\%) |
| 2005-06 | 26,111 | 6.3 | 1,579 | 0.8 | 71,678 | 8.4 | 132,920 | 7.2 |
| 2006-07 | 21,657 | 5.5 | 1,540 | 0.8 | 69,443 | 8.1 | 128,203 | 6.9 |
| 2007-08 | 18,982 | 5.0 | 1,407 | 0.7 | 64,349 | 7.5 | 125,474 | 6.6 |
| 2008-09 | 19,440 | 4.8 | 1,135 | 0.6 | 58,850 | 6.8 | 113,478 | 5.9 |

Note. Students may be counted in more than one category.
aData reflect students participating in career and technical education programs; students enrolled in career and technical education courses only are excluded. ${ }^{\text {}}$ Not applicable. Beginning with the 2005-06 school year, data reflect students in Grades 9-12 only who are participating in career and technical education programs.

# Retention and Student Performance 

Statutory Requirements<br>Passing Rates

TAKS Scores

## Statutory Requirements

This section of the report presents information on the performance of retained students, as required by the 77th Texas Legislature (Texas Education Code [TEC] §39.182, 2001). Passing rates and average scores were calculated separately, by grade level, for English- and Spanish-language versions of the Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) reading/English language arts (ELA) and mathematics tests. Passing rates and average scores for spring 2009 were compared to spring 2010 passing rates and average scores of students repeating a grade in the 2009-10 school year. For comparison purposes, the 2009 TAKS results for promoted students also were calculated.

## Passing Rates

Among students in Grades 3-10 who took the English-version TAKS in spring 2009, passing rates were higher for students who were promoted than for students who were retained (Table 18). After a year in the same grade, the passing rates for students who had been retained improved but did not reach the passing rates for students who had been promoted the year before. For example, 97.2 percent of Grade 3 students who were promoted passed the reading TAKS in spring 2009, whereas 31.4 percent of Grade 3 students who were retained passed the reading TAKS. After repeating the grade, 83.5 percent passed the Grade 3 reading TAKS. Results on the English-version mathematics TAKS were similar. For example, 95.0 percent of promoted fifth graders passed the mathematics TAKS in spring 2009, whereas only 34.5 percent of retained students passed. The following year, 82.0 percent of the retained Grade 5 students passed the mathematics TAKS.

Spanish-version TAKS results were similar to English-version results in that the passing rates for students who were later retained were considerably lower than the passing rates for students who were subsequently promoted (Table 18). Also, passing rates for retained students generally showed gains in the second year.

Table 18
Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) Percentage Passing 2009 and 2010, Grades 3-10, by Grade and Promotion Status 2008-09, Texas Public Schools

| Status | TAKS English-version |  |  |  | TAKS Spanish-version |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading/ELA ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Mathematics |  | Reading |  | Mathematics |  |
|  | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 |
| Grade 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Promoted | 97.2 | - b | 85.8 | - | 96.0 | - | 72.6 | - |
| Retained | 31.4 | 83.5 | 21.1 | 77.3 | 20.8 | 75.2 | 15.8 | 72.9 |
| Grade 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Promoted | 85.3 | - | 86.9 | - | 81.0 | - | 72.7 | - |
| Retained | 19.8 | 72.5 | 21.3 | 78.0 | 17.1 | 81.0 | 13.0 | 73.1 |
| Grade 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Promoted | 94.2 | - | 95.0 | - | 90.2 | - | 73.3 | - |
| Retained | 30.3 | 80.1 | 34.5 | 82.0 | 45.5 | 78.8 | 6.8 | 50.0 |
| Grade 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Promoted | 85.7 | - | 80.6 | - | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Retained | 42.4 | 66.6 | 19.0 | 57.7 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Grade 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Promoted | 85.0 | - | 79.6 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Retained | 40.5 | 66.0 | 21.8 | 52.2 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Promoted | 90.4 | - | 90.5 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Retained | 54.8 | 82.2 | 19.9 | 59.3 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Grade 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Promoted | 90.8 | - | 72.6 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Retained | 68.0 | 81.1 | 23.0 | 38.3 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Promoted | 90.1 | - | 68.8 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Retained | 63.4 | 70.9 | 20.5 | 33.0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |

Note. Results are based on TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) combined. Passing rates for retained students in both years are based on the same groups of students.
 TAKS tests were available in Grades 3-6 only. Grade 6 Spanish-version TAKS tests were eliminated in 2010, so year-to-year comparisons of results for retained sixth graders could not be made.

## TAKS Scores

Students in Grades 3-10 promoted at the end of the 2008-09 school year had average scale scores on the English- and Spanish-language versions of the 2009 TAKS reading/ELA and mathematics tests that exceeded the passing standards (Figure 18 on facing page and Table 19 on page 82). Students who were retained had much lower scale scores than students who were promoted. On the first attempt, retained students at all grade levels and on all subject tests, except the English-version reading tests in Grades 9 and 10, had average scale scores that were below the passing standards. A year later, on the 2010 TAKS, average scale scores for retained students on most tests did exceed the passing standards; however, the scores still failed to reach the average scale scores for students who had been promoted the year before.

Starting in 2010, results for TAKS reading and mathematics tests in Grades 3-8 were reported using vertical scale scores rather than horizontal scale scores. Conversion to vertical scales required changes to the numerical passing standards for those tests. TAKS results for Grades 9 and 10 continued to be reported using horizontal scale scores, so the numerical passing standards did not change. For additional information on scale scores, see the section, "Definitions and Calculations," on page 8 .

Figure 18
Grade-Level Retention 2008-09 and Average Reading Scale Scores on the English-Version Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) 2009 and 2010, Grades 3-8, Texas Public Schools


| 2008-09 Promoted Students | 2008-09 Retained Students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 2009 TAKS Administration | 2010 TAKS Administration 2009 TAKS Administration | - Passing Standard |

Note. Results are based on TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) combined.

Table 19
Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) Average Scale Scores 2009 and 2010, Grades 3-10, by Grade and Promotion Status 2008-09, Texas Public Schools

| Status | English-version scale score |  |  |  |  |  | Spanish-version scale score |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading/ELA ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Mathematics |  |  | Reading |  |  | Mathematics |  |  |
|  | Passing standard ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2009 | 2010 | Passing standard | 2009 | 2010 | Passing standard | 2009 | 2010 | Passing standard | 2009 | 2010 |
| Grade 3 | 483 |  |  | 500 |  |  | 503 |  |  | 503 |  |  |
| Promoted |  | 622 | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | 592 | - |  | 599 | - |  | 562 | - |
| Retained |  | 450 | 554 |  | 446 | 542 |  | 456 | 548 |  | 421 | 543 |
| Grade 4 | 554 |  |  | 554 |  |  | 555 |  |  | 555 |  |  |
| Promoted |  | 650 | - |  | 658 | - |  | 621 | - |  | 610 | - |
| Retained |  | 499 | 587 |  | 507 | 600 |  | 507 | 613 |  | 477 | 594 |
| Grade 5 | 620 |  |  | 603 |  |  | 623 |  |  | 627 |  |  |
| Promoted |  | 713 | - |  | 706 | - |  | 676 | - |  | 651 | - |
| Retained |  | 579 | 650 |  | 571 | 638 |  | 594 | 659 |  | 521 | 609 |
| Grade 6 | 644 |  |  | 637 |  |  | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}^{\text {d }}$ |  |  | n/a |  |  |
| Promoted |  | 729 | - |  | 716 | - |  | n/a | n/a |  | n/a | n/a |
| Retained |  | 620 | 663 |  | 591 | 649 |  | n/a | n/a |  | n/a | n/a |
| Grade 7 | 670 |  |  | 670 |  |  | n/a |  |  | n/a |  |  |
| Promoted |  | 758 | - |  | 739 | - |  | n/a | n/a |  | n/a | n/a |
| Retained |  | 649 | 694 |  | 631 | 675 |  | n/a | n/a |  | n/a | n/a |
| Grade 8 | 700 |  |  | 700 |  |  | n/a |  |  | n/a |  |  |
| Promoted |  | 806 | - |  | 772 | - |  | n/a | n/a |  | n/a | n/a |
| Retained |  | 699 | 749 |  | 654 | 699 |  | n/a | n/a |  | n/a | n/a |
| Grade 9 | 2100 |  |  | 2100 |  |  | n/a |  |  | n/a |  |  |
| Promoted |  | 2270 | - |  | 2234 | - |  | n/a | n/a |  | n/a | n/a |
| Retained |  | 2133 | 2184 |  | 1984 | 2042 |  | n/a | n/a |  | n/a | n/a |
| Grade 10 | 2100 |  |  | 2100 |  |  | n/a |  |  | n/a |  |  |
| Promoted |  | 2257 | - |  | 2196 | - |  | n/a | n/a |  | n/a | n/a |
| Retained |  | 2132 | 2160 |  | 2002 | 2039 |  | n/a | n/a |  | n/a | n/a |

Note. Results are based on TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) combined. Scale scores for retained students in both years are based on the same groups of students.
${ }^{a}$ English language arts. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ The minimum score required to pass each test. Starting in 2010, results for TAKS reading and mathematics tests in Grades 3-8 were reported using vertical scale scores rather than horizontal scale scores. For purposes of comparison, 2009 TAKS results for Grades 3-8 were recalculated using vertical scale scores. TAKS results for Grades 9 and 10 were reported using the same horizontal scale as in previous years. "Students promoted in 2009 did not repeat the same grade-level test in 2010. dNot applicable. Through 2009, Spanish-version TAKS tests were available in Grades 3-6 only. Grade 6 Spanish-version TAKS tests were eliminated in 2010, so year-to-year comparisons of results for retained sixth graders could not be made.
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## Student Promotion Policies

Texas statute links student promotion from specific grade levels with test performance and instruction (Texas Education Code [TEC] §28.0211, 2009). Under the Student Success Initiative (SSI), students in Grade 3 were required to demonstrate mastery of grade-level skills on the state reading test from 2002-03 to 2008-09. Students in Grade 5 were required to pass the reading and mathematics tests beginning in 2004-05, and students in Grade 8 were required to pass the reading and mathematics tests beginning in 2007-08. Students who fail to meet the standards must be provided accelerated instruction and be given a second opportunity to pass the tests. A student who fails to meet standards after three opportunities must be retained unless the members of his or her grade placement committee unanimously recommend advancement to the next grade.

Performance of Texas students on the Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) improved steadily between 2003 and 2009. In response to more stringent requirements, many local school systems have intensified efforts to identify students at risk of failure and provide them instructional support. These local efforts have been supported by state initiatives in reading and mathematics.

This report is intended to facilitate school district and state planning and to monitor the effects of policies and related programs as they are implemented. For these purposes, the Texas Education Agency prepared a series of tables on TAKS performance and retention.

## Grade 3-10 Summary

TAKS performance and promotion status for 2009 is summarized for Grades 3-10 (Table 20); a more detailed analysis of test results and promotion status at each grade level is provided as well (Tables 21-36, starting on page 89). Students in Grade 3 were grouped according to whether they passed or failed the TAKS reading tests. Students in Grades 4-10 were grouped according to whether they passed or failed the TAKS reading/English language arts (ELA) and mathematics tests. Within each group, the numbers and percentages of students who were promoted and retained in 2008-09 were calculated.

In the 2008-09 school year, 15,735 students in the third grade did not pass the reading TAKS (Table 20). Of these, 33.6 percent $(5,280)$ were retained after the 2008-09 school year. Over 35,000 fifth graders failed to pass the TAKS reading and mathematics tests. Of these, 12.8 percent $(4,482)$ were retained after the 2008-09 school year. Nearly 40,000 eighth graders failed to pass the TAKS reading and mathematics tests. Of these, 8.6 percent $(3,446)$ were retained in Grade 8 after the 2008-09 school year.

Table 20
Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) 2009 Reading/English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics Performance and Promotion Status 2008-09, Grades 3-10, by Grade, Texas Public Schools

| TAKS performance | Total | Promoted |  | Retained |  | Unknown ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Grade 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed reading | 323,995 | 322,195 | 99.4 | 1,798 | 0.6 | 2 | <0.1 |
| Did not pass reading | 15,735 | 10,455 | 66.4 | 5,280 | 33.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Grade 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed reading and mathematics | 253,076 | 252,871 | 99.9 | 205 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Did not pass reading and mathematics | 73,236 | 70,020 | 95.6 | 3,215 | 4.4 | 1 | <0.1 |


| Grade 5 |  | 084,153 | 283,959 | 99.9 | 194 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Passed reading and <br> mathematics | 35,056 | 30,574 | 87.2 | 4,482 | 12.8 | 0 | 0.0 |  |
| Did not pass reading and <br> mathematics | 242,582 | 242,279 | 99.9 | 301 | 0.1 | 2 | $<0.1$ |  |
| Grade 6 | 72,674 | 70,806 | 97.4 | 1,867 | 2.6 | 1 | $<0.1$ |  |


| Grade 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Passed reading and <br> mathematics | 228,098 | 227,624 | 99.8 | 474 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Did not pass reading and <br> mathematics | 84,833 | 82,031 | 96.7 | 2,802 | 3.3 | 0 | 0.0 |


| Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Passed reading and <br> mathematics | 269,617 | 269,136 | 99.8 | 480 | 0.2 | 1 | $<0.1$ |
| Did not pass reading and <br> mathematics | 39,924 | 36,476 | 91.4 | 3,446 | 8.6 | 2 | $<0.1$ |


| Grade 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Passed reading and <br> mathematics | 209,873 | 204,313 | 97.4 | 5,560 | 2.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Did not pass reading and <br> mathematics | 122,313 | 93,174 | 76.2 | 29,135 | 23.8 | 4 | $<0.1$ |
| Grade 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed reading and <br> mathematics <br> Did not pass reading and <br> mathematics | 180,451 | 177,626 | 98.4 | 2,788 | 1.5 | 37 | $<0.1$ |

Note. Results are based on TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) combined. aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

Large percentages of third-, fifth-, and eighth-grade students promoted after the 2008-09 school year had passed the 2009 TAKS tests required under SSI. In third grade, 91.1 percent of all promoted students had passed the TAKS reading test (Figure 19 on page 88 and Table 21 on page 89). Over

6,800 students, or 1.9 percent of all promoted third graders, had not passed the TAKS reading test, or were missing results for the test, but were promoted by decisions of grade placement committees (GPCs). In fifth grade, 83.6 percent of all promoted students had passed the TAKS reading and mathematics tests (Figure 21 on page 92 and Table 25 on page 93). Nearly 20,000 students, or 5.9 percent of promoted fifth graders, had not passed the TAKS reading and mathematics tests, or were missing results for the tests, but were promoted by GPCs. In eighth grade, 80.8 percent of all promoted students had passed the TAKS reading and mathematics tests (Figure 24 on page 98 and Table 31 on page 99 ). Nearly 22,000 students, or 6.5 percent of promoted eighth graders, had not passed the TAKS reading and mathematics tests, or were missing results for the tests, but were promoted by GPCs.

## Grade 3

Figure 19
Performance on the Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) Reading Tests 2009 and Promotion Status 2008-09, Grade 3, Texas Public Schools


Note. Results are based on TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) combined. Parts may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. "Unknown" indicates promotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Students may be missing TAKS results because Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) records could not be matched to TAKS records or students may have been exempted from taking TAKS. Students not tested with TAKS or TAKS (Accommodated) may have been administered a state-approved substitute assessment or another version of TAKS, such as TAKS-Modified. ${ }^{\text {bThese students: may have had passing TAKS records that }}$ could not be matched to PEIMS records because of incorrect student identification information; may not have been correctly reported in PEIMS when grade placement committee (GPC) promotions were collected; or may have been administered a state-approved substitute assessment or another version of TAKS, such as TAKS-Modified. ${ }^{\text {c Promoted by GPC decision. }}$

Table 21
Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) 2009 Performance and Promotion Status 2008-09, Test Results Combined, Grade 3, Texas Public Schools

| TAKS |  |  | Promotion status |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading and mathematics performance | Total |  | Promoted |  | Retained |  | Unknown ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Passed reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed reading and passed mathematics | 279,663 | 86.3 | 279,156 | 99.8 | 505 | 0.2 | 2 | $<0.1$ |
| Passed reading and failed mathematics | 41,919 | 12.9 | 40,669 | 97.0 | 1,250 | 3.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Passed reading and missing mathematics | 2,413 | 0.7 | 2,370 | 98.2 | 43 | 1.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 323,995 | 100 | 322,195 | 99.4 | 1,798 | 0.6 | 2 | $<0.1$ |
| Failed reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Failed reading and failed mathematics | 11,011 | 70.0 | 6,773 | 61.5 | 4,238 | 38.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Failed reading and passed mathematics | 3,546 | 22.5 | 2,634 | 74.3 | 912 | 25.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Failed reading and missing mathematics | 1,178 | 7.5 | 1,048 | 89.0 | 130 | 11.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 15,735 | 100 | 10,455 | 66.4 | 5,280 | 33.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Missing reading and missing mathematics | 18,638 | 84.1 | 17,982 | 96.5 | 654 | 3.5 | 2 | $<0.1$ |
| Missing reading and passed mathematics | 1,627 | 7.3 | 1,498 | 92.1 | 129 | 7.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Missing reading and failed mathematics | 1,910 | 8.6 | 1,353 | 70.8 | 557 | 29.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 22,175 | 100 | 20,833 | 94.0 | 1,340 | 6.0 | 2 | $<0.1$ |

Note. Results are based on TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) combined. Parts may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.
aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

Table 22
Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) 2009 Performance and Promotion Status 2008-09, Grade 3, by Test, Texas Public Schools

| TAKS |  |  | Promotion status |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Promoted |  | Retained |  | Unknown ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Results | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| English-version Grade 3 reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed | 292,748 | 94.9 | 291,186 | 99.5 | 1,560 | 0.5 | 2 | <0.1 |
| Failed | 13,392 | 4.3 | 9,083 | 67.8 | 4,309 | 32.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Not tested | 2,476 | 0.8 | 1,779 | 71.9 | 697 | 28.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 308,616 | 100 | 302,048 | 97.9 | 6,566 | 2.1 | 2 | $<0.1$ |
| English-version Grade 3 mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed | 265,292 | 84.2 | 263,940 | 99.5 | 1,350 | 0.5 | 2 | $<0.1$ |
| Failed | 49,153 | 15.6 | 43,845 | 89.2 | 5,308 | 10.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Not tested | 706 | 0.2 | 658 | 93.2 | 48 | 6.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 315,151 | 100 | 308,443 | 97.9 | 6,706 | 2.1 | 2 | $<0.1$ |
| Spanish-version Grade 3 reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed | 31,247 | 91.1 | 31,009 | 99.2 | 238 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Failed | 2,347 | 6.8 | 1,376 | 58.6 | 971 | 41.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Not tested | 708 | 2.1 | 591 | 83.5 | 117 | 16.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 34,302 | 100 | 32,976 | 96.1 | 1,326 | 3.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Spanish-version Grade 3 mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed | 19,544 | 77.4 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0.0 |
| Failed | 5,687 | 22.5 | 4,950 | 87.0 | 737 | 13.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Not tested | 30 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 25,261 | 100 | 24,326 | 96.3 | 935 | 3.7 | 0 | 0.0 |

Note. Results are based on TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) combined. Parts may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. A dash (-) indicates data are not reported to protect student anonymity.
aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

## Grade 4

Figure 20
Performance on the Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) Reading and Mathematics Tests 2009 and Promotion Status 2008-09, Grade 4, Texas Public Schools


Note. Results are based on TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) combined. Parts may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. "Unknown" indicates promotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

Table 23
Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) 2009 Performance and Promotion Status 2008-09, Test Results Combined, Grade 4, Texas Public Schools

| TAKS |  |  | Promotion status |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading and mathematics performance | Total |  | Promoted |  | Retained |  | Unknown ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  |  | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Passed both |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed reading and passed mathematics | 253,076 | 100 | 252,871 | 99.9 | 205 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Failed reading or mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Failed reading and failed mathematics | 26,027 | 35.5 | 23,773 | 91.3 | 2,253 | 8.7 | 1 | $<0.1$ |
| Failed reading and passed mathematics | 25,415 | 34.7 | 24,929 | 98.1 | 486 | 1.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Failed reading and missing mathematics | 814 | 1.1 | 798 | 98.0 | 16 | 2.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Missing reading and failed mathematics | 951 | 1.3 | 942 | 99.1 | 9 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Passed reading and failed mathematics | 20,029 | 27.3 | 19,578 | 97.7 | 451 | 2.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 73,236 | 100 | 70,020 | 95.6 | 3,215 | 4.4 | 1 | $<0.1$ |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed reading and missing mathematics | 586 | 36.4 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0.0 |
| Missing reading and passed mathematics | 1,025 | 63.6 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 1,611 | 100 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0.0 |

Note. Results are based on TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) combined. Parts may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. A dash (-) indicates data are not reported to protect student anonymity.
aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

Table 24
Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) 2009 Performance and Promotion Status 2008-09, Grade 4, by Test, Texas Public Schools

| TAKS |  |  | Promotion status |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Promoted |  | Retained |  | Unknown ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Results | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| English-version Grade 4 reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed | 259,603 | 84.2 | 259,024 | 99.8 | 579 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Failed | 48,611 | 15.8 | 46,175 | 95.0 | 2,435 | 5.0 | 1 | <0.1 |
| Total | 308,214 | 100 | 305,199 | 99.0 | 3,014 | 1.0 | 1 | $<0.1$ |
| English-version Grade 4 mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed | 265,734 | 86.0 | 265,113 | 99.8 | 621 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Failed | 43,136 | 14.0 | 40,748 | 94.5 | 2,387 | 5.5 | 1 | $<0.1$ |
| Total | 308,870 | 100 | 305,861 | 99.0 | 3,008 | 1.0 | 1 | <0.1 |
| Spanish-version Grade 4 reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed | 14,088 | 79.4 | 14,010 | 99.4 | 78 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Failed | 3,645 | 20.6 | 3,325 | 91.2 | 320 | 8.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 17,733 | 100 | 17,335 | 97.8 | 398 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Spanish-version Grade 4 mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed | 13,782 | 78.1 | 13,710 | 99.5 | 72 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Failed | 3,871 | 21.9 | 3,545 | 91.6 | 326 | 8.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 17,653 | 100 | 17,255 | 97.7 | 398 | 2.3 | 0 | 0.0 |

Note. Results are based on TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) combined. Parts may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.
aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

## Grade 5

Figure 21
Performance on the Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) Reading and Mathematics Tests 2009 and Promotion Status 2008-09, Grade 5, Texas Public Schools


Note. Results are based on TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) combined. Parts may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. "Unknown" indicates promotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.
aStudents may be missing TAKS results because Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) records could not be matched to TAKS records or students may have been exempted from taking TAKS. Students not tested with TAKS or TAKS (Accommodated) may have been administered another version of TAKS, such as TAKS-Modified. ${ }^{\text {bThese students: may have had passing TAKS records that could not be matched to PEIMS records }}$ because of incorrect student identification information; may not have been correctly reported in PEIMS when grade placement committee (GPC) promotions were collected; or may have been administered another version of TAKS, such as TAKS-Modified. cPromoted by GPC decision.

Table 25
Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) 2009 Performance and Promotion Status 2008-09, Test Results Combined, Grade 5, Texas Public Schools

| TAKS |  |  | Promotion status |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading and mathematics performance | Total |  | Promoted |  | Retained |  | Unknown ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Passed both |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed reading and passed mathematics | 284,153 | 100 | 283,959 | 99.9 | 194 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Failed reading or mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Failed reading and failed mathematics | 11,282 | 32.2 | 8,924 | 79.1 | 2,358 | 20.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Failed reading and passed mathematics | 11,965 | 34.1 | 10,909 | 91.2 | 1,056 | 8.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Failed reading and missing mathematics | 958 | 2.7 | 920 | 96.0 | 38 | 4.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Missing reading and failed mathematics | 557 | 1.6 | 534 | 95.9 | 23 | 4.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Passed reading and failed mathematics | 10,294 | 29.4 | 9,287 | 90.2 | 1,007 | 9.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 35,056 | 100 | 30,574 | 87.2 | 4,482 | 12.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Missing reading and missing mathematics | 21,760 | 83.7 | 20,893 | 96.0 | 863 | 4.0 | 4 | $<0.1$ |
| Passed reading and missing mathematics | 2,033 | 7.8 | 1,943 | 95.6 | 90 | 4.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Missing reading and passed mathematics | 2,209 | 8.5 | 2,103 | 95.2 | 106 | 4.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 26,002 | 100 | 24,939 | 95.9 | 1,059 | 4.1 | 4 | $<0.1$ |

Note. Results are based on TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) combined. Parts may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.
aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

Table 26
Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) 2009 Performance and Promotion Status 2008-09, Grade 5, by Test, Texas Public Schools

| TAKS |  |  | Promotion status |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Promoted |  | Retained |  | Unknown ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Results | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| English-version Grade 5 reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed | 290,261 | 91.5 | 289,095 | 99.6 | 1,166 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Failed | 23,301 | 7.3 | 20,062 | 86.1 | 3,239 | 13.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Not tested | 3,671 | 1.2 | 3,248 | 88.5 | 423 | 11.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 317,233 | 100 | 312,405 | 98.5 | 4,828 | 1.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| English-version Grade 5 mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed | 295,522 | 93.0 | 294,184 | 99.5 | 1,338 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Failed | 20,852 | 6.6 | 17,689 | 84.8 | 3,163 | 15.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Not tested | 1,345 | 0.4 | 1,020 | 75.8 | 325 | 24.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 317,719 | 100 | 312,893 | 98.5 | 4,826 | 1.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Spanish-version Grade 5 reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed | 6,219 | 86.2 | 6,094 | 98.0 | 125 | 2.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Failed | 904 | 12.5 | 691 | 76.4 | 213 | 23.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Not tested | 95 | 1.3 | 89 | 93.7 | 6 | 6.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 7,218 | 100 | 6,874 | 95.2 | 344 | 4.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Spanish-version Grade 5 mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed | 2,805 | 68.5 | 2,787 | 99.4 | 18 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Failed | 1,281 | 31.3 | - | _ | - | - | 0 | 0.0 |
| Not tested | 8 | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 4,094 | 100 | 3,847 | 94.0 | 247 | 6.0 | 0 | 0.0 |

Note. Results are based on TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) combined. Parts may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. A dash (-) indicates data are not reported to protect student anonymity.


## Grade 6

Figure 22
Performance on the Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) Reading and Mathematics Tests 2009 and Promotion Status 2008-09, Grade 6, Texas Public Schools


Note. Results are based on TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) combined. Parts may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. "Unknown" indicates promotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

Table 27
Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) 2009 Performance and Promotion Status 2008-09, Test Results Combined, Grade 6, Texas Public Schools

| TAKS |  |  | Promotion status |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading and mathematics performance | Total |  | Promoted |  | Retained |  | Unknown ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Passed both |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed reading and passed mathematics | 242,582 | 100 | 242,279 | 99.9 | 301 | 0.1 | 2 | $<0.1$ |
| Failed reading or mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Failed reading and failed mathematics | 19,393 | 26.7 | 18,427 | 95.0 | 965 | 5.0 | 1 | <0.1 |
| Failed reading and passed mathematics | 8,457 | 11.6 | 8,374 | 99.0 | 83 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Failed reading and missing mathematics | 862 | 1.2 | 852 | 98.8 | 10 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Missing reading and failed mathematics | 1,242 | 1.7 | 1,227 | 98.8 | 15 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Passed reading and failed mathematics | 42,720 | 58.8 | 41,926 | 98.1 | 794 | 1.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 72,674 | 100 | 70,806 | 97.4 | 1,867 | 2.6 | 1 | $<0.1$ |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed reading and missing mathematics | 1,301 | 67.0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0.0 |
| Missing reading and passed mathematics | 641 | 33.0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 1,942 | 100 | 1,935 | 99.6 | 7 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 |

Note. Results are based on TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) combined. Parts may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. A dash (-) indicates data are not reported to protect student anonymity.
aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

Table 28
Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) 2009 Performance and Promotion Status 2008-09, Grade 6, by Test, Texas Public Schools

| TAKS |  |  | Promotion status |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Promoted |  | Retained |  | Unknown ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Results | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| English-version Grade 6 reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed | 286,119 | 90.9 | 285,018 | 99.6 | 1,099 | 0.4 | 2 | $<0.1$ |
| Failed | 28,569 | 9.1 | 27,514 | 96.3 | 1,054 | 3.7 | 1 | <0.1 |
| Total | 314,688 | 100 | 312,532 | 99.3 | 2,153 | 0.7 | 3 | <0.1 |
| English-version Grade 6 mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed | 251,291 | 79.9 | 250,906 | 99.8 | 383 | 0.2 | 2 | $<0.1$ |
| Failed | 63,136 | 20.1 | 61,365 | 97.2 | 1,770 | 2.8 | 1 | $<0.1$ |
| Total | 314,427 | 100 | 312,271 | 99.3 | 2,153 | 0.7 | 3 | $<0.1$ |
| Spanish-version Grade 6 reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed | 484 | 77.2 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0.0 |
| Failed | 143 | 22.8 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 627 | 100 | 621 | 99.0 | 6 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Spanish-version Grade 6 mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed | 389 | 64.0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0.0 |
| Failed | 219 | 36.0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 608 | 100 | 602 | 99.0 | 6 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 |

Note. Results are based on TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) combined. Parts may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. A dash (-) indicates data are not reported to protect student anonymity.
aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

## Grade 7

Figure 23
Performance on the Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) Reading and Mathematics Tests 2009 and Promotion Status 2008-09, Grade 7, Texas Public Schools


Note. Results are based on TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) combined. Parts may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. "Unknown" indicates promotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

Table 29
Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) 2009 Performance and Promotion Status 2008-09, Test Results Combined, Grade 7, Texas Public Schools

| TAKS |  |  | Promotion status |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading and mathematics performance | Total |  | Promoted |  | Retained |  | Unknown ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Passed both |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed reading and passed mathematics | 228,098 | 100 | 227,624 | 99.8 | 474 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Failed reading or mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Failed reading and failed mathematics | 31,369 | 37.0 | 29,589 | 94.3 | 1,780 | 5.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Failed reading and passed mathematics | 16,531 | 19.5 | 16,326 | 98.8 | 205 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Failed reading and missing mathematics | 2,030 | 2.4 | 2,004 | 98.7 | 26 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Missing reading and failed mathematics | 1,124 | 1.3 | 1,106 | 98.4 | 18 | 1.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Passed reading and failed mathematics | 33,779 | 39.8 | 33,006 | 97.7 | 773 | 2.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 84,833 | 100 | 82,031 | 96.7 | 2,802 | 3.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed reading and missing mathematics | 971 | 63.0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0.0 |
| Missing reading and passed mathematics | 571 | 37.0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 1,542 | 100 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0.0 |

Note. Results are based on TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) combined. Parts may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. A dash (-) indicates data are not reported to protect student anonymity.
aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

Table 30
Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) 2009 Performance and Promotion Status 2008-09, Grade 7, by Test, Texas Public Schools

| TAKS |  |  | Promotion status |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Promoted |  | Retained |  | Unknown ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Results | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| English-version Grade 7 reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed | 262,848 | 84.0 | 261,599 | 99.5 | 1,249 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Failed | 49,930 | 16.0 | 47,919 | 96.0 | 2,011 | 4.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 312,778 | 100 | 309,518 | 99.0 | 3,260 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| English-version Grade 7 mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed | 245,200 | 78.7 | 244,519 | 99.7 | 681 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Failed | 66,272 | 21.3 | 63,701 | 96.1 | 2,571 | 3.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 311,472 | 100 | 308,220 | 99.0 | 3,252 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 |

Note. Results are based on TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) combined. Parts may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.
aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

## Grade 8

Figure 24
Performance on the Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) Reading and Mathematics Tests 2009 and Promotion Status 2008-09, Grade 8, Texas Public Schools


Note. Results are based on TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) combined. Parts may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. "Unknown" indicates promotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.
aStudents may be missing TAKS results because Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) records could not be matched to TAKS records or students may have been exempted from taking TAKS. Students not tested with TAKS or TAKS (Accommodated) may have been administered another version of TAKS, such as TAKS-Modified. ${ }^{\text {bThese students: may have had passing TAKS records that could not be matched to PEIMS records }}$ because of incorrect student identification information; may not have been correctly reported in PEIMS when grade placement committee (GPC) promotions were collected; or may have been administered another version of TAKS, such as TAKS-Modified. CPromoted by GPC decision.

Table 31
Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) 2009 Performance and Promotion Status 2008-09, Test Results Combined, Grade 8, Texas Public Schools

| TAKS |  |  | Promotion status |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading and mathematics performance | Total |  | Promoted |  | Retained |  | Unknown ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Passed both |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed reading and passed mathematics | 269,617 | 100 | 269,136 | 99.8 | 480 | 0.2 | 1 | $<0.1$ |
| Failed reading or mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Failed reading and failed mathematics | 9,051 | 22.7 | 7,835 | 86.6 | 1,215 | 13.4 | 1 | $<0.1$ |
| Failed reading and passed mathematics | 2,925 | 7.3 | 2,810 | 96.1 | 115 | 3.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Failed reading and missing mathematics | 1,248 | 3.1 | 1,156 | 92.6 | 92 | 7.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Missing reading and failed mathematics | 594 | 1.5 | 553 | 93.1 | 41 | 6.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Passed reading and failed mathematics | 26,106 | 65.4 | 24,122 | 92.4 | 1,983 | 7.6 | 1 | <0.1 |
| Total | 39,924 | 100 | 36,476 | 91.4 | 3,446 | 8.6 | 2 | $<0.1$ |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Missing reading and missing mathematics | 23,679 | 82.0 | 22,585 | 95.4 | 1,076 | 4.5 | 18 | 0.1 |
| Passed reading and missing mathematics | 3,935 | 13.6 | 3,784 | 96.2 | 151 | 3.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Missing reading and passed mathematics | 1,268 | 4.4 | 1,256 | 99.1 | 12 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 28,882 | 100 | 27,625 | 95.6 | 1,239 | 4.3 | 18 | 0.1 |

Note. Results are based on TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) combined. Parts may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.
aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

Table 32
Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) 2009 Performance and Promotion Status 2008-09, Grade 8, by Test, Texas Public Schools

| TAKS |  |  | Promotion status |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Promoted |  | Retained |  | Unknown ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Results | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| English-version Grade 8 reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed | 299,658 | 94.3 | 297,042 | 99.1 | 2,614 | 0.9 | 2 | $<0.1$ |
| Failed | 13,224 | 4.2 | 11,801 | 89.2 | 1,422 | 10.8 |  | <0.1 |
| Not tested | 4,771 | 1.5 | 4,653 | 97.5 | 117 | 2.5 | 1 | <0.1 |
| Total | 317,653 | 100 | 313,496 | 98.7 | 4,153 | 1.3 | 4 | $<0.1$ |
| English-version Grade 8 mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed | 273,810 | 88.0 | 273,202 | 99.8 | 607 | 0.2 | 1 | <0.1 |
| Failed | 35,751 | 11.5 | 32,510 | 90.9 | 3,239 | 9.1 | 2 | <0.1 |
| Not tested | 1,670 | 0.5 | 1,525 | 91.3 | 144 | 8.6 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Total | 311,231 | 100 | 307,237 | 98.7 | 3,990 | 1.3 | 4 | $<0.1$ |

Note. Results are based on TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) combined. Parts may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.
aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

## Grade 9

Figure 25
Performance on the Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) Reading and Mathematics Tests 2009 and Promotion Status 2008-09, Grade 9, Texas Public Schools


Note. Results are based on TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) combined. Parts may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. "Unknown" indicates promotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

Table 33
Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) 2009 Performance and Promotion Status 2008-09, Test Results Combined, Grade 9, Texas Public Schools

| TAKS |  |  | Promotion status |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading and mathematics performance | Total |  | Promoted |  | Retained |  | Unknown ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Passed both |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed reading and passed mathematics | 209,873 | 100 | 204,313 | 97.4 | 5,560 | 2.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Failed reading or mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Failed reading and failed mathematics | 32,869 | 26.9 | 21,837 | 66.4 | 11,029 | 33.6 | 3 | <0.1 |
| Failed reading and passed mathematics | 7,566 | 6.2 | 6,708 | 88.7 | 858 | 11.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Failed reading and missing mathematics | 3,893 | 3.2 | 2,237 | 57.5 | 1,656 | 42.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Missing reading and failed mathematics | 3,033 | 2.5 | 1,697 | 56.0 | 1,335 | 44.0 | 1 | $<0.1$ |
| Passed reading and failed mathematics | 74,952 | 61.3 | 60,695 | 81.0 | 14,257 | 19.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 122,313 | 100 | 93,174 | 76.2 | 29,135 | 23.8 | 4 | $<0.1$ |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Missing reading and missing mathematics | 6,559 | 61.5 | 4,765 | 72.6 | 1,794 | 27.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Passed reading and missing mathematics | 3,127 | 29.3 | 2,104 | 67.3 | 1,022 | 32.7 | 1 | $<0.1$ |
| Missing reading and passed mathematics | 982 | 9.2 | 841 | 85.6 | 141 | 14.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 10,668 | 100 | 7,710 | 72.3 | 2,957 | 27.7 | 1 | $<0.1$ |

Note. Results are based on TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) combined. Parts may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.
aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

Table 34
Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) 2009 Performance and Promotion Status 2008-09, Grade 9, by Test, Texas Public Schools

| TAKS |  |  | Promotion status |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Promoted |  | Retained |  | Unknown ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Results | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| English-version Grade 9 reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed | 287,952 | 85.0 | 267,112 | 92.8 | 20,839 | 7.2 | 1 | $<0.1$ |
| Failed | 44,328 | 13.1 | 30,782 | 69.4 | 13,543 | 30.6 | 3 | <0.1 |
| Not tested | 6,493 | 1.9 | 4,846 | 74.6 | 1,647 | 25.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 338,773 | 100 | 302,740 | 89.4 | 36,029 | 10.6 | 4 | $<0.1$ |
| English-version Grade 9 mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed | 218,421 | 65.1 | 211,862 | 97.0 | 6,559 | 3.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Failed | 110,854 | 33.0 | 84,229 | 76.0 | 26,621 | 24.0 | 4 | <0.1 |
| Not tested | 6,382 | 1.9 | 4,643 | 72.8 | 1,739 | 27.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 335,657 | 100 | 300,734 | 89.6 | 34,919 | 10.4 | 4 | $<0.1$ |

Note. Results are based on TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) combined. Parts may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.
aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

## Grade 10

Figure 26
Performance on the Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics Tests 2009 and Promotion Status 2008-09, Grade 10, Texas Public Schools


Note. Results are based on TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) combined. Parts may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. "Unknown" indicates promotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

Table 35
Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) 2009 Performance and Promotion Status 2008-09, Test Results Combined, Grade 10, Texas Public Schools

| TAKS |  |  | Promotion status |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English language arts (ELA) and mathematics performance | Total |  | Promoted |  | Retained |  | Unknown ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Passed both |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed ELA and passed mathematics | 180,451 | 100 | 177,626 | 98.4 | 2,788 | 1.5 | 37 | $<0.1$ |
| Failed ELA or mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Failed ELA and failed mathematics | 26,008 | 24.3 | 20,607 | 79.2 | 5,251 | 20.2 | 150 | 0.6 |
| Failed ELA and passed mathematics | 6,230 | 5.8 | 5,758 | 92.4 | 469 | 7.5 | 3 | $<0.1$ |
| Failed ELA and missing mathematics | 2,668 | 2.5 | 1,880 | 70.5 | 741 | 27.8 | 47 | 1.8 |
| Missing ELA and failed mathematics | 2,205 | 2.1 | 1,511 | 68.5 | 653 | 29.6 | 41 | 1.9 |
| Passed ELA and failed mathematics | 69,984 | 65.3 | 63,073 | 90.1 | 6,776 | 9.7 | 135 | 0.2 |
| Total | 107,095 | 100 | 92,829 | 86.7 | 13,890 | 13.0 | 376 | 0.4 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Missing ELA and missing mathematics | 436 | 13.0 | 353 | 81.0 | 75 | 17.2 | 8 | 1.8 |
| Passed ELA and missing mathematics | 2,194 | 65.7 | 1,708 | 77.8 | 466 | 21.2 | 20 | 0.9 |
| Missing ELA and passed mathematics | 711 | 21.3 | 613 | 86.2 | 95 | 13.4 | 3 | 0.4 |
| Total | 3,341 | 100 | 2,674 | 80.0 | 636 | 19.0 | 31 | 0.9 |

Note. Results are based on TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) combined. Parts may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.
aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

Table 36
Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) 2009 Performance and Promotion Status 2008-09, Grade 10, by Test, Texas Public Schools

| TAKS |  |  | Promotion status |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Promoted |  | Retained |  | Unknown ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Results | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| English-version Grade 10 English language arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed | 252,629 | 87.7 | 242,407 | 96.0 | 10,030 | 4.0 | 192 | 0.1 |
| Failed | 34,906 | 12.1 | 28,245 | 80.9 | 6,461 | 18.5 | 200 | 0.6 |
| Not tested | 508 | 0.2 | 414 | 81.5 | 86 | 16.9 | 8 | 1.6 |
| Total | 288,043 | 100 | 271,066 | 94.1 | 16,577 | 5.8 | 400 | 0.1 |
| English-version Grade 10 mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed | 187,392 | 65.6 | 183,997 | 98.2 | 3,352 | 1.8 | 43 | <0.1 |
| Failed | 98,197 | 34.4 | 85,191 | 86.8 | 12,680 | 12.9 | 326 | 0.3 |
| Total | 285,589 | 100 | 269,188 | 94.3 | 16,032 | 5.6 | 369 | 0.1 |

Note. Results are based on TAKS and TAKS (Accommodated) combined. Parts may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.
aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

# Appendix Statute and Rules About Grade-Level Retention and the Student Success Initiative 

Texas Education Code §28.021 and §28.0211
Texas Administrative Code §§101.2001-101.2019

## Texas Education Code §28.021 and §28.0211

## The following sections of the Texas Education Code are current through the $\mathbf{8 1}$ st Legislative Session, 2009.

## §28.021. Student Advancement.

(a) A student may be promoted only on the basis of academic achievement or demonstrated proficiency of the subject matter of the course or grade level.
(b) In measuring the academic achievement or proficiency of a student who is dyslexic, the student's potential for achievement or proficiency in the area must be considered.
(c) In determining promotion under Subsection (a), a school district shall consider:
(1) the recommendation of the student's teacher;
(2) the student's grade in each subject or course;
(3) the student's score on an assessment instrument administered under Section 39.023(a), (b), or (l); and
(4) any other necessary academic information, as determined by the district.
(d) By the start of the school year, a district shall make public the requirements for student advancement under this section.
(e) The commissioner shall provide guidelines to districts based on best practices that a district may use when considering factors for promotion.

Added by Acts 1995, 74th Leg., ch. 260, §1, eff. May 30, 1995. Amended by Acts 2009, 81st Leg., ch. 895, §28, eff. June 19, 2009.

## §28.0211. Satisfactory Performance on Assessment Instruments Required; Accelerated Instruction.

(a) Except as provided by Subsection (b) or (e), a student may not be promoted to:
(1) the sixth grade program to which the student would otherwise be assigned if the student does not perform satisfactorily on the fifth grade mathematics and reading assessment instruments under Section 39.023; or
(2) the ninth grade program to which the student would otherwise be assigned if the student does not perform satisfactorily on the eighth grade mathematics and reading assessment instruments under Section 39.023.
(a-1) Each time a student fails to perform satisfactorily on an assessment instrument administered under Section 39.023(a) in the third, fourth, fifth, sixth, seventh, or eighth grade, the school district in which the student attends school shall provide to the student accelerated instruction in the applicable subject area. Accelerated instruction may require participation of the student before or after normal school hours and may include participation at times of the year outside normal school operations.
(a-2) A student who fails to perform satisfactorily on an assessment instrument specified under Subsection (a) and who is promoted to the next grade level must complete accelerated
instruction required under Subsection (a-1) before placement in the next grade level. A student who fails to complete required accelerated instruction may not be promoted.
(a-3) The commissioner shall provide guidelines to districts on research-based best practices and effective strategies that a district may use in developing an accelerated instruction program.
(b) A school district shall provide to a student who initially fails to perform satisfactorily on an assessment instrument specified under Subsection (a) at least two additional opportunities to take the assessment instrument. A school district may administer an alternate assessment instrument to a student who has failed an assessment instrument specified under Subsection (a) on the previous two opportunities. Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, a student may be promoted if the student performs at grade level on an alternate assessment instrument under this subsection that is appropriate for the student's grade level and approved by the commissioner.
(c) Each time a student fails to perform satisfactorily on an assessment instrument specified under Subsection (a), the school district in which the student attends school shall provide to the student accelerated instruction in the applicable subject area, including reading instruction for a student who fails to perform satisfactorily on a reading assessment instrument. After a student fails to perform satisfactorily on an assessment instrument a second time, a grade placement committee shall be established to prescribe the accelerated instruction the district shall provide to the student before the student is administered the assessment instrument the third time. The grade placement committee shall be composed of the principal or the principal's designee, the student's parent or guardian, and the teacher of the subject of an assessment instrument on which the student failed to perform satisfactorily. The district shall notify the parent or guardian of the time and place for convening the grade placement committee and the purpose of the committee. An accelerated instruction group administered by a school district under this section may not have a ratio of more than 10 students for each teacher.
(d) In addition to providing accelerated instruction to a student under Subsection (c), the district shall notify the student's parent or guardian of:
(1) the student's failure to perform satisfactorily on the assessment instrument;
(2) the accelerated instruction program to which the student is assigned; and the possibility that the student might be retained at the same grade level for the next school year.
(e) A student who, after at least three attempts, fails to perform satisfactorily on an assessment instrument specified under Subsection (a) shall be retained at the same grade level for the next school year in accordance with Subsection (a). The student's parent or guardian may appeal the student's retention by submitting a request to the grade placement committee established under Subsection (c). The school district shall give the parent or guardian written notice of the opportunity to appeal. The grade placement committee may decide in favor of a student's promotion only if the committee concludes, using standards adopted by the board of trustees, that if promoted and given accelerated instruction, the student is likely to perform at grade level. A student may not be promoted on the basis of the grade placement committee's decision unless that decision is unanimous. The commissioner by rule shall establish a time line for making the placement determination. This subsection does not create a property interest in promotion. The decision of the grade placement committee is final and may not be appealed.
(f) A school district shall provide to a student who, after three attempts, has failed to perform satisfactorily on an assessment instrument specified under Subsection (a) accelerated instruction during the next school year as prescribed by an educational plan developed for the student by the student's grade placement committee established under Subsection (c). The district shall provide that accelerated instruction regardless of whether the student has been promoted or retained. The educational plan must be designed to enable the student to perform at the appropriate grade level by the conclusion of the school year. During the school year, the student shall be monitored to ensure that the student is progressing in accordance with the plan. The district shall administer to the student the assessment instrument for the grade level in which the student is placed at the time the district regularly administers the assessment instruments for that school year.
(g) This section does not preclude the retention at a grade level, in accordance with state law or school district policy, of a student who performs satisfactorily on an assessment instrument specified under Subsection (a).
(h) In each instance under this section in which a school district is specifically required to provide notice to a parent or guardian of a student, the district shall make a good faith effort to ensure that such notice is provided either in person or by regular mail and that the notice is clear and easy to understand and is written in English or the parent or guardian's native language.
(i) The admission, review, and dismissal committee of a student who participates in a district's special education program under Subchapter B, Chapter 29, and who does not perform satisfactorily on an assessment instrument specified under Subsection (a) and administered under Section 39.023(a) or (b) shall determine:
(1) the manner in which the student will participate in an accelerated instruction program under this section; and
(2) whether the student will be promoted or retained under this section.
(j) A school district or open-enrollment charter school shall provide students required to attend accelerated programs under this section with transportation to those programs if the programs occur outside of regular school hours.
(k) The commissioner shall adopt rules as necessary to implement this section, including rules concerning when school districts shall administer assessment instruments required under this section and which administration of the assessment instruments will be used for purposes of Section 39.051.
(1) Repealed by Acts 2007, 80th Leg., ch. 1058, § 17.
(l-1) The commissioner may adopt rules requiring a school district that receives federal funding under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. Section 6301 et seq.) to use that funding to provide supplemental educational services under 20 U.S.C. Section 6316 in conjunction with the accelerated instruction provided under this section, provided that the rules may not conflict with federal law governing the use of that funding.
(m) The commissioner shall certify, not later than July 1 of each school year or as soon as practicable thereafter, whether sufficient funds have been appropriated statewide for the purposes of this section. A determination by the commissioner is final and may not be appealed. For purposes of certification, the commissioner may not consider Foundation School Program funds. This section may be implemented only if the commissioner certifies that sufficient funds have been appropriated during a school year for administering the
accelerated instruction programs specified under this section, including teacher training for that purpose.
(n) A student who is promoted by a grade placement committee under this section must be assigned in each subject in which the student failed to perform satisfactorily on an assessment instrument specified under Subsection (a) to a teacher who meets all state and federal qualifications to teach that subject and grade.
(o) Expired.

Added by Acts 1999, 76th Leg., ch. 396, §2.12, eff. Sept. 1, 1999. Amended by Acts 2007, 80th Leg., ch. 1058, §§9, 17, eff. June 15, 2007; Acts 2009, 81st Leg., ch. 895, §29, eff. June 19, 2009.

# Texas Administrative Code §§101.2001-101.2019 

## The following sections of the Texas Administrative Code are current as of June 2010.

Statutory Authority: The provisions of this Subchapter BB issued under the Texas Education Code, \$28.0211, unless otherwise noted.

## §101.2001. Policy.

(a) School districts shall implement grade advancement requirements in accordance with this subchapter and the Texas Education Agency (TEA) procedures outlined in the official Grade Placement Committee (GPC) manual, published annually by the TEA. As specified in §101.2009 of this title (relating to Notice to Parents or Guardians), school districts will make public at the beginning of the school year grade advancement requirements as determined by the school district.
(b) In addition to local policy relating to grade advancement, students in Grades 5 and 8 shall demonstrate proficiency in the subjects required by the Texas Education Code (TEC), §28.0211(a), in order to advance to the next grade. Demonstrated proficiency is defined under this section as meeting the passing standard on the appropriate assessment instruments specified by §101.2003(a) of this title (relating to Grade Advancement Testing Requirements) or on a state-approved alternate assessment authorized in $\S 101.2011$ of this title (relating to Alternate Assessment). A student who does not demonstrate proficiency as described in this section may advance to the next grade only if:
(1) the student has completed the required accelerated instruction under $\S 101.2006$ of this title (relating to Accelerated Instruction);
(2) the student's GPC, as specified in $\S 101.2007$ of this title (relating to Role of Grade Placement Committee), determines by unanimous decision, in accordance with the standards for promotion established by the local school board, that the student is likely to perform at grade level at the end of the next year given additional accelerated instruction. In accordance with the TEC, $\S 28.021$, to determine grade promotion, a school district is required to consider the recommendation of the student's teacher, the student's grades, the student's assessment scores, and any other necessary academic information; and
(3) in accordance with the TEC, §28.0211(n), the school district will ensure that a student who is promoted by a GPC under $\$ 101.2007$ of this title shall be assigned in each subject in which the student failed to perform satisfactorily on an assessment instrument specified under the TEC, $\S 28.0211(\mathrm{a})$, to a teacher who meets all state and federal qualifications to teach that subject and grade.
(c) Students in Grades 3-8 shall be provided accelerated instruction required by the TEC, $\S 28.0211$, as specified in $\S 101.2006$ of this title.
Source: The provisions of this §101.2001 adopted to be effective May 26, 2002, 27 TexReg 4337; amended to be effective April 19, 2010, 35 TexReg 3030.

## §101.2003. Grade Advancement Testing Requirements.

(a) Each school district and charter school shall test eligible students in accordance with the grade advancement requirements for the grades and subjects specified in the Texas Education Code (TEC), §28.0211(a). These requirements apply to the following assessment instruments under the TEC, §39.023(a), (b), and (l):
(1) the reading and mathematics assessments at Grade 5; and
(2) the reading and mathematics assessments at Grade 8.
(b) An eligible student is subject to all of the grade advancement requirements under the TEC, $\S 28.0211$, including automatic retention, if the student is enrolled in a local school district or charter school on any day between January 1 and the date of the first administration of the grade advancement assessments.
(c) An eligible student who does not meet the criteria specified in subsection (b) of this section but enrolls in a local school district or charter school at any time after the date of the first administration of the grade advancement assessments is not subject to the grade advancement requirements. In accordance with §101.2001(b) of this title (relating to Policy), a school district or charter school must provide this student with the opportunity to test and access to required accelerated instruction.
(d) A student receiving special education services under the TEC, Chapter 29, Subchapter A, enrolled in Grade 5 or Grade 8 who is receiving instruction in the essential knowledge and skills in a subject specified under subsection (a) of this section is eligible under this section as outlined in the official Grade Placement Committee (GPC) manual published annually by the Texas Education Agency (TEA). In accordance with §101.5(b) of this title (relating to Student Testing Requirements) and the TEC, §28.0211(i), the student's admission, review, and dismissal (ARD) committee shall determine appropriate assessment and accelerated instruction for each eligible student. Assessment decisions must be made on an individual basis and in accordance with procedures established by the TEA. These decisions shall be documented in the student's individualized education program.
(e) A limited English proficient (LEP) student, as defined by the TEC, Chapter 29, Subchapter B, who is administered an assessment in English or Spanish for a grade and subject specified in subsection (a) of this section, except as provided by $\S 101.1011$ of this title (relating to Student Success Initiative Grade Advancement Requirements), is eligible under this section. In accordance with $\S 101.1003$ of this title (relating to Role of the Language Proficiency Assessment Committee), the student's language proficiency assessment committee (LPAC) shall determine the appropriate assessment and accelerated instruction for each eligible student. The GPC, as specified in $\S 101.2007$ of this title (relating to Role of Grade Placement Committee), shall make its decisions in consultation with a member of the student's LPAC. Assessment decisions must be made on an individual basis and in accordance with procedures established by the TEA.
(f) As specified in $\S 101.1009$ of this title (relating to Limited English Proficient Students Who Receive Special Education Services), decisions regarding assessments for LEP students who receive special education services shall be made by the ARD committee in conjunction with the LPAC.
(g) In accordance with the TEC, $\S 28.021(\mathrm{~b})$, decisions regarding a student who is dyslexic and eligible under this section shall consider the student's potential for achievement or proficiency in the assessed subject.

Source: The provisions of this §101.2003 adopted to be effective May 26, 2002, 27 TexReg 4337; amended to be effective February 24, 2005, 30 TexReg 842; amended to be effective April 19, 2010, 35 TexReg 3030.

## §101.2005. Test Administration and Schedule.

(a) The Texas Education Agency (TEA) shall establish the test administration procedures in the applicable test administration materials. The superintendent of each school district and chief administrative officer of each charter school shall be responsible for following these procedures and maintaining the integrity of the test administration and the security and confidentiality requirements, as specified in Chapter 101, Subchapter C, of this title (relating to Security and Confidentiality).
(b) The TEA shall provide three opportunities per year for the tests required for grade advancement as specified in the Texas Education Code, $\S 28.0211(a)$. The commissioner of education shall specify the dates of these administrations in the assessment calendar. Additional test opportunities will not be provided.
(c) The superintendent of each school district and chief administrative officer of each charter school shall establish procedures to ensure:
(1) that each eligible student who is absent or does not receive a test score for any test administration shall receive appropriate accelerated instruction as warranted on an individual student basis; and
(2) that each eligible student who is absent or does not receive a test score for all three test opportunities and is consequently retained shall receive other appropriate means of evaluation, including the administration of an alternate assessment, as provided under $\S 101.2011$ (a) of this title (relating to Alternate Assessment), so that the Grade Placement Committee has sufficient evidence for its review upon appeal by a parent or guardian.
(d) A campus or district must accommodate the request of an out-of-district student to participate in the third administration of a test required for grade advancement if that campus or district is testing one or more local students on the applicable test and if the out-of-district student has registered to take the test by a date determined by the TEA.
Source: The provisions of this §101.2005 adopted to be effective May 26, 2002, 27 TexReg 4337; amended to be effective February 24, 2005, 30 TexReg 842.

## §101.2006. Accelerated Instruction.

(a) Each time a student in Grades 3-8 fails to perform satisfactorily on an assessment instrument administered under the Texas Education Code (TEC), §39.023(a), the school district or charter school shall provide the student with accelerated instruction in the applicable subject.
(b) Accelerated instruction may require participation of the student before or after normal school hours and may include participation at times of the year outside normal school operations. Each school district and each charter school shall be responsible for providing transportation to students required to attend accelerated instruction programs if these programs occur outside of regular school hours.
(c) A school district must accommodate the request of an out-of-district student to participate in any established, on-campus summer accelerated instruction program, provided the student is living away from his or her home district and the program matches the accelerated instruction prescribed by the student's Grade Placement Committee (GPC).
(d) Accelerated instruction shall be based on, but not limited to, guidelines on research-based best practices and effective strategies as outlined in the GPC manual, published annually by the TEA, which districts may use for developing accelerated instruction.
(e) In addition, for students required to take state assessments specified under the TEC, §28.0211(a):
a student who fails to perform satisfactorily on an assessment instrument specified under the TEC, §28.0211(a), shall be provided accelerated instruction before the next administration of the applicable assessment as specified by the TEC, §28.0211. A student shall be assigned to an accelerated instruction group that does not have a ratio larger than ten students for each teacher; and
a student who fails to perform satisfactorily on an assessment instrument specified under the TEC, $\S 28.0211(\mathrm{a})$, after the first, second, and third testing opportunities and who is promoted to the next grade level must complete, in accordance with state and local school board policy, all the accelerated instruction required under this section before placement in the next grade level. A student who fails to complete all the required accelerated instruction may not be promoted.

Source: The provisions of this §101.2006 adopted to be effective April 19, 2010, 35 TexReg 3030.

## §101.2007. Role of Grade Placement Committee.

(a) In accordance with the Texas Education Code (TEC), §28.0211, the superintendent of each school district and chief administrative officer of each charter school shall establish procedures for convening a Grade Placement Committee (GPC) for each eligible student who fails to demonstrate proficiency on the second administration of the assessment required for grade advancement. In accordance with §101.2006(d) of this title (relating to Accelerated Instruction), decisions by the GPC shall be made on an individual student basis, address required participation of the student in accelerated instruction, and ensure the most effective instruction to support the student's academic achievement on grade level.
(b) The GPC shall be composed of the principal or principal's designee, the student's parent or guardian, and the student's teacher(s) of the subject of the grade advancement assessment(s) on which the student has failed to demonstrate proficiency. If this teacher is unavailable, the principal shall designate to serve on the GPC a teacher certified in the subject of the assessment on which the student failed to perform satisfactorily and who is most familiar with the student's performance in that subject area. If more than one parent or guardian has the authority to make educational decisions regarding the student, a good faith effort must be made to notify both parents, but participation of any one parent or guardian is sufficient. Either parent or only one guardian may initiate an appeal. If both parents or guardians serve on the GPC but do not agree, either may agree to promote the student if the remaining members of the GPC also agree to the promotion. The district may accept a parent's or guardian's written designation of another individual to serve on the GPC for all purposes. The district may accept a parent's or guardian's written and signed waiver of participation in the GPC and designation of the remaining members of the GPC as the decision-making entity for all purposes.

If a parent or guardian or designee is unable to attend a meeting, the district may use other methods to ensure parent participation, including individual and conference telephone calls. The district may designate an individual to act on behalf of the student in place of a parent, guardian, or designee if no such person can be located. A surrogate parent named to act on behalf of a student with a disability shall be considered a parent for purposes of the TEC, §28.0211.
(2) The district shall make a good faith effort to notify a parent or guardian to attend the GPC. If a parent or guardian is unavailable, the remaining members of the GPC must convene as required by this section and take all necessary actions, except that the GPC may not agree to promote a student under the TEC, §28.0211(e), unless a parent, guardian, or designee has appealed. A district may allow an appeal to be filed in writing in lieu of attending the GPC.
(c) Within five working days of receipt of student assessment results for the second administration of the assessment required for grade advancement, the district shall notify the campus principal of student assessment results for each eligible student who fails to demonstrate proficiency. Upon receipt of this notice, the principal shall notify the teacher and parent or guardian of the assessment results. This notice shall include a description of the purpose and responsibilities of the GPC and the time and place for the GPC to hold its first meeting.
(d) In accordance with $\S 101.2006$ (d) of this title, the GPC is responsible for prescribing the accelerated instruction the student is to receive before the third testing opportunity. The GPC shall also decide at this time whether the student shall take the assessment specified in $\S 101.2003$ of this title (relating to Grade Advancement Testing Requirements) or the alternate assessment, as authorized by $\S 101.2011$ of this title (relating to Alternate Assessment). In the absence of unanimous agreement, the student shall take the assessment specified in $\S 101.2003$ of this title.
(e) The GPC must convene again if a student fails to demonstrate proficiency on the third administration of an assessment required for grade advancement and is thereby automatically retained at the same grade level. Within five working days of receipt of student assessment results for this administration, the district shall notify the principal or principal's designee of student assessment results for each eligible student who fails to demonstrate proficiency. Upon receipt of this notice from the district, the principal shall inform the teacher and parent or guardian of the time and place for the GPC to hold a meeting. This notice shall inform the parent or guardian of the opportunity to appeal the automatic retention of the student. The district shall establish a procedure to ensure a good faith effort is made toward securing the parent's or guardian's receipt of the retention notification. The parent or guardian may appeal the retention by submitting a request to the GPC within five working days of receipt of this retention notification.
(f) If an appeal has been initiated by the parent or guardian, the GPC may decide in favor of promotion only if the GPC concludes, upon review of all facts and circumstances and in accordance with standards adopted by the local school board, that the student is likely to perform on grade level given additional accelerated instruction during the next school year. A student may be promoted only if the GPC's decision is unanimous and the student has completed all required accelerated instruction specified in $\S 101.2006$ of this title. The review and final decision of the GPC must be appropriately documented as meeting the standards adopted by the local school board and made in conformance with procedures specified in the GPC manual and as required by $\S 101.2001$ (b) of this title (relating to Policy). These standards must include consideration of the following:
the recommendation of the student's teacher;
the student's grades;
the student's assessment scores; and
any other necessary academic information as determined by the district.
(g) In accordance with the TEC, §28.0211(e), the placement decision by the GPC shall be made before the start of the next school year or, if applicable, upon reenrollment of a student after this date.
(h) A student who has been promoted upon completion of a school year in a school other than a Texas public school may be enrolled in that grade without regard to whether the student has successfully completed an assessment required under the TEC, $\S 28.0211$. This subsection does not limit the authority of a district to appropriately place a student under the TEC, Chapter 25, Subchapter B.
(i) In addition to the placement decision, the GPC shall develop an accelerated instruction plan for each student who does not pass after three testing opportunities, regardless of whether the student has been promoted or retained. This plan shall include the accelerated instruction that the district must provide during the next school year. The plan must be designed to enable the student to perform at the appropriate grade level by the end of the next school year. The district shall establish a policy for monitoring the student during the school year to ensure that the student is progressing in accordance with the plan. The accelerated instruction plan must provide for interim progress reports to the student's parent or guardian and the opportunity for consultation with the teacher and/or principal as needed.

Source: The provisions of this §101.2007 adopted to be effective May 26, 2002, 27 TexReg 4337; amended to be effective February 24, 2005, 30 TexReg 842; amended to be effective April 19, 2010, 35 TexReg 3030.

## §101.2009. Notice to Parents or Guardians.

(a) As specified in $\S 101.9$ of this title (relating to Grade Advancement Requirements), the superintendent of each school district or chief administrative officer of each charter school shall notify parents or guardians of the grade advancement requirements at the beginning of the school year.
(b) The district shall provide early notice to parents or guardians of students identified in a preceding grade to be at risk of failure on the first administration of the assessment required for grade advancement the next year. The superintendent must establish the instruments/procedures to be used to make this determination. This notice shall include accelerated instruction participation requirements as stipulated by $\S 101.2006$ of this title (relating to Accelerated Instruction) and be provided before the end of the school year preceding the grade advancement requirements.
(c) The district shall establish procedures to notify the parent or guardian of a student who has failed to demonstrate proficiency on the first administration of a grade advancement assessment. This notification should be made within five working days of district receipt of student assessment results from this administration. This notice shall include the student's assessment results, a description of the grade advancement policy, the required accelerated instruction to which the student has been assigned under $\S 101.2006$ of this title, and the possibility that the student might be retained at the same grade level for the next school year. In addition, the notice shall encourage parents or guardians to meet immediately with the
student's teacher to outline mutual responsibilities to support the student during accelerated instruction.
(d) Whenever the district is required to notify a parent or guardian about the requirements related to promotion and accelerated instruction under §101.2006 of this title for students at risk of retention, including the notification requirements for the Grade Placement Committee under $\S 101.2007$ of this title (relating to Role of Grade Placement Committee), the district shall make a good faith effort to ensure that the notice is provided either in person or by regular mail, is clear and easy to understand, and is written in English or in the parent's or guardian's native language.

Source: The provisions of this §101.2009 adopted to be effective May 26, 2002, 27 TexReg 4337; amended to be effective April 19, 2010, 35 TexReg 3030.

## §101.2011. Alternate Assessment.

(a) On the third testing opportunity, each school district and charter school may establish by local board policy a district-wide procedure to use a state-approved alternate assessment instead of the statewide assessment instrument specified in §101.2003(a) of this title (relating to Grade Advancement Testing Requirements). The commissioner of education shall provide annually, to school districts and charter schools, a list of state-approved group-administered achievement tests certified by test publishers as meeting the requirements of Texas Education Code, $\S 28.0211$. This list shall include nationally recognized instruments for obtaining valid and reliable data, which demonstrate student competencies in the applicable subject at the appropriate grade level range. The district shall select only one test for each applicable grade and subject to be used under this section.
(b) The alternate assessment must be given during the period established in the assessment calendar by the commissioner of education to coincide with the date of the third administration of the statewide assessment.
(c) A company or organization scoring a test defined in subsection (a) of this section shall send test results to the school district for verification within ten working days following receipt of the test materials from the school district and shall send a copy of those results to the Texas Education Agency (TEA) in a format specified by and on a schedule established by the TEA.
(d) To maintain the security and confidential integrity of group-administered achievement tests, school districts and charter schools shall follow the procedures for test security and confidentiality delineated in Chapter 101, Subchapter C, of this title (relating to Security and Confidentiality).
Source: The provisions of this §101.2011 adopted to be effective May 26, 2002, 27 TexReg 4337; amended to be effective February 24, 2005, 30 TexReg 842.

## §101.2015. Parental Waiver.

The superintendent of each school district and chief administrative officer of each charter school shall establish a waiver process by which a parent or guardian may request that a student not participate in the third test opportunity due to potential harm to the student. The waiver must provide documentation of potential harm, student need, and other appropriate information. If a parental waiver is granted, the student must still participate in all required acceleration and is subject to retention based on the failure on the second test administration.
Source: The provisions of this §101.2015 adopted to be effective May 26, 2002, 27 TexReg 4337.

## §101.2017. Scoring and Reporting.

(a) In accordance with $\S 101.81$ of this title (relating to Scoring and Reporting), the scoring contractor will provide school districts with the results of the assessments required by the Texas Education Code, $\S 28.0211$, or, if applicable, the results of the alternate assessment specified in $\S 101.2011$ of this title (relating to Alternate Assessment), within ten working days following the receipt of the test materials from the school district or charter school.
(b) As specified by Texas Education Code (TEC), $\S 39.051(\mathrm{~b})(7)$, the superintendent of each school district and chief administrative officer of each charter school shall report the following information to the Texas Education Agency:
(1) the percentage of students, aggregated by grade level, provided accelerated instruction under TEC, §28.0211(c);
(2) the results of assessments administered under TEC, §28.0211(c);
the percentage of students promoted through the grade placement committee process under TEC, §28.0211; and
(4) the subject of the assessment instrument on which each student failed to perform satisfactorily, and the performance of those students in the school year following that promotion on the assessment instruments required under TEC, §39.023.

Source: The provisions of this § 101.2017 adopted to be effective May 26, 2002, 27 TexReg 4337.

## §101.2019. Credit for High School Graduation.

(a) Students who have been retained in Grade 8 in accordance with the grade advancement testing requirements may earn course credit for high school graduation during the next school year in subject areas other than the required courses in the subject area which caused the student to be retained.
(b) The school board of each district and each charter school may establish a policy that provides for the placement of retained students in an age-appropriate learning environment. In accordance with local grade configurations for elementary, middle, and high school campuses, this policy may specify the age by which a retained student should be placed on the next level campus even though not yet promoted to the grade of that campus.
Source: The provisions of this §101.2019 adopted to be effective May 26, 2002, 27 TexReg 4337.

## References

## References

Elementary and Secondary Education Act, Pub. L. No. 107-110, §1111, 115 Stat. 1425 (2002).
Kaczala, C. (1991). Grade retention: A longitudinal study of school correlates of rates of retention. Cleveland, OH: Cleveland Public Schools, Department of Research and Analysis. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED337532)

Marcon, R. (1993). At-risk preschoolers: Early predictors of future grade retention. Paper presented at the 39th Annual Meeting of the Southeastern Psychological Association, Atlanta, GA. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED357880)

Texas Administrative Code, Title 19, Education. (1984). St. Paul, MN: West Publishing.
Texas Administrative Code, Title 19, Education. (1985). St. Paul, MN: West Publishing.
Texas Administrative Code, Title 19, Education. (1992, Supplement). St. Paul, MN: West Publishing.
Texas Administrative Code, Title 19, Education. (1997). St. Paul, MN: West Group.
Texas Administrative Code, Title 19, Education. (1998). St. Paul, MN: West Group.
Texas Administrative Code, Title 19, Education. (2004). St. Paul, MN: West Group.
Texas Administrative Code, Title 19, Education. (2010). Retrieved June 17, 2010, from http://info.sos.state.tx.us/pls/pub/readtac\$ext.ViewTAC?tac_view=2\&ti=19

Texas Education Agency. (1993). The state report on grade level retention of students as of October 1992 (Document No. GE3 540 01). Austin, TX: Author.

Texas Education Agency. (1995). Report on grade level retention of Texas students 1992-93 and 1993-94 (Document No. GE5-601-12). Austin, TX: Author.

Texas Education Agency. (1996). 1994-95 report on grade level retention of Texas students (Document No. GE7-601-01). Austin, TX: Author.

Texas Education Agency. (1997). 1995-96 report on grade level retention of Texas students (Document No. GE8-601-01). Austin, TX: Author.

Texas Education Agency. (1998). 1996-97 report on grade level retention of Texas students (Document No. GE8-601-07). Austin, TX: Author.

Texas Education Agency. (1999). 1997-98 report on grade-level retention of Texas students (Document No. GE9-601-02). Austin, TX: Author.

Texas Education Agency. (2001a). Grade-level retention in Texas public schools, 1998-99 (Document No. GE01 601 07). Austin, TX: Author.

Texas Education Agency. (2001b). 2001 comprehensive annual report on Texas public schools: A report to the 77th Texas Legislature from the Texas Education Agency (Document No. GE02 601 01). Austin, TX: Author.

Texas Education Agency. (2002a). Grade-level retention in Texas public schools, 1999-00 (Document No. GE02 601 03). Austin, TX: Author.

Texas Education Agency. (2002b). Grade-level retention in Texas public schools, 2000-01 (Document No. GE02 601 09). Austin, TX: Author.

Texas Education Agency. (2004a). Grade-level retention in Texas public schools, 2001-02 (Document No. GE04 601 03). Austin, TX: Author.

Texas Education Agency. (2004b). Grade-level retention in Texas public schools, 2002-03 (Document No. GE05 601 01). Austin, TX: Author.

Texas Education Agency. (2005). Grade-level retention in Texas public schools, 2003-04 (Document No. GE06 601 01). Austin, TX: Author.

Texas Education Agency. (2006). Grade-level retention in Texas public schools, 2004-05 (Document No. GE07 601 03). Austin, TX: Author.

Texas Education Agency. (2007). Grade-level retention in Texas public schools, 2005-06 (Document No. GE08 601 01). Austin, TX: Author.

Texas Education Agency. (2008a). Grade-level retention in Texas public schools, 2006-07 (Document No. GE09 601 01). Austin, TX: Author.

Texas Education Agency. (2008b). 2008-2009 Public Education Information Management System addendum version data standards. Retrieved June 29, 2010, from http://www.tea.state.tx.us/ peims/standards/0809/index.html

Texas Education Agency. (2010). Grade-level retention in Texas public schools, 2007-08 (Document No. GE10 601 05). Austin, TX: Author.

Texas Education Code. (1986). Texas school law bulletin. St. Paul, MN: West Publishing.
Texas Education Code. (1988). Texas school law bulletin. St. Paul, MN: West Publishing. Texas Education Code. (1992). Texas school law bulletin. St. Paul, MN: West Publishing.

Texas Education Code. (1994). Texas school law bulletin. St. Paul, MN: West Publishing.

Texas Education Code. (1996). Texas school law bulletin. St. Paul, MN: West Publishing.
Texas Education Code. (1999). Texas school law bulletin. St. Paul, MN: West Group.

Texas Education Code. (2001). Texas school law bulletin. Charlottesville, VA: Matthew Bender.
Texas Education Code. (2007). Texas school law bulletin. St. Paul, MN: Thomson/West.
Texas Education Code. (2009). Texas school law bulletin. St. Paul, MN: Thomson Reuters.

## Texas Education Agency Publication Order Form

Purchaser name $\qquad$ Date $\qquad$
Send to (name, if different) $\qquad$
Address $\qquad$

*Copies of these reports and other reports produced by the Division of Accountability Research can be downloaded and printed at no cost from the Texas Education Agency website at http://www.tea.state.tx.us/index.aspx?id=3428\&menu_id=680\&menu_id2=797.

## Compliance Statement

Title VI, Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Modified Court Order, Civil Action 5281, Federal District Court, Eastern District of Texas, Tyler Division.

Reviews of local education agencies pertaining to compliance with Title VI Civil Rights Act of 1964 and with specific requirements of the Modified Court Order, Civil Action No. 5281, Federal District Court, Eastern District of Texas, Tyler Division are conducted periodically by staff representatives of the Texas Education Agency. These reviews cover at least the following policies and practices:

1. acceptance policies on student transfers from other school districts;
2. operation of school bus routes or runs on a nonsegregated basis;
3. nondiscrimination in extracurricular activities and the use of school facilities;
4. nondiscriminatory practices in the hiring, assigning, promoting, paying, demoting, reassigning, or dismissing of faculty and staff members who work with children;
5. enrollment and assignment of students without discrimination on the basis of race, color, or national origin;
6. nondiscriminatory practices relating to the use of a student's first language; and
7. evidence of published procedures for hearing complaints and grievances.

In addition to conducting reviews, the Texas Education Agency staff representatives check complaints of discrimination made by a citizen or citizens residing in a school district where it is alleged discriminatory practices have occurred or are occurring.

Where a violation of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act is found, the findings are reported to the Office for Civil Rights, U.S. Department of Education.

If there is a direct violation of the Court Order in Civil Action No. 5281 that cannot be cleared through negotiation, the sanctions required by the Court Order are applied.

Title VII, Civil Rights Act of 1964 as Amended by the Equal Employment Opportunity Act of 1972; Executive Orders 11246 and 11375; Equal Pay Act of 1964; Title IX, Education Amendments; Rehabilitation Act of 1973 as Amended; 1974 Amendments to the Wage-Hour Law Expanding the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967; Vietnam Era Veterans Readjustment Assistance Act of 1972 as Amended; Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986; Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990; and the Civil Rights Act of 1991.

The Texas Education Agency shall comply fully with the nondiscrimination provisions of all federal and state laws, rules, and regulations by assuring that no person shall be excluded from consideration for recruitment, selection, appointment, training, promotion, retention, or any other personnel action, or be denied any benefits or participation in any educational programs or activities which it operates on the grounds of race, religion, color, national origin, sex, disability, age, or veteran status (except where age, sex, or disability constitutes a bona fide occupational qualification necessary to proper and efficient administration). The Texas Education Agency is an Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action employer.
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[^0]:    Note. A dash (-) indicates data are not reported to protect student anonymity.
    ${ }^{a}$ English as a second language. blncludes LEP students whose parents did not give permission for participation in special language programs and those whose services received are unknown.

